RESEARCH Open Access



Suicidal behaviors among refugee women in Jordan: post-traumatic stress disorder, social support and post-displacement stressors

Mohamad Adam Brooks^{1*}, Anindita Dasgupta⁵, Maysa' Khadra³, Ahmad Bawaneh⁴, Neeraj Kaushal² and Nabila El-Bassel²

Abstract

Background This paper examines the frequency of suicidal behaviors (suicidal ideation or attempt) among a sample of Syrian refugee women living in non-camp settings in Jordan. We asked several questions surrounding suicide and examined the associations between post-traumatic stress disorder (PTSD), social connectedness, post-displacement stressors and suicidal behaviors.

Methods Participants (*n* = 507) were recruited using a clinic-based systematic sampling from four health clinics throughout Jordan in 2018. We used a multivariable logistic regression to examine the hypothesis of whether positive screening for PTSD (PCL-5), social isolation (have no friends or family members available to help), and greater number of post-displacement stressors (PMLD Checklist) is associated with suicidal behaviors.

Results Approximately one-tenth (9.86%) of participants surveyed reported suicidal behaviors (suicidal ideation or attempt) in the past six months. Our hypothesis was partially supported. In the adjusted multivariable analyses, screening positive for PTSD [OR:4.02 (95% CI:1.33, 12.15)] increased odds of suicidal behaviors, while having one friend or family member available to help when in need [OR:0.31 (95% CI:0.13, 0.78)] decreased odds of suicidal behaviors. We did not find any associations between the number of post-displacement stressors and suicidal behaviors in the multivariable model.

Conclusion Agencies and practitioners addressing suicidal behaviors among Syrian refugee women should provide interventions that aim to reduce PTSD symptoms and social isolation. Potential intervention includes screening for mental health symptoms and suicidal behaviors during routine visits with service providers, as well as providing proper mental health and psychosocial support services according to the mapping of available services.

Keywords Refugee, Suicidal behaviors, PTSD, Social support, Post-displacement stressors, Jordan, Women



^{*}Correspondence: Mohamad Adam Brooks mab10262@nyu.edu

¹Silver School of Social Work, New York University, New York, NY, USA ²Columbia University School of Social Work, New York, USA

³University of Jordan School of Medicine, Amman, Jordan ⁴International Medical Corps, Amman, Jordan ⁵Columbia University Mailman School of Public Health, Department of Sociomedical Sciences, New York, USA

Brooks et al. BMC Public Health (2024) 24:2677 Page 2 of 16

Background

Suicide is a serious global public health problem that disproportionately affects vulnerable populations, including refugees [1]. There is a dearth of information in the literature about suicide among refugees, especially in Lower-Middle-Income-Countries [2] and countries in the Middle East and North Africa (MENA) region [3]. Lack of good quality country data, stigma associated with suicide, and likely under-reporting and misclassification of suicide, is associated with difficulties conducting research on this topic [4]. Among studies that examined suicidal behaviors among refugees, rates of suicidal behaviors vary, possibly reflecting different methodological approaches [4, 5].

The Syrian refugee crisis remains one of the largest humanitarian crises. With over 6.8 million Syrians forcibly displaced from their country [6], Jordan hosts one of the largest populations of Syrian refugees at 1.3 million individuals [7]. Syrian refugees in Jordan face socioecological mental health risk factors from a variety of post-displacement stressors that include discrimination, isolation, and barriers to health and mental health services [8–10]. Refugee women, additionally, experience disproportionate amounts of displacement stressors [11], which include risk of living in poverty [12], challenges participating in labor market, workplace discrimination, child caring expectations [13], barriers to accessing sexual and reproductive health [14], as well as sexual, physical, and psychological abuse [15], which contribute to greater risk of adverse mental health outcomes [16]. In Jordan, perspectives on suicide include high levels of stigma, low suicide literacy, and negative attitudes towards seeking psychological help [17]. Furthermore, suicide is considered a socially stigmatized topic that may contradict religious beliefs [18, 19].

A limited number of studies have examined suicidal behaviors among Syrian refugees [5, 20, 21]. Existing studies reflect the high levels of suicidal behaviors in this population. A study of 196 Syrian refugees living in non-camp settings in Cairo, Egypt surveyed the mental health status of participants and found high rates of PTSD (33.5%) and suicidal plans or attempts (13.7%) [21]. Another examined PTSD and suicidality in 195 internally displaced Syrians and 111 Syrian refugees in Netherlands and found higher rates of suicidal behaviors among refugees who were internally displaced [22]. Suicidal behaviors have also been reported in refugee youth (ages 10-17 years old), where a study of 339 Syrian refugee children living in Jordan were assessed for depression, resilience and suicidality [23]. The study found 27.7% of children reported suicidal statements, where depression and bullying were associated with suicidality. Lastly, a review of suicide attempts in emergency room admissions in the MENA region found attempted suicides to be predominantly young women [18]. This emphasizes the importance of examining the role of gender in understanding suicidal behaviors. From our knowledge of the extant literature, no previous studies have examined suicidal behaviors of Syrian refugee women in Jordan.

Suicidal behaviors - theoretical background

The literature generally distinguishes between suicide and suicidal behaviors [24–26]. Suicide is defined as the act of intentionally ending one's own life, while suicidal behaviors include nonfatal suicidal thoughts and behaviors [24]. Suicidal behaviors can be further classified specifically into three categories [24]. Suicide ideation refers to the thoughts of engaging in behavior intended to end one's life, while suicide plan is the formulation of a specific method through which one intends to die. Suicide attempt refers to the engagement in potentially self-injurious behavior in which there is at least some intent to die.

Several leading theories provide insight into suicide and suicidal behaviors. These include the psychache theory, interpersonal theory of suicide (IPTS), integrated motivational-volitional theory (IMV), and the Three-Step Theory (3ST). Although these theories are distinct from one another, they also share similar concepts. The psychache theory was first introduced by Shneidman, who proposed psychological or intrapsychic pain are central to suicidal behaviors [27, 28]. Shneidman argued that suicide is caused by psychache, which he defines as "the hurt, anguish, soreness, aching, psychological pain in the psyche, the mind" [28]. Although the concept of psychological pain is complex, psychache theory emphasizes that psychological pain needs to be perceived as unbearable and to surpass the individual's threshold for enduring and tolerating pain for it to develop into suicide [28].

Another leading theory is the IPTS, which conceptualizes suicide as two interpersonal constructs: thwarted belongingness and perceived burdensomeness. Thwarted belongingness is a sense of alienation from friends, family, and/or important social circles, whereas perceived burdensomeness is the belief that one's death is worth more than one's life [29]. The IPTS indicates that the capability for suicidal behavior emerges in response to repeated exposure to physically painful and/or fear inducing experiences [30].

The IMV is another theory that describes suicidal behavior in three distinct phases: pre-motivational phase, motivational phase, and volitional phase. The pre-motivational phase describes how the biopsychosocial context of an individual, which includes vulnerability factors and stressful life events, provides the backdrop to the development of suicidal ideation [31]. The motivational phase is an individual's response to a stressful situation, where a feeling of defeat and humiliation without a sense of

Brooks et al. BMC Public Health (2024) 24:2677 Page 3 of 16

escape contributes to the emergence of suicidal ideation [31]. The volitional phase, which is similar to the IPTS, includes a range of factors in a person's life that contribute to the increased capability in suicide. These include, but are not limited to, fearlessness of death, access to means, past suicidal history, tolerance to physical pain [31].

There is currently no specific theory or framework that explains suicidal behaviors among refugees and other displaced populations. One leading theory that has been applied in a handful of studies among refugee populations is the Three-Step Theory. The Three-Step Theory (3ST) roots suicide in a "Idea-to-Action" framework [32]. The theory hypothesizes that suicide results from the combination of pain and helplessness. This pain is typically described as emotional or psychological pain. Second, among those experiencing pain and hopelessness, connectedness is a key protective factor against escalating ideation, in that it prevents the escalation of suicidal ideation. Connectedness typically means connection to other people, but can also mean attachment to a job, role, or a perceived sense of purpose that keeps a person interested in living [32]. We decided to frame our study with the 3ST as several studies have shown this theory to be supported in studies that examined suicidal behaviors among displaced populations, specifically among Congolese refugees in Rwanda and North Korean refugees in South Korea [33, 34]. We provided an overview of several leading theories of suicidal behaviors to highlight differences and similarities in concepts.

Psychological pain

The 3ST highlights how suicidal ideation and behaviors are rooted in psychological pain. This is reflected in extant literature, where having a mental illness such as PTSD or depression is associated with suicidal behaviors [35, 36]. Among refugee populations, exposure to severe trauma and PTSD is a risk factor for suicidal behavior [37]. Existing models of suicidal behaviors suggests PTSD symptoms to be linked with poor occupational and social functioning, leading to greater life impairment, and subsequently suicidal behaviors [35]. An alternative model suggests that suicidal behaviors when associated with depressive symptoms is linked to severe PTSD symptoms [35]. Depression often includes negative cognitive biases and emotion regulation that leads to self-devaluation, guilt, rumination, diminished interest in activities, and detachment from others - all which contribute to feelings of hopelessness, worthlessness and increased psychological pain [38-40]. This PTSD and suicidal behavior relationship is especially pertinent among refugee populations who have significantly higher rate of PTSD than compared to the general population [41].

Several studies have examined the relationship between mental illness and suicidal behaviors among refugees. Among North Korean refugee youth in South Korea, high levels of PTSD symptoms were associated with suicidal ideation [34]. Among Congolese refugees living in Rwanda, poor mental health was associated with suicidal ideation and/or attempts [33]. Among asylum-seekers in Sweden, refugees (including Syrian) who reported moderate to severe levels of emotional distress were much more likely to exhibit suicidal ideation than those with low levels of distress [42]. These study findings highlight the close association between mental health conditions, psychological pain, and suicidal behaviors.

Connectedness

The 3ST indicates that isolation and lack of connectedness play an important role in the escalation of suicidal behavior. Few studies have examined this relationship among Syrian refugees and other refugee populations. In a systematic review of quantitative and qualitative studies that examined social connection and suicide among immigrants and asylum-seekers in anglosphere countries, social support and social connection played a role in mitigating suicide risk [43]. Among asylum seekers in the United Kingdom, higher levels of self-harm and suicide were reported among detained asylum seekers, where social isolation was found to be associated with suicide [44]. A study of Bhutanese refugees resettled in the United States also found suicidal ideation to be associated with lower levels of social support [45]. Among Syrian refugees resettled in Sweden, exposure to torture was associated with lower social support while higher social support was associated with less likelihood of PTSD [46]. Previous studies that examined refugees with a history of torture have noted this relationship between torture and suicidal behaviors [47]. The study of Syrian refugees in Sweden also found social support to partially mediate the effect of torture on PTSD [46]. Lastly, among Syrian refugee children in Jordan, the experience of bullying was predictive of suicidality, which suggest the important role of social connectedness even among youth [23].

The role of connectedness and wellbeing also extends to connection with the community, organizations, and other forms of resources. One study of Syrian refugees and Jordanians examined the role of social network in empowerment and wellbeing of women [48]. Larger network size was found to be associated with higher social support, empowerment, and motivation to lead. Additionally, women engaged in volunteer work had more diverse social networks and a higher level of resources and psychological well-being [48]. In another study of Syrian refugees in Jordan, the authors examined the mental health of clinic attending adults and found psychological distress to impact engagement to care [49]. The

Brooks et al. BMC Public Health (2024) 24:2677 Page 4 of 16

study highlighted the disconnect between the perception and role of clinic-based mental health programs, and the need for agencies to connect with Syrian refugees to improve psychological well-being and reduce suffering. Other connection to resources, including interventions aim to support parenting among Syrian refugees in Lebanon have also shown to reduce caregiver distress [50], while connections to non-governmental organizations have been shown to help with a range of basic services that aid in the wellbeing of refugees [51, 52].

Post-displacement stressors

Syrian refugees experience a wide range of post-displacement stressors throughout displacement. In Turkey, a study of Syrian refugees displaced in Istanbul found common post-displacement stressors to include worries about family back home, inability to return home in an emergency, and not having permission to work [53]. Study findings suggested post-displacement stressors, in additional to being female, serve as contextual factors that impact psychological wellbeing [53]. A review of psychosocial concerns reported by Syrian refugees in Jordan found psychosocial distress to be exacerbated by a range of post-displacement stressors that include insecure housing, lack of income, and a variety of employment-based stressors [54]. In Lebanon, an in-depth qualitative study of male and female Syrian refugees living in camps explored the impact of long-term displacement. The study found participants experienced a variety of post-displacement stressors that include harsh living conditions, isolation, discrimination and harassment from the host community, all which can impact family dynamics, gender roles, violence against women, mental health, and lead some participants to express a wish to die [55]. These studies emphasize the frequency and wide range of post-displacement stressors that are associated with negative outcomes of psychological wellbeing.

Although the 3ST does not incorporate post-displacement stressors into its theoretical framework, existing literature on refugee suicidal behavior has emphasized the link between post-displacement stressors and suicidal behaviors. A study of treatment-seeking survivors of torture resettled in New York examined a range of postdisplacement stressors and found that being female, having not submitted an asylum application, and a history of rape or sexual assault, was associated with suicidal ideation [56]. A study of Bhutanese refugees resettled in the United States highlight how stressors such as unemployment among men, illiteracy and family conflict among women, were associated with feelings of alienation from family and friends [57]. Furthermore, post-displacement stressors have been examined as a strong predictor of suicidal behaviors among multiple forcibly displaced populations [5].

Study purpose

This paper examines the frequency of suicidal behaviors among 507 clinic-attending Syrian refugee women living in non-camp settings in Jordan. We first examine the frequency of suicidal behaviors among our sample of Syrian refugee women. We then examine the association between PTSD, connectedness (average number of friends and family members available to help when in need), and post-displacement stressors (post-displacement living difficulties) on suicidal behaviors. We hypothesize that screening positive for PTSD, social isolation (have no friends or family members available to help), and greater number of post-displacement stressors are associated with suicidal behaviors. Gaining insight to suicidal behaviors among Syrian refugees is vital, especially as no end is in sight for the Syrian refugee crisis.

Methods

Participants & procedure

Data from Women ASPIRE, a quantitative study that examined health inequities of 507 Syrian refugee women living in non-camp settings in Jordan, was used for this study. Participants were recruited from health clinics from four different cities in Jordan: Amman, Ramtha, Mafraq and Zarqa. Partnered health clinics were selected by identifying geographic location with highest concentration of Syrian refugees in Jordan.

Participants were enrolled between April and November 2018 using a clinic-based systematic sampling. Every third or fifth participant (depending on clinic size) seeking health services was screened for eligibility. Recruitment intervals varied as partnered health clinics had different number of Syrian refugees attending their clinics. Eligibility criteria included participants who identified as Syrian refugees, female, 18 years or older, and who did not live in a refugee camp. Exclusion criteria included study participants who showed signs of cognitive impairment and who were unable to successfully complete the Folstein Mini-Mental State examination [58]. Study participants were informed that compensation packages of daily useable goods (valued approximately seven USD) were provided to those who participated in the survey. Recruitment and surveys were completed by trained research assistants in private rooms at participant health clinics. Women who brought children and who did not want their child to be in the private room during the survey were provided with the option to have another member of the research team take care of their child. Research assistants had a bachelor's in psychology or related field. Participants were informed of study aims, which were described as obtaining general information of the participant and their household, their physical and mental health, past experiences in Syria and Jordan, as well as their quality of life. Questions surrounding mental health

Brooks et al. BMC Public Health (2024) 24:2677 Page 5 of 16

and suicidal behavior were asked during the second half of the survey and participants were reminded that they can take a break, refuse to answer any questions, or stop the interview at any time. Additionally, participants who reported any history of suicidal ideation or attempts were offered referral to appropriate mental health services. Surveys were interviewer-administered in Arabic. Study participants were provided with written informed consent. Study protocols were approved by Columbia University Institutional Review Board and Ethics Committee of University of Jordan prior to the start of the study.

Measures

Dependent variable

Suicidal ideation measured by asking participants *Have* you had thoughts about ending your life or committing suicide in the past six months (No/Yes).

Suicide attempt measured by asking participants *Have* you tried to kill yourself or attempted suicide in the past six months (No/Yes).

Suicidal behaviors measured by combining any reported suicidal ideation or attempt in the past six months (No/Yes).

Independent variables

Posttraumatic stress disorder

The PTSD Checklist for DSM-5 (PCL-5): a 20-item Likert self-report scale used to measure presence and severity of PTSD symptoms validated in Arabic [59, 60]. Scores were summed, ranging from 0 to 80. Like previous studies, a cutoff score of 23 and higher was used to screen for PTSD [60]. Cronbach's alpha estimating internal consistency among our sample is acceptable (α =0.94).

Post migration stressors

Post-Migration Living Difficulties (PMLD): a 14-item checklist used to measure the severity of post-migration or post-displacement problems commonly encountered by immigrants, refugees and/or asylum seekers [61, 62]. Common post-displacement living difficulties include poverty, discrimination, poor access to psychological services, family separation, not being able to find work, poor access for schooling for children, and worry about no treatment for health problems, to name a few. Adapted versions of this checklist have been used [10, 63]. The PMLD scale was dichotomized [64, 65] and participants who rated a PMLD as a Big or Very Big problem were compared to those who rated it as No Problem at all, Moderate Problem, or Not Applicable. The PMLD scale asked common post-displacement problems participants faced in Jordan. A PMLD cumulative score was also created by combing the fourteen dichotomous PMLD items. Scores were added, ranging from 0 to 14. Cronbach's alpha estimating internal consistency among our sample is acceptable (α =0.79).

Social support from friends or family members

Social support questions examined the type of social support (emotional, informational, and instrumental support) received as well as the social network of participants surveyed. Emotional support includes support based on reassurance of worth, empathy and affection, while instrumental support is based on tangible or material aid received. Informational support is based on advice, guidance and feedback received [66]. Questions were adapted to the Syrian refugee context from several studies that examined social support and social network of women [67–70].

Social support was measured by asking participants the number of friends or family members they currently have. Emotional support questions include the number of friends or family members they currently have: (1) to talk to when feeling upset, angry, or need help, and (2) to talk about relationship problems they are having with spouse. Informational support questions include the number of friends or family members they currently have: (3) to borrow money when in need, (4) to ask to stay at their place for a while, and (5) to ask for tasks such as helping take care of kids. Instrumental support questions include the number of friends and family members they currently have: (6) to ask for advice about personal problems, (7) to ask for legal support, and (8) to ask for help to find a job. This social support measure did not discriminate whether social support received were from friends or family members who lived in Jordan, Syria, or globally.

The average number of friends or family members was measured by averaging the number of friends or family members participants reported in their eight different situations of need. The Stata round function was used to round the average number of friends or family members to a whole number. Scores were categorized according to participants who reported (0) zero friends or family members, (1) one friend family member, and (2) two or more friends or family members.

Covariates

Sociodemographic characteristics including age (continuous variable in years), marital status (unmarried/married), number of children < 18 years old in the household (continuous), years of education (continuous), years in Jordan (continuous), and clinic location (Amman/Zarqa/Mafraq/Ramtha) was used in our adjusted model as previous literature linked these sociodemographic characteristics with suicidal behaviors.

The Center for Epidemiological Studies Depression Scale (CES-D): a four-item Likert scale used to measure

Brooks et al. BMC Public Health (2024) 24:2677 Page 6 of 16

self-reported symptoms of depression validated in Arabic [71, 72]. Scores were summed, ranging from 0 to 12. A cutoff score of four or higher was used to screen for depression [71]. Cronbach's alpha estimating internal consistency among our sample is acceptable (α =0.79). We included the CES-D in our adjusted model, as previous literature highlighted the association between depression and suicidal behaviors among traumatized refugee populations [37].

Data analysis

Descriptive statistics were used to examine sociodemographic characteristics of participants, frequency distribution and average scores of suicidal behaviors, mental health symptoms severity, post-displacement stressors, and the number of friends or family members who can help in need. Independent sample t-tests were used to calculate significant mean differences for continuous variables between dependent and independent variables, while Chi-square tests or Fisher exact tests was used to calculate significant differences between categorical variables of dependent and independent variables.

A multivariable logistic regression model was used to test our hypothesis that examined the association between the average number of friends or family members who can help in need, PTSD cutoff score, post-displacement stressors (cumulative score), and suicidal behaviors. Adjusted covariates for the multivariable model include age, marital status, number of children<18 in household, years of education, years in Jordan, clinic location, and depression cutoff score. We

Table 1 Characteristics of Syrian Refugee women living in non-camp settings in Jordan (*n* = 507)

	n	х̄ (SD) or %
Age (SD)	,	34.15 (10.99)
Marital Status		
Unmarried	50	9.86
Married	457	90.14
Children under 18		3.39 (2.08)
in household		
Years of education	503	7.09 (3.67)
Years in Jordan		5.18 (1.38)
Clinic Location		
Amman	153	30.18
Zarqa	100	19.72
Mafraq	126	24.85
Ramtha	128	25.25
Governorate of Origin in Syria		
Aleppo or Idlib	96	18.93
Al-Raqqah, Deir et-Zor, or Hasaka	54	10.65
Damascus or Rif Dimashq	60	11.83
As-Suwayda, Daraa	182	35.90
or Qunitra		
Hama or Homs	115	22.68

Note. $n = sample \ size; \bar{x} = sample \ mean; SD = standard \ deviation; \% = percentage$

did not utilize multilevel modeling to account for nested data as the interclass correlation coefficient (ICC) across clinics was close to zero [73]. We also assessed multicollinearity in both our unadjusted and adjusted multivariable models using the variance inflation factor (VIF) measure. We did not find any evidence of multicollinearity as our VIF score for each individual variable was less than two [74]. All analyses were completed using STATA (version 15.1) [75].

Results

Characteristics of the sample

Characteristics of participants are presented in Table 1. A total of 507 Syrian refugee women were surveyed from four health clinics throughout Jordan: Amman (30.18%), Ramtha (25.25%), Mafraq (24.85%), and Zarqa (19.72%). The mean age was 34.15 years old (SD=10.99). Most women were married (90.14%) and the mean number of children under 18 years-old was 3.39 (SD=2.08). The average number of years of education was 7.09 (SD=3.67). Most participants originated from AsSuwayda, Daraa or Qunitra (35.9%) and the mean number of years lived in Jordan was 5.18 (SD=1.38).

Suicidal behaviors

Approximately one-tenth of women surveyed (9.07%) reported suicidal ideation within the past six months (Table 2). Forty-nine (9.66%) reported history of a suicide attempt, while fourteen (2.76%) reported a suicide attempt within the past six months. Approximately one-tenth (9.86%) of women reported a suicidal behavior (suicidal ideation and/or attempt) in the past six months. We found several significant bivariate associations among suicidal behaviors (Table 3). A significantly higher proportion of participants who reported suicidal ideation (34.78%) or suicide attempt (100.00%) in the past six months reported a history of suicide attempts. Additionally, a significant higher proportion of participants who reported a suicide attempt in the past six months reported suicidal ideation in the past six months (71.43%).

Mental health symptoms

Among participants surveyed, approximately two-thirds (66.21%) screened positive for PTSD. PTSD symptom score ranged from 0 to 78 (not shown), with a mean of 32.74 (SD=19.36). Less than two-thirds (62.92%) of women surveyed screened positive for depression. Depression symptom score ranged from 0 to 12 (not show), with a mean of 5.32 (SD=3.65). Several significant associations were found in our bivariate analysis (Table 3). Participants who reported suicidal ideation in the past six months had, on average, a higher PTSD symptoms severity score (p<0.001) and a higher

Brooks et al. BMC Public Health (2024) 24:2677 Page 7 of 16

Table 2 Suicidal behaviors and mental health symptoms

Variables	$n(\%)$ or $\bar{x}(SD)$
History of suicide attempt	
No	458 (90.34)
Yes	49 (9.66)
Suicidal ideation past six months	
No	461 (90.93)
Yes	46 (9.07)
Suicidal attempt past six months	
No	493 (97.24)
Yes	14 (2.76)
Suicidal behaviors past six months (suicidal ideation	n and/or attempt)
No	457 (90.14)
Yes	50 (9.86)
Received any mental health services in the past six $% \left\{ 1,2,,n\right\}$	months
No	437 (86.19)
Yes	70 (13.81)
PCL-5 Symptom severity score	32.74 (19.36)
PCL-5 Average item score	1.64 (0.97)
PCL-5 Cutoff Score (n = 506)	
Screen negative for PTSD (Cutoff < 23)	171 (33.79)
Screen positive for PTSD (Cutoff≥23)	335 (66.21)
CES-D Symptom severity score	5.32 (3.65)
CES-D Average item score	1.33 (0.91)
CES-D Cutoff Score	
Screen negative for Depression (Cutoff < 4)	188 (37.08)
Screen positive for Depression (Cutoff≥4)	319 (62.92)

Note. n = sample size; \bar{x} = sample mean; SD=standard deviation; % = percentage PCL-5=PTSD Checklist for DSM-5

CES-D=Center for Epidemiological Studies Depression scale

depression symptoms severity score (p<0.001) than compared to those who did not report suicidal ideation in the past six months. Participants who reported suicidal attempts in the past six months had, on average, a higher PTSD symptoms severity score (p=0.008) and depression symptom severity score (p=0.002) than compared to those who did not report suicidal attempts. Furthermore, a higher proportion of participants who screen positive for PTSD (p<0.001) and those who screen positive for depression (p=0.010) reported suicidal ideation in the past six months. No significant associations between participants who reported suicide attempts in the past six months and PTSD or depression cutoff scores were found. We also found most participants surveyed (86.19%) did not receive any form of mental health services in the past six months.

Post-displacement stressors

PMLD scores ranged from 0 to 13 (not shown), with a mean score of 7.43 (SD=2.98) (Table 4). The most common Big/Very Big post-displacement stressor experienced was Poverty (79.09%). We found several significant bivariate associations (Table 4). A higher proportion of participants who reported Loneliness and Boredom (p=0.001), Isolation (p=0.005), or Family Separation

(p=0.044) as a Big/Very Big Problem reported suicidal ideation in the past six months. We also found a higher proportion of participants who reported Loneliness and Boredom (p<0.001) and Isolation (p=0.033) as a Big/ Very Big Problem reported suicide attempts in the past six months. Similarly, a higher proportion of participants who reported Loneliness and Boredom (p<0.001), Isolation (p=0.003), and Family Separation (p=0.025) as a Big/Very Big Problem reported suicidal behaviors in the past six months. Lastly, participants who reported suicidal ideation (p=0.014) or suicidal behaviors (p=0.006) in the past six month had on average, a higher PMLD total score than compared to those who did not report suicidal ideation or suicidal behaviors. No significant relationships between suicide attempts in the past six months and PMLD total score was found.

Social support and isolation

Table 5 reflects the average number of friends or family members participants reported when they needed help, with a mean score of 1.42 (SD=1.04). Over one-tenth (12.18%) of participant reported having no friends or family members to ask for when they needed help, while over half (52.73%) reported having one friend or family members, and more than one-third (35.08%) reported having two or more friends or family members. The most common issue participants reported were having no friends or family members to help with was to ask for legal support (75.64%). We found several significant associations in our bivariate analysis (Table 5). A higher proportion of participants who reported having zero friends to ask for help with a task such as helping care of children, reported suicidal ideation in the past six months (p=0.019), as well as suicidal behaviors in the past six months (p=0.013). Differences between the combined average number of friends and family members and suicidal behaviors were also found (p=0.043).

Hypothesis testing – PTSD, social support, and postdisplacement stressors

We found significant associations in our multivariable logistic regression (Table 6). Screening positive for PTSD was associated with increased odds of suicidal behaviors in the past six months in the unadjusted model [OR:4.39 (95% CI:1.56, 12.35)] and the adjusted model [OR:4.02, (95% CI:1.33, 12.15)]. Furthermore, having on average one friend or family member to ask for help when needed was associated with a 67% decreased odd of suicidal behaviors in the unadjusted model [OR:0.33 (95% CI:0.14, 0.79)] and a 69% decreased odds of suicidal behaviors in the adjusted model [OR:0.31 (95% CI:0.13, 0.78)] than compared to having on average zero friend or family members to ask for help. We did not find any significant associations between having two or more

Brooks et al. BMC Public Health (2024) 24:2677 Page 8 of 16

Table 3 Bivariate analysis between suicidal behaviors and mental health symptoms

		Suicidal Ideation p	ast six months (r	= 507)	Suicide Attempts p	ast six months (n	=507)
	Total (n = 507)	Participants who did not report Suicidal Ideation (n = 461)	Participants who reported Suicidal Ide- ation (n=46)	Chi- Square or T-test	Participants who did not report Suicide Attempts (n = 493)	Participants who reported Suicide Attempts (n = 14)	Chi- Square or T-test
	n(%) or x̄(SD)	n(%) or $\bar{x}(SD)$	n(%) or $\bar{x}(SD)$		n(%) or $\bar{x}(SD)$	n(%) or $\bar{x}(SD)$	
History of suicide attempt							
No Yes	458 (90.34) 49 (9.66)	428 (92.84) 33 (7.16)	30 (65.22) 16 (34.78)	p < 0.001	458 (92.90) 35 (7.10)	0 (0.00) 14 (100.00)	p<0.001
Suicidal ideation past six months							
No Yes	461 (90.93) 46 (9.07)	-	-	-	457 (92.70) 36 (7.30)	4 (28.57) 10 (71.43)	p<0.001
PCL-5 Symptoms Severity Score (n=506)	32.74 (19.36)	31.19 (18.85)	48.26 (17.67)	<i>p</i> < 0.001 ^b	32.36 (19.28)	46.29 (17.93)	<i>p</i> < 0.008 ^b
PCL-5 Cutoff Score (n = 506)							
Screen negative for PTSD (Cutoff < 23) Screen positive for PTSD (Cutoff ≥ 23)	171 (33.79) 335 (66.21)	166 (97.08) 5 (2.92)	294 (87.76) 41 (12.24)	<i>p</i> < 0.001 ^a	169 (98.83) 2 (1.17)	323 (96.42) 12 (3.58)	p=0.155 ^a
CES-D Symptom Severity Score	5.32 (3.65)	5.09 (3.57)	7.59 (3.71)	<i>p</i> < 0.001 ^b	5.23 (3.61)	8.36 (3.73)	p=0.002 ^b
CES-D Cutoff Score							
Screen negative for depression (Cutoff $<$ 4) Screen positive for depression (Cutoff \ge 4)	188 (37.08) 319 (62.92)	179 (38.83) 282 (61.17)	9 (19.57) 37 (80.43)	p=0.010	186 (37.73) 307 (62.27)	2 (14.29) 12 (85.71)	p=0.094 ^a

Note. n=sample size; \bar{x} = sample mean; SD=standard deviation; % = percentage; PCL-5=PTSD Checklist for DSM-5; CES-D=Center for Epidemiological Studies Depression scale

Chi-square test used unless otherwise noted; significant p-values (p<0.05) are highlighted in bold

friends or family members to ask for help with suicidal behaviors. Significant associations between PMLDs and suicidal behaviors were not found in the unadjusted or adjusted multivariable logistic regression. Lastly, age was the only adjusted covariate that was significant in our adjusted multivariable logistic regression. We did not find any significant association between marital status, number of children under 18 in household, years of education, years in Jordan, CES-D, and clinic location on suicidal behaviors in our adjusted multivariable analysis.

Discussion

This study examines the frequency of suicidal behaviors among Syrian refugee women in Jordan. Overall, the rates of suicidal ideation (9.07%) and suicide attempts (2.76%) in this study sample was on the lower range of suicidal ideation (0.17-70.6%) and suicide attempts (0.14-15.1%) found in a systematic review of suicidal behaviors among forcibly displaced populations globally [20]. As highlighted in the systematic review, comparing suicidal behaviors between studies is difficult, as heterogeneity between study populations, varying analytic approaches

and sampling frames, and different study designs make it difficult to compare [20]. The review also examined suicidal behaviors among several subgroups of displaced populations, specifically refugees granted asylum, living in temporary camps, asylum seekers, and internally displaced persons. They found refugees granted asylum had a lower risk of suicide than compared to the host population, while those who were asylum seekers had a higher risk of suicide. The relationship between immigration status and suicidal behaviors is highlighted in a study of 1,085 refugees from several different countries (including Syria) living in Australia, where those with insecure visas status (asylum-seeker, temporary visa, bridging visa) were more likely to report thoughts of being better off dead (39.5%) and have suicidal intent (8.1%) than compared to those with secure visa status: thoughts of being better off dead (18.7%) and suicidal intent (1.5%) [76]. The systematic review also found refugees who lived in camps had a higher risk of suicidal ideation than compared to the host population [20]. This is shown in a study of 300 Palestinian refugee women living in refugee camps in Jordan that found rates of suicidal thoughts to be 13.6%

^a Fisher's exact test used; ^b T-test used

 Table 4
 Bivariate analysis between post-displacement stressors (PMLD) and suicidal behaviors

Participants who Participants Chi Participants who Participants			Suicidal Ideation past six months ($n = 507$)	ast six months (n	=507)	Suicide Attempts past six months ($n = 507$)	ast six months (n =	=507)	Suicidal Behaviors past six months $(n = 507)$	vast six months (n	=507)
11 11 123-11 135 136		Total (n = 507)	Participants who did not report Suicidal Ideation (n = 461)	Participants who reported Suicidal Ideaction (n=46)	Chi- Square or T-test	Participants who did not report Suicide Attempts (n = 493)	Participants who reported Suicide Attempts (n = 14)	are	Participants who did not report Suicidal Behaviors (n = 457)	Participants who reported Suicidal Behaviors (n = 50)	Chi- Square or T-test
11 11 178.57 P=0.103 R.71 (284) P=0.103 R.31 (255) R		n(%) or <u>x</u> (SD)	n(%) or $\bar{\mathbf{x}}(SD)$	n(%) or x̄(SD)		n(%) or $\bar{\mathbf{x}}(SD)$	n(%) or $\bar{\mathbf{x}}(SD)$		n(%) or x̄(SD)	n(%) or $\bar{\mathbf{x}}(SD)$	
(31) 40(8696) p=0.189 390(7911) 11(7857) p=1,000 358 (78.34) 43 (86.00) (33) (13.04) p=0.630 3 (71.43) p=0.508 3 (71.43) p=0.508 3 (71.400) 7 (14.00) (37) 11 (23.91) p=0.633 389 (79.07) 10 (71.43) p=0.534 3 (73.00) 12 (24.00) (39) 37 (80.43) p=0.433 365 (74.19) 12 (85.71) p=0.534 337 (73.90) 40 (80.00) (40) 37 (80.43) p=0.642 361 (73.23) 11 (78.57) p=0.544 13 (73.00) 10 (20.00) (40) 35 (76.09) p=0.642 361 (73.23) 11 (78.57) p=0.759 337 (73.00) 40 (80.00) (40) 35 (76.09) p=0.642 361 (73.23) 11 (78.57) p=0.759 337 (73.00) 11 (23.00) (40) 37 (82.22) p=0.119 352 (74.49) 6 (42.89) p=0.259 326 (74.49) 11 (22.00) (20) 31 (67.39) p=0.119 352 (74.49) 6 (42.89) p=0.259	PMLD Total (SD) n=503	7.43 (2.98)	7.33 (3.01)	8.47 (2.49)	$p = 0.014^{\circ}$	7.39 (2.98)	8.71 (2.84)	$p = 0.103^{\circ}$	7.31 (3.00)	8.53 (2.55)	$p = 0.006^{\circ}$
11 13 15 16 16 17 17 17 17 17 17	Poverty (%)			1							
133 35 (76.09) p=0.630 389 (79.07) 10 (71.43) p=0.534 36 (74.19) 12 (85.71) p=0.534 36 (74.19) 12 (85.71) p=0.534 36 (74.19) 12 (85.71) p=0.534 37 (73.90) 40 (80.00) (59) 9 (19.57) 127 (25.81) 12 (85.71) p=0.534 337 (73.90) 40 (80.00) (50) 9 (19.57) 127 (25.81) 11 (78.57) p=0.769 337 (73.90) 40 (80.00) (50) 11 (23.91) 132 (25.77) 3 (21.43) p=0.759 33 (72.87) 11 (22.00) (50) 11 (23.91) 132 (27.184) 6 (42.86) p=0.259 32 (71.49) 39 (78.00) (50) 11 (23.91) 135 (27.44) 6 (42.86) p=0.553 32 (70.77) 39 (78.00) (50) 31 (63.39) p=0.19 332 (71.84) 9 (42.87) p=0.585 32 (70.77) 39 (78.00) (50) 31 (63.20) 32 (63.71) 4 (28.57) 4 (28.57) 4 (88.00) (51) 32 (63.54) 14 (100.00) p<0.	Big/Very Big Problem No/Moderate Problem	401 (79.09) 106 (20.91)	361 (78.31) 100 (21.69)	40 (86.96) 6 (13.04)	p = 0.189	390 (79.11) 103 (20.89)	11 (78.57) 3 (21.43)	p = 1.000	358 (78.34) 99 (21.66)	43 (86.00) 7 (14.00)	p = 0.206
113 35 75 09 (1) p=6630 389 (7907) 10 (7143) p=6508 361 (7917) 38 76 00 (122400) 37 (30) 37 (3043) p=6033 365 (74.19) 12 (3857) p=6053 37 (3000) 12 (2400) (50) 9 (1957) p=0462 36 (74.19) 12 (3857) p=0.534 33 77 (350) 40 (8000) (50) 11 (2391) p=0.662 36 (73.23) 11 (7857) p=0.769 33 77 (350) 40 (8000) (50) 11 (2391) p=0.531 35 (72.56) 8 (57.14) p=0.259 33 (780) 40 (8000) (50) 11 (2391) p=0.531 35 (72.56) 8 (57.14) p=0.259 33 (7800) 40 (8000) (50) 11 (2391) p=0.531 35 (72.54) 6 (42.86) p=0.259 32 (73.00) 39 (73.50) (50) 11 (2391) p=0.432 36 (24.74) 10 (71.43) p=0.258 32 (70.77) 39 (73.50) (50) 31 (67.39) p=0.435 32 (70.77) 39 (73.50) 31 (32.00) (50)	Fears of forced return to Syri	a (%) n=506									
37) 11 (23.91) 103 (20.93) 4 (28.57) p=0.534 337 (73.00) 12 (24.00) 19) 37 (80.43) p=0.433 365 (74.19) 12 (85.71) p=0.534 337 (73.00) 40 (80.00) 10.9 9 (19.57) 127 (23.81) 1 (14.29) 1 19 (26.10) 10 (20.00) 11.0 35 (76.09) p=0.662 361 (73.23) 11 (78.57) p=0.769 333 (72.87) 39 (78.00) 12.0 11 (23.91) 35 (72.56) 8 (57.14) 6 (42.86) p=0.259 326 (71.49) 39 (78.00) 12.0 11 (23.91) 352 (71.84) 6 (42.86) p=0.259 326 (71.49) 39 (78.00) 12.0 31 (67.39) p=0.119 352 (71.84) 6 (42.86) p=0.259 326 (71.49) 39 (78.00) 12.0 31 (67.39) p=0.492 308 (62.47) 10 (71.43) p=0.558 326 (70.47) 39 (78.00) 12.0 31 (69.57) p=0.005 240 (49.08) 11 (70.00) p<0.001	Big/Very Big Problem	399 (78.85)	364 (79.13)	35 (76.09)	p = 0.630	389 (79.07)	10 (71.43)	p = 0.508	361 (79.17)	38 (76.00)	p = 0.603
(591) 37 (80.43) p=0.333 365 (74.19) 12 (85.71) p=0.534 337 (73.90) 40 (80.00) (100) 35 (76.09) p=0.662 36 (73.23) 11 (78.57) p=0.769 333 (72.87) 40 (80.00) (11) 35 (76.09) p=0.662 36 (73.23) 11 (78.57) p=0.769 333 (72.87) 30 (78.00) (200) 11 (23.91) p=0.6131 357 (72.56) 8 (57.14) p=0.229 336 (71.49) 39 (78.00) (201) 35 (76.09) p=0.119 357 (72.44) 6 (42.86) p=0.253 332 (73.87) 11 (22.00) (201) 35 (76.09) p=0.119 357 (71.84) 9 (64.28) p=0.253 332 (73.71) 11 (22.00) (201) 37 (82.10) 4 (28.67) p=0.553 322 (73.71) 11 (22.00) (201) 37 (80.43) p=0.042 318 (23.43) 14 (100.00) p<0.051 284 (62.14) 34 (68.00) (202) 37 (80.43) p=0.052 24 (28.57) p=0.053 24 (28.50) 17 (38.64) 17 (30.00)	No/Moderate Problem	107 (21.15)	96 (20.87)	11 (23.91)		103 (20.93)	4 (28.57)		95 (20.83)	12 (24.00)	
31 (8043) 37 (8043) p=0.333 365 (7419) 12 (85.71) p=0.534 337 (73.90) 40 (8000) 10	worry no treatment for neal	ın problem (%) N = 500								
1.10 35 (76.09) p=0.662 361 (73.23) 11 (78.57) p=0.769 333 (72.87) 39 (78.00) 1.90 11 (23.91) p=0.531 357 (72.56) 8 (57.14) p=0.229 326 (71.49) 39 (78.00) 1.20 11 (23.91) p=0.531 357 (72.56) 8 (57.14) p=0.229 326 (71.49) 39 (78.00) 1.50 11 (23.91) p=0.119 352 (71.84) 9 (64.28) p=0.253 32 (71.49) 11 (22.00) 1.50 37 (82.22) p=0.119 352 (71.84) 9 (64.28) p=0.553 32 (70.77) 39 (78.00) 1.50 31 (67.39) p=0.492 308 (62.47) 10 (71.43) p=0.585 284 (62.14) 34 (88.00) 1.74 15 (32.61) p=0.001 275 (55.89) 14 (100.00) p<0.001 208 (45.61) 9 (18.00) 1.75 32 (69.57) p=0.004 275 (55.89) 14 (100.00) p<0.004 208 (45.61) 9 (42.50) 173 (37.80) 1.95 32 (69.57) p=0.049 249 (50.92) <t< td=""><td>Big/Very Big Problem No/Moderate Problem</td><td>377 (74.51) 129 (25.49)</td><td>340 (73.91) 120 (26.09)</td><td>37 (80.43) 9 (19.57)</td><td>p = 0.333</td><td>365 (74.19) 127 (25.81)</td><td>12 (85.71) 2 (14.29)</td><td>p = 0.534</td><td>337 (73.90) 119 (26.10)</td><td>40 (80.00) 10 (20.00)</td><td>p = 0.348</td></t<>	Big/Very Big Problem No/Moderate Problem	377 (74.51) 129 (25.49)	340 (73.91) 120 (26.09)	37 (80.43) 9 (19.57)	p = 0.333	365 (74.19) 127 (25.81)	12 (85.71) 2 (14.29)	p = 0.534	337 (73.90) 119 (26.10)	40 (80.00) 10 (20.00)	p = 0.348
1.10 35 (76.09) p=0.662 36 (73.23) 11 (78.57) p=0.769 333 (72.87) 39 (78.00) 1.90 11 (23.91) p=0.531 35 (72.56) 8 (57.14) p=0.229 326 (71.49) 39 (78.00) 1.24 35 (76.09) p=0.531 35 (72.44) 6 (42.86) p=0.229 326 (71.49) 39 (78.00) 1.50 31 (32.91) 35 (27.44) 9 (64.28) p=0.553 32 (70.77) 39 (78.00) 1.50 31 (67.39) p=0.119 35 (27.14) 9 (64.28) p=0.585 28 (70.77) 39 (79.59) 1.50 31 (67.39) p=0.492 338 (62.47) 10 (71.43) p=0.585 28 (62.14) 34 (88.00) 1.74 15 (32.61) p=0.492 338 (62.47) 10 (71.43) p=0.585 28 (62.14) 34 (88.00) 1.75 31 (67.39) p=0.492 338 (62.47) 10 (71.43) p=0.585 28 (62.14) 34 (88.00) 1.78 32 (89.27) 32 (89.27) 4 (28.57) 28 (64.28) 4 (28.57) 4 (28.57) 4 (28.57) </td <td>Worry of Family in Syria (%)</td> <td></td>	Worry of Family in Syria (%)										
(590) 11 (2391) 132 (26.77) 3 (21.43) 124 (27.13) 11 (2200) 74) 35 (76.09) p = 0.531 357 (72.56) 8 (57.14) p = 0.229 326 (71.49) 39 (78.00) 15(39) 11 (23.91) 135 (27.44) 6 (42.86) p = 0.253 322 (70.77) 39 (78.00) 15(39) 21 (23.21) 22 (71.84) 9 (64.29) p = 0.553 322 (70.77) 39 (78.59) 14(1) 22 (31.43) p = 0.492 38 (62.47) 10 (71.43) p = 0.585 284 (62.14) 34 (88.00) 1.74) 15 (32.61) p = 0.049 308 (62.47) 10 (71.43) p = 0.585 284 (62.14) 34 (88.00) 1.74) 15 (32.61) p = 0.042 308 (62.47) 10 (71.43) p = 0.585 284 (62.14) 34 (88.00) 1.75 32 (80.43) p = 0.001 275 (55.89) 14 (100.00) p < 0.001 248 (54.3) 41 (82.00) 1.25 32 (80.43) p = 0.005 249 (49.08) 11 (78.57) p = 0.033 216 (47.68) 35 (70.00) </td <td>Big/Very Big Problem</td> <td>372 (73.37)</td> <td>337 (73.10)</td> <td>35 (76.09)</td> <td>p = 0.662</td> <td>361 (73.23)</td> <td>11 (78.57)</td> <td>p = 0.769</td> <td>333 (72.87)</td> <td>39 (78.00)</td> <td>p = 0.436</td>	Big/Very Big Problem	372 (73.37)	337 (73.10)	35 (76.09)	p = 0.662	361 (73.23)	11 (78.57)	p = 0.769	333 (72.87)	39 (78.00)	p = 0.436
74) 35 (76.09) p=0.531 357 (72.56) 8 (57.14) p=0.229 326 (71.49) 39 (78.00) 1.56) 11 (23.91) 135 (27.44) 6 (42.86) p=0.553 322 (70.77) 39 (78.00) 1.59) 37 (82.22) p=0.119 352 (71.84) 9 (64.29) p=0.553 322 (70.77) 39 (78.00) 1.41) 8 (17.78) p=0.119 352 (71.84) 9 (64.29) p=0.553 322 (70.77) 39 (79.59) 1.41) 15 (32.61) p=0.492 308 (62.47) 10 (71.43) p=0.585 284 (62.14) 34 (68.00) 1.74) 15 (32.61) p=0.001 275 (55.89) 14 (100.00) p<0.001	No/Moderate Problem	135 (26.63)	124 (26.90)	11 (23.91)		132 (26.77)	3 (21.43)		124 (27.13)	11 (22.00)	
330 (71.74) 35 (76.09) p=0.531 357 (72.56) 8 (57.14) p=0.229 326 (71.49) 39 (78.00) 130 (28.26) 11 (23.91) 135 (72.44) 6 (42.86) p=0.553 322 (70.77) 39 (78.50) 324 (70.59) 37 (82.22) p=0.119 352 (71.84) 9 (64.29) p=0.553 322 (70.77) 39 (79.59) 135 (29.41) 8 (17.78) 138 (28.16) 5 (35.71) p=0.553 10 (20.41) 10 (20.41) 287 (62.26) 31 (67.39) p=0.492 308 (62.47) 10 (71.43) p=0.585 284 (62.14) 34 (68.00) 174 (37.74) 15 (32.61) 185 (37.53) 14 (100.00) p<0.001 248 (54.39) 41 (82.00) 252 (54.78) 37 (80.43) p=0.004 275 (55.89) 14 (100.00) p<0.001 248 (54.39) 41 (82.00) 219 (47.22) 32 (69.57) p=0.004 249 (50.92) 3 (21.43) 264 (47.26) 15 (30.00) 210 (47.51) 29 (63.24) p=0.086 18 (33.71) 9 (64.29) p=0.033 17 (32.04)	Unable to Return Home in E	mergency (%)	n = 506								
130 (28.26) 11 (23.91) 135 (27.44) 6 (42.86) 130 (28.51) 11 (22.00) 324 (70.59) 37 (82.22) p=0.119 352 (7.84) 9 (642.9) p=0.553 322 (70.77) 39 (79.59) 328 (62.26) 31 (67.39) p=0.492 308 (62.47) 10 (71.43) p=0.585 284 (62.14) 34 (68.00) 174 (37.74) 15 (32.61) p=0.001 275 (55.89) 14 (100.00) p<0.001 248 (54.39) 4 (18.20) 252 (54.78) 37 (80.43) p=0.001 275 (55.89) 14 (100.00) p<0.001 248 (54.39) 4 (18.20) 208 (45.22) 9 (19.57) p=0.005 240 (49.08) 11 (78.57) p=0.033 216 (47.68) 35 (64.00) 219 (47.51) 29 (63.04) p=0.044 239 (48.48) 9 (64.29) p=0.286 216 (47.26) 32 (64.00) 242 (52.49) 17 (36.96) p=0.086 188 (38.13) 6 (42.86) p=0.783 170 (37.20) 24 (48.00) 250 (62.91) 23 (50.00) p=0.086 188 (38.13) 6 (42.86) p=0.783 170 (37.20) 26 (52.00) 250 (62.91) 23 (50.00) 23 (50.00) 305 (61.87) 8 (57.14) 287 (62.80) 26 (52.00) 250 (62.91) 23 (50.00) 24 (48.00) 25 (52.00) 25 (52.00) 250 (62.91) 23 (50.00) 24 (50.80) 25 (52.00) 25 (52.00) 250 (62.91) 23 (50.00) 24 (50.80) 25 (52.00) 25 (52.00) 250 (62.91) 25 (50.00) 25 (52.00) 25 (52.00) 25 (52.00) 250 (62.91) 25 (50.00) 25 (52.00) 25 (52.00) 25 (52.00) 250 (62.91) 25 (50.00) 25 (52.00)	Big/Very Big Problem	365 (72.13)	330 (71.74)	35 (76.09)	p = 0.531	357 (72.56)	8 (57.14)	p = 0.229	326 (71.49)	39 (78.00)	p = 0.330
324 (70.59) 37 (82.22) p=0.119 352 (71.84) 9 (64.29) p=0.553 322 (70.77) 39 (79.59) 135 (29.41) 8 (17.78) 13 (20.24) 138 (28.16) 5 (35.71) p=0.585 133 (29.23) 10 (20.41) 287 (62.26) 31 (67.39) p=0.492 308 (62.47) 10 (71.43) p=0.585 284 (62.14) 34 (68.00) 174 (37.74) 15 (32.61) 275 (55.89) 14 (100.00) p<0.001	No/Moderate Problem	141 (27.87)	130 (28.26)	11 (23.91)		135 (27.44)	6 (42.86)		130 (28.51)	11 (22.00)	
324 (70.59) 37 (82.22) p=0.119 352 (71.84) 9 (64.29) p=0.553 322 (70.77) 39 (79.59) 135 (29.41) 8 (17.78) 138 (28.16) 5 (35.71) p=0.492 308 (62.47) 10 (71.43) p=0.585 284 (62.14) 34 (68.00) 174 (37.74) 15 (32.61) 25 (54.78) 37 (80.43) 27 (55.89) 14 (100.00) 25 (54.78) 37 (80.43) 27 (62.58) 27 (62.00) 27 (62.58) 28 (62.14) 28 (62.14) 37 (80.43) 28 (62.25) 28 (62.14) 29 (19.57	Not being able to find work	(%) n = 504									
135 (2941) 8 (17.78) 138 (28.10) 5 (35.71) 133 (29.23) 10 (20.41) 134 (20.25) 13 (20.25) 10 (20.41) 15 (32.61) 15 (32.61) 15 (32.61) 15 (32.61) 15 (32.61) 15 (32.61) 15 (32.61) 14 (100.00) p < 0.001 248 (54.39) 41 (82.00) 14 (100.00) p < 0.001 248 (54.39) 41 (82.00) 208 (45.61) 217 (44.11) 0 (0.00) p = 0.033 216 (47.68) 35 (70.00) 219 (47.51) 29 (63.04) p = 0.044 239 (48.48) 9 (64.29) p = 0.286 216 (47.26) 224 (48.00) 23 (50.00) 23 (50.00) 23 (50.00) 23 (50.00) 23 (50.00) 23 (50.00) 23 (50.00) 23 (50.87) 23 (50.00) 23	Big/Very Big Problem	361 (71.63)	324 (70.59)	37 (82.22)	p = 0.119	352 (71.84)	9 (64.29)	p = 0.553	322 (70.77)	39 (79.59)	p = 0.193
287 (62.26) 31 (67.39) p=0.492 308 (62.47) 10 (71.43) p=0.585 284 (62.14) 34 (68.00) 174 (37.74) 15 (32.61) 185 (37.53) 4 (28.57) 4 (28.57) 173 (37.86) 16 (32.00) 252 (54.78) 37 (80.43) p=0.001 275 (55.89) 14 (100.00) p<0.001 248 (54.39) 41 (82.00) 208 (45.22) 9 (19.57) 217 (44.11) 0 (0.00) p=0.033 216 (47.68) 35 (70.00) 219 (47.92) 32 (69.57) p=0.044 239 (48.48) 9 (64.29) p=0.038 216 (47.26) 32 (64.00) 219 (47.51) 29 (63.04) p=0.044 239 (48.48) 9 (64.29) p=0.286 216 (47.26) 32 (64.00) 242 (52.49) 17 (36.96) p=0.086 188 (38.13) 6 (42.86) p=0.783 170 (37.20) 24 (48.00) 290 (62.91) 23 (50.00) 23 (50.80) 8 (57.14) 8 (57.14) 287 (62.80) 26 (52.00)	No/Moderate Problem	143 (28.37)	135 (29.41)	8 (17.78)		138 (28.16)	5 (35.71)		133 (29.23)	10 (20.41)	
287 (62.26) 31 (67.39) p=0.492 308 (62.47) 10 (71.43) p=0.585 284 (62.14) 34 (68.00) 174 (37.74) 15 (32.61) 185 (37.53) 4 (28.57) 173 (37.86) 16 (32.00) 252 (54.78) 37 (80.43) p=0.001 275 (55.89) 14 (100.00) p<0.001	Poor access to schooling for	children (%)									
252 (54.78) 37 (80.43) p=0.001 275 (55.89) 14 (100.00) p<0.001 248 (54.39) 41 (82.00) 208 (45.22) 9 (19.57) 217 (44.11) 0 (0.00) 208 (45.61) 9 (18.00) 219 (47.22) 32 (69.57) p=0.005 240 (49.08) 11 (78.57) p=0.033 216 (47.68) 35 (70.00) 219 (47.51) 29 (63.04) p=0.044 239 (48.48) 9 (64.29) p=0.286 216 (47.26) 32 (48.00) 242 (52.49) 17 (36.96) 254 (51.52) 5 (35.71) 241 (52.74) 18 (36.00) 171 (37.09) 23 (50.00) p=0.086 188 (38.13) 6 (42.86) p=0.783 170 (37.20) 24 (48.00) 290 (62.91) 23 (50.00) 305 (61.87) 8 (57.14) 287 (62.80) 26 (52.00)	Big/Very Big Problem	318 (62.72)	287 (62.26)	31 (67.39)	p = 0.492	308 (62.47)	10 (71.43)	p = 0.585	284 (62.14)	34 (68.00)	p = 0.416
252 (54.78) 37 (80.43) p=0.001 275 (55.89) 14 (100.00) p<0.001 248 (54.39) 41 (82.00) 208 (45.21) 9 (19.57) 217 (44.11) 0 (0.00) 208 (45.61) 9 (18.00) 219 (47.92) 32 (69.57) p=0.005 240 (49.08) 11 (78.57) p=0.033 216 (47.68) 35 (70.00) 219 (47.51) 29 (63.04) p=0.044 239 (48.48) 9 (64.29) p=0.286 216 (47.26) 32 (64.00) 242 (52.49) 17 (36.96) p=0.086 188 (38.13) 6 (42.86) p=0.783 170 (37.20) 24 (48.00) 290 (62.91) 23 (50.00) p=0.086 188 (38.13) 6 (42.86) p=0.783 170 (37.20) 24 (48.00)	oneliness and Boredom (%)	(02.75) 601 (07.75) 601	(+/:/0) +/-	(10.20) (1		(55.75) 551	1 (20.07)		(00:70) 671	(00.25.00)	
208 (45.22) 9 (19.57) p=0.005 217 (44.11) 0 (0.00) p=0.033 208 (45.61) 9 (18.00) 219 (47.92) 32 (69.57) p=0.005 240 (49.08) 11 (78.57) p=0.033 216 (47.68) 35 (70.00) 219 (47.51) 29 (63.04) p=0.044 239 (48.48) 9 (64.29) p=0.286 216 (47.26) 32 (64.00) 242 (52.49) 17 (36.96) 254 (51.52) 5 (35.71) 241 (52.74) 18 (36.00) 171 (37.09) 23 (50.00) p=0.086 188 (38.13) 6 (42.86) p=0.783 170 (37.20) 24 (48.00) 290 (62.91) 23 (50.00) p=0.086 188 (38.13) 6 (42.86) p=0.783 170 (37.20) 24 (48.00)	Big/Very Big Problem	289 (57.11)	252 (54.78)	37 (80.43)	p = 0.001	275 (55.89)	14 (100.00)	p < 0.001	248 (54.39)	41 (82.00)	p < 0.001
219 (47.92) 32 (69.57) p=0.005 240 (49.08) 11 (78.57) p=0.033 216 (47.68) 35 (70.00) 238 (52.08) 14 (30.43) 249 (50.92) 3 (21.43) 237 (52.32) 15 (30.00) 219 (47.51) 29 (63.04) p=0.044 239 (48.48) 9 (64.29) p=0.286 216 (47.26) 32 (64.00) 242 (52.49) 17 (36.96) p=0.086 188 (38.13) 6 (42.86) p=0.783 170 (37.20) 24 (48.00) 290 (62.91) 23 (50.00) p=0.086 188 (38.13) 6 (42.86) p=0.783 170 (37.20) 24 (48.00)	No/Moderate Problem	217 (42.89)	208 (45.22)	9 (19.57)	-	217 (44.11)	0 (0.00)	-	208 (45.61)	9 (18.00)	-
219 (47.92) 32 (69.57) p=0.005 240 (49.08) 11 (78.57) p=0.033 216 (47.68) 35 (70.00) 238 (52.08) 14 (30.43) p=0.044 249 (50.92) 3 (21.43) p=0.038 15 (30.00) 219 (47.51) 29 (63.04) p=0.044 239 (48.48) 9 (64.29) p=0.286 216 (47.26) 32 (64.00) 242 (52.49) 17 (36.96) p=0.086 188 (38.13) 6 (42.86) p=0.783 170 (37.20) 24 (48.00) 290 (62.91) 23 (50.00) p=0.086 188 (38.13) 6 (42.86) p=0.783 170 (37.20) 24 (48.00)	Isolation (%) $n = 503$										
238 (52.08) 14 (30.43) 249 (50.92) 3 (21.43) 237 (52.32) 15 (30.00) 219 (47.51) 29 (63.04) p=0.044 239 (48.48) 9 (64.29) p=0.286 216 (47.26) 32 (64.00) 242 (52.49) 17 (36.96) 23 (50.00) p=0.086 188 (38.13) 6 (42.86) p=0.783 170 (37.20) 24 (48.00) 290 (62.91) 23 (50.00) 305 (61.87) 8 (57.14) 287 (62.80) 26 (52.00)	Big/Very Big Problem	251 (49.90)	219 (47.92)	32 (69.57)	p = 0.005	240 (49.08)	11 (78.57)	p = 0.033	216 (47.68)	35 (70.00)	p = 0.003
219 (47.51) 29 (63.04) p=0.044 239 (48.48) 9 (64.29) p=0.286 216 (47.26) 32 (64.00) 242 (52.49) 17 (36.96) p=0.086 188 (38.13) 6 (42.86) p=0.783 170 (37.20) 24 (48.00) 290 (62.91) 23 (50.00) p=0.086 188 (38.13) 6 (42.86) p=0.783 170 (37.20) 24 (48.00) 290 (62.91) 23 (50.00) 305 (61.87) 8 (57.14) 287 (62.80) 26 (52.00)	No/Moderate Problem	252 (50.10)	238 (52.08)	14 (30.43)		249 (50.92)	3 (21.43)		237 (52.32)	15 (30.00)	
219 (47.51) 29 (63.04) p=0.044 239 (48.48) 9 (64.29) p=0.286 216 (47.26) 32 (64.00) 242 (52.49) 17 (36.96) 254 (51.52) 5 (35.71) 241 (52.74) 18 (36.00) 171 (37.09) 23 (50.00) p=0.086 188 (38.13) 6 (42.86) p=0.783 170 (37.20) 24 (48.00) 290 (62.91) 23 (50.00) 305 (61.87) 8 (57.14) 287 (62.80) 26 (52.00)	Family Separation (%)										
242 (5.2.49) 17 (36.96) 254 (51.52) 5 (35.71) 241 (5.2.74) 18 (36.00) 171 (37.09) 23 (50.00) p=0.086 188 (38.13) 6 (42.86) p=0.783 170 (37.20) 24 (48.00) 26 (52.00) 26 (52.00)	Big/Very Big Problem	248 (48.92)	219 (47.51)	29 (63.04)	p = 0.044	239 (48.48)	9 (64.29)	p = 0.286	216 (47.26)	32 (64.00)	p = 0.025
171 (37.09) 23 (50.00) p=0.086 188 (38.13) 6 (42.86) p=0.783 170 (37.20) 24 (48.00) 290 (62.91) 23 (50.00) 305 (61.87) 8 (57.14) 287 (62.80) 26 (52.00)	No/Moderate Problem	(59.15) 657	242 (52.49)	(36.36)		254 (51.52)	5 (35.7 1)		241 (52.74)	18 (36.00)	
194 (38.26) 171 (37.09) 23 (50.00) p=0.086 188 (38.13) 6 (42.86) p=0.783 170 (37.20) 24 (48.00) 313 (61.74) 290 (62.91) 23 (50.00) 305 (61.87) 8 (57.14) 8 (57.14) 287 (62.80) 26 (52.00)	Poor access to psychologica	l services (%)									
515 (91.74) 290 (92.91) 23 (50.00) 305 (91.87) 8 (57.14) 287 (92.80)	Big/Very Big Problem	194 (38.26)	171 (37.09)	23 (50.00)	p = 0.086	188 (38.13)	6 (42.86)	p = 0.783	170 (37.20)	24 (48.00)	p = 0.136
	No/Moderate Problem	313 (61./4)	290 (62.91)	23 (50.00)		305 (61.87)	8 (57.14)		787 (62.80)	76 (52.00)	

Brooks et al. BMC Public Health (2024) 24:2677 Page 10 of 16

Table 4 (continued)

		Suicidal Ideation past	ast six months $(n=507)$	=507)	Suicide Attempts p	Suicide Attempts past six months $(n=507)$	=507)	Suicidal Behaviors past six months $(n=507)$	oast six months (n	= 507)
	Total (n = 507)	Participants who did not report Suicidal Ideation (n = 461)	Participants who reported Suicidal Ide- ation (n = 46)	Chi- Square or T-test	Participants who did not report Suicide Attempts (n = 493)	Participants who reported Suicide Attempts (n = 14)	Chi- Square or T-test	Participants who Participants did not report who reporte Suicidal Behaviors Suicidal Beh iors (n=457)	Participants who reported Suicidal Behav- iors (n = 50)	Chi- Square or T-test
	n(%) or x̄(SD)	n(%) or $\bar{\mathbf{x}}(SD)$	n(%) or x̄(SD)		n(%) or $\bar{\mathbf{x}}(SD)$	n(%) or x̄(SD)		n(%) or x̄(SD)	n(%) or $\bar{\mathbf{x}}(SD)$	
Big/Very Big Problem		82 (16.17) 75 (16.27)	7 (15.22)	p = 0.853 77 (15.62)	77 (15.62)	5 (35.71)	p=0.059 73 (15.97)	73 (15.97)	9 (18.00)	p = 0.688
Immigration Application Challenges (%) $n=505$	nallenges (%) n	= 505	(0.150)			(01:10)		(00:00)	(00:00)	
Big/Very Big Problem	80 (15.84) 71 (15.47)	71 (15.47)	9 (19.57)	p = 0.524		5 (35.71)	p = 0.055	69 (15.16)	11 (22.00)	p = 0.209
No/Moderate Problem Communication (%) $n = 506$		425 (84.16) 388 (84.53)	37 (80.43)		416 (84./3)	9 (64.29)		386 (84.84)	39 (78.00)	
Big/Very Big Problem	32 (6.32) 28 (6.09)	28 (6.09)	4 (8.70)	$p = 0.519^a$ 31 (6.30)	31 (6.30)	1 (7.14)	$p = 0.604^a$ 28 (6.14)	28 (6.14)	4 (8.00)	$p = 0.544^{\circ}$
No/Moderate Problem	474 (93.68)	474 (93.68) 432 (93.91)	42 (91.30)		461 (93.70)	13 (92.86)		428 (93.86)	46 (92.00)	

Note. n = sample size; x̄ = sample mean; SD= standard deviation; % = percentage; PMLD=Post-Migration Living Difficulties

PMLDs are listed according to greatest frequency of being a Big/Very Big Problem Chi-square test used unless otherwise noted; significant ρ -values (ρ <0.05) are highlighted in bold ^a Fisher's exact test used; ^bT-test used

while suicidal attempts were 7.3% [77]. These findings provide some explanation to why rates of suicidal behaviors found in our study may be on the lower range, as our study sample included refugees living in non-camp settings who have a greater likelihood to integrate with the host community and access to resources, than compared to refugees with insecure immigration status, or those who live temporary camps with limited resources. It also highlights the importance of local context, and the consideration of other potential factors that may contribute to suicidal behaviors. Study findings, regardless, emphasize the high rates of suicidal behaviors among displaced populations and provide valuable insight to understanding suicidal behaviors among Syrian refugees.

To contextualize our study findings further, mental health, suicide, and suicidal behaviors are topics that are socially stigmatized in Jordan [17, 18]. A study of 707 Arab youth in Jordan recruited from an online self-report survey found participants to have relatively high levels of suicide stigma, low suicide literacy, and negative attitudes towards seeking psychological help [17]. A review of suicide attempts in emergency rooms in the MENA region found attempted suicides were predominantly women who experienced familial or interpersonal stressors [18]. These findings are echoed in a study of 62 individuals in Jordan who were admitted to emergency room settings as a result of self-harm and suicide attempts. The study found that most participants who attempted suicide were women (71%), with the largest precipitating factor being family violence (54.8%), followed by marital disharmony (12.9%) and financial problems (12.9%) [78]. The review also mentioned the mediating role of culture, where strong familial ties of the Arab nuclear family can serve as a protective factor and support for patients with mental illness or suicidal ideation, or rather exacerbate suicidal behaviors if privacy of the nuclear family result in fear of judgement as a result of stigma towards mental illness and suicide [18]. Religion is seen as another mediating factor, where religiosity can serve as a protective factor and a form of coping during life crises that can empower the individual. However, it can also exacerbate suicidal behaviors if an individual feels abandoned by God or if suicide is viewed as unacceptable [18].

Our paper hypothesized that screening positive for PTSD, social isolation (have no friends or family members available to help), and greater number of post-displacement stressors to be associated with suicidal behaviors. We found our hypothesis to be partially supported. We found PTSD and social isolation were associated with greater odds of suicidal behaviors; however, the number of post-displacement stressors was not associated with suicidal behaviors. We also found having on average one friend or family member to ask for help when needed significantly reduced the odds of suicidal

Brooks et al. BMC Public Health (2024) 24:2677 Page 11 of 16

behaviors compared to those who had zero friends or family members to ask for help when needed. We did not find significant associations between having on average two or more family members to ask for help when needed and suicidal behaviors.

Several reasons may explain why PTSD was associated with suicidal behaviors. One explanation is the important role psychological pain plays in suicide and suicidal behaviors - as emphasized in the 3ST theory and psychache theory. Almost two-thirds of our participants screened positive for PTSD (66.21%) and depression (62.92%), which is high, even when compared to a systematic review of rates of PTSD (16-84%) and depression (11-49%) among Syrian refugees displaced in neighboring countries. Additionally, our sample focused exclusively on women, which as suggested in a study of Syrian refugees residing in Turkey, is a risk factor for PTSD [79]. Their study found combined PTSD rates of men and women in their sample to be 35%; however, the probability of PTSD increased to 71% if they were female gender, had a previous psychiatric disorder, a family history of psychiatric disorders, and experienced 2 or more traumas [79]. Furthermore, forced displacement is associated with multiple stressors and traumas for many women [11], which include sexual, physical, and psychological abuse [15]. This, in addition to the protracted crisis may prolong psychological pain and therefore exacerbate feelings of hopelessness and suicidal behaviors.

Additionally in our bivariate analysis, we found suicidal ideation to be significantly associated with both PTSD and depression symptom severity and cutoff scores (screening positive for PTSD or depression). However, for suicide attempts, PTSD and depression were not associated with cutoff scores, but only with symptom severity. This provides evidence that symptom severity and psychological pain may also contribute to the escalation from suicidal ideation to suicide attempts, as supported in the psychache theory. Our study also found history of suicidal attempts, suicidal ideation and suicidal attempts in the past six months to be significantly associated with one another, supporting the close association between suicidal ideation and attempts as suggested in the "Ideato-Action" framework of the 3ST theory [32].

Several reasons may explain why social isolation was associated with suicidal behaviors. One reason is that social support – or having friends or family members available to ask for help when needed – may act as a buffer to stressful events [80]. This is especially relevant, as strong familial ties is an important factor in the Arab nuclear family, and where family violence and marital disharmony has been seen as a leading precipitating factor in suicidal attempts in emergency room settings [18, 78]. Syrian refugee women who are socially isolated may not be able to find support to reduce levels of psychological

pain. This is also reflected in the 3ST theory of ideation-to-action framework, where connectedness acts as a protective factor against escalation of suicidal ideation [32]. Connectedness has been found to be associated with suicidal behaviors in previous studies of displaced populations, including asylum seekers in the United Kingdom as well as Bhutanese refugees resettled in the United States [44, 45]. One possible reason why having two or more friends or family members available to ask for help was not associated with suicidal behaviors may be because our study did not assess the quality of the social support received.

One possible reason why the number of post-displacement stressors was not associated with suicidal behaviors may be related to the severity and type of post-displacement stressor and its impact on daily life stress, which may have a greater association with suicidal behaviors. A study of North Korean refugee women living in South Korea highlights the role of stress, where those who attempted suicide were more likely to have higher level of stress than compared to those who reported suicidal ideation only [81]. Furthermore, the type of post-displacement stressor may play a contextual role in suicidal behavior, where not having submitted an asylum application, unemployment, family conflict was associated with suicidal ideation or feelings of alienation [56, 57]. Furthermore, PMLD total scores were only significantly associated with suicidal ideation, and not suicide attempts, suggesting that post-displacement stressors may contribute to the development of suicidal ideation, but that other factors may contribute to the escalation to suicide attempts.

Limitations

Our analysis presents several limitations. Studies on suicide are challenging due to the small number of respondents who report suicidal behaviors. Our study is no different. The small sample size limited the ability to complete multivariable analysis for suicide ideation and attempts separately. The cross-sectional design of this study limits the ability to draw causal inferences. Future studies should include longitudinal studies and to included validated instruments to assess suicidal behaviors among Syrian refugees. We also examined the number of friends and family participants had in their social network but did not assess the quality of the support received. We attempted to address the inability to measure the quality of their social network by focusing on social isolation (no friends or family in network). Future studies should examine both the number and quality of friends and family in their social network on suicidal behaviors. Furthermore, utilizing a multivariable analysis may not have provided a comprehensive picture of the relationship between suicidal behaviors, PTSD, social

 Table 5
 Bivariate analysis between suicidal behaviors and number of current friends and family members (FFM)

			ast six months $(n = 507)$	1=507)	Suicide Attempts past six months $(n = 507)$	hast six months (n:	= 507)	Suicidal Behaviors past six months $(n = 507)$	past six months (r) = 507)
	Total (n = 507)	Participants who did not report Suicidal Ideation (n=461)	Participants who reported Suicidal Ide- ation (n = 46)	Chi- Square test	Participants who did not report Suicide Attempts (n = 493)	Participants who reported Suicide At- tempts (n = 14)	Chi- Square test	Participants who did not report Suicidal Behaviors (n = 457)	Participants who reported Suicidal Behav- iors (n=50)	Chi- Square test
	n(%) or x̄(SD)	n(%) or $\bar{\mathbf{x}}(SD)$	n(%) or $\bar{\mathbf{x}}(SD)$		n(%) or $\bar{\mathbf{x}}(SD)$	n(%) or $\bar{\mathbf{x}}(SD)$		n(%) or x̄(SD)	n(%) or $\bar{\mathbf{x}}(SD)$	
Number of FFM you can ask for legal support $n = 505$	ς for legal sup	port <i>n</i> =505								
0 friends/family	382 (75.64)	346 (75.38)	36 (78.26)	$p = 0.965^{\circ}$	372 (75.76)	10 (71.43)	$p = 0.641^{3}$	344 (75.60)	38 (76.00)	$p = 0.766^{\circ}$
1 friend/family	(13.66)	63 (13.73)	6 (13.04)		66 (13.44)	3 (21.43)			8 (16.00)	
2 or more friends/family	54 (10.69)	50 (10.89)	4 (8.70)		53 (10.79)	1 (7.14)		50 (10.99)	4 (8.00)	
Number of FFM you can ask to help you find a job $n = 504$	to help you	find a job $n = 504$								
0 friends/family	327 (64.88)	300 (65.50)	27 (58.70)	$p = 0.178^{\circ}$	318 (64.90)	9 (64.29)	$p = 1.000^{\circ}$	297 (65.42)	30 (60.00)	$p = 0.151^{a}$
1 friend/family	67 (13.29)	63 (13.76)	4 (8.70)		65 (13.27)	2 (14.29)		63 (13.88)	4 (8.00)	
2 or more friends/family	110 (21.83)	95 (20.74)	15 (32.61)		107 (21.84)	3 (21.43)		94 (20.70)	16 (32.00)	
Number of FFM you can ask to stay at their place for a while n	k to stay at th	eir place for a while	n = 504							
0 friends/family	230 (45.63)	208 (45.41)	22 (47.83)	p = 0.550	224 (45.71)	6 (42.86)	$p = 0.884^{\circ}$	206 (45.37)	24 (48.00)	p = 0.801
1 friend/family	154 (30.56)	138 (30.13)	16 (34.78)		150 (30.61)	4 (28.57)		138 (30.40)	16 (32.00)	
2 or more friends/family	120 (23.81)	112 (24.45)	8 (17.39)		116 (23.67)	4 (28.57)		110 (24.23)	10 (20.00)	
Number of FFM you can talk about relationship problems wit	k about relati	onship problems wi	th spouse $n = 448$	~						
0 friends/family	182 (40.63)	165 (40.54)	17 (41.46)	p = 0.552	176 (40.46)	6 (46.15)	$p = 0.938^{\circ}$	163 (40.45)	19 (42.22)	p = 0.349
1 friend/family	128 (28.57)	119 (29.24)	9 (21.95)		125 (28.74)	3 (23.08)		119 (29.53)	9 (20.00)	
2 or more friends/family	138 (30.80)	123 (30.22)	15 (36.59)		134 (30.80)	4 (30.77)		121 (30.02)	17 (37.78)	
Number of FFM you can ask for help with a task such as helpii	s for help with	n a task such as help	ing take care of children $n = 505$:hildren $n=\xi$	505					
0 friends/family	140 (27.72)	120 (26.14)	20 (43.48)	p = 0.019	132 (26.88)	8 (57.14)	$p = 0.065^{\circ}$	118 (25.93)	22 (44.00)	p = 0.013
1 friend/family	147 (29.11)	140 (30.50)	7 (15.22)		145 (29.53)	2 (14.29)		139 (30.55)	8 (16.00)	
2 or more friends/family	218 (43.17)	199 (43.46)	19 (41.30)		214 (43.58)	4 (28.57)		198 (43.52)	20 (40.00)	
Number of FFM you can ask for advice about personal problems $n=503$	k for advice al	oout personal proble	ems n=503							
0 friends/family	104 (20.68)	94 (20.52)	10 (22.22)	p = 0.964	100 (20.45)	4 (28.57)	$p = 0.502^{\circ}$	92 (20.26)	12 (24.49)	p = 0.786
1 friend/family	183 (36.38)	167 (36.46)	16 (35.56)		177 (36.20)	6 (42.86)		166 (36.56)	17 (34.69)	
2 or more friends/family	216 (42.94)	197 (43.01)	19 (42.22)		212 (43.35)	4 (28.57)		196 (43.17)	20 (40.82)	
Number of FFM you can ask to borrow money when you need	k to borrow m	oney when you nee	d it $n = 503$							
0 friends/family	99 (19.68)	92 (20.13)	7 (15.22)	p = 0.723	95 (19.43)	4 (28.57)	$p = 0.452^{8}$	90 (19.87)	9 (18.00)	p = 0.899
1 friend/family	148 (29.42)	134 (29.32)	14 (30.43)		143 (29.24)	5 (35.71)		132 (29.14)	16 (32.00)	
2 or more friends/family	256 (50.89)	231 (50.55)	25 (54.35)		251 (51.33)	5 (35.71)		231 (50.99)	25 (50.00)	
Number of FFM you can talk to when you feel upset, angry, or	k to when you	u feel upset, angry, o	or need help $(SD) n = 502$	n = 502						
0 friends/family	64 (12.75)	57 (12.47)	7 (15.56)	p = 0.815	60 (12.30)	4 (28.57)	$p = 0.079^{a}$	55 (12.14)	9 (18.37)	p = 0.435
1 friend/family	142 (28.29)	129 (28.23)	13 (28.89)		137 (28.07)	5 (35.71)		128 (28.26)	14 (28.57)	
2 or more friends/family	296 (58.96)	271 (59.30)	25 (55.56)		291 (59.63)	5 (35.71)		270 (59.60)	26 (53.06)	
Combined average number	r 1.42 (1.04)	1.43 (1.06)	1.30 (0.82)	p = 0.406	1.43 (1.05)	1.14 (0.86)	p = 0.314	1.44 (1.06)	1.27 (0.87)	p = 0.297
of FFM (continuous) n=476										

Brooks et al. BMC Public Health (2024) 24:2677 Page 13 of 16

Table 5 (continued)

		Suicidal Ideation past six months $(n = 507)$	ast six months (n	= 507)	Suicide Attempts past six months $(n = 507)$	ast six months ($n =$	=507)	Suicidal Behaviors past six months $(n=507)$	oast six months (n	1=507)
	Total	Participants who	Participants Chi-	Chi-	Participants who Participants	Participants	Chi	Participants who Participants	Participants	Chi
	(n = 507)	•	who reported Square	Square	did not report	who reported	Square	did not report	who reported	Square
		Suicidal Ideation $(n = 461)$	Suicidal Ide- ation $(n=46)$	test	Suicide Attempts $(n=493)$	Suicide At- tempts $(n=14)$	test	Suicidal Behaviors Suicidal Behaviors $(n=457)$	Suicidal Behaviors $(n=50)$	test
	n(%) or	n(%) or x̄(SD)	n(%) or x̄(SD)		n(%) or x̄(SD)	n(%) or x̄(SD)		n(%) or <u>x</u> (SD)	n(%) or x̄(SD)	
	x(SD)									
Combined average number of FFM $n=476$	r of FFM $n=4$	76								
0 friends/family	58 (12.18)	58 (12.18) 50 (11.57)	8 (18.18)	p = 0.114	p=0.114 55 (11.90)	3 (21.43)	$p = 0.545^{\circ}$	$p = 0.545^{a}$ 48 (11.21)	10 (20.83)	p = 0.043
1 friend/family	251 (52.73)	251 (52.73) 234 (54.17)	17 (38.64)		244 (52.81)	7 (50.00)		233 (54.44)	18 (37.50)	
2 or more friends/family		167 (35.08) 148 (34.26)	19 (43.18)		163 (35.28)	4 (28.57)		147 (34.35)	20 (41.67)	

Note. n=sample size; \bar{x} = sample mean; SD=standard deviation; % = percentage

Number of friends or family members are listed in descending order according to greatest frequency of having zero friends or family members Chi-square test used unless otherwise noted; significant p-values ($p \! < \! 0.05$) are highlighted in bold

Fisher's exact test used

support, and postmigration stressors. It is possible that in future studies, other analyses such as Structural Equation Modelling, may provide a nuanced explanation of this relationship. Additionally, our study did not ask participants' religion, therefore we were unable to assess the role of religion in relationship to suicidal behaviors. Future studies should examine how religion is associated with suicidal behaviors, mental health, and coping among Syrian refugee women. Mental health and suicidal behaviors remain topics that are culturally stigmatized in Jordan and may have contributed to lower rates of suicidal behaviors found in our study. We attempted to reduce this stigma and fear of discrimination by working closely with mental health experts to ensure that measures be as culturally appropriate as possible. Our research assistants were trained to be non-judgmental and culturally sensitive to mitigate social desirability bias in our study. Lastly, our study sample focuses entirely on Syrian refugee women who are in early and middle adulthood, which limits the generalizability of our findings to any other age group or gender.

Implications

Suicide continues to be a serious global public health issue that disproportionally affects vulnerable populations, especially among immigrants and refugees [82]. Few studies have examined suicidal behaviors among Syrian refugees despite it being the largest humanitarian crisis of our time. Our paper highlights the need for future research to examine the contextual risk and protective factors associated with suicidal behaviors among this population that increase levels of psychological pain and decrease social connectedness.

This study highlights the close relationship between PTSD, social connectedness, and suicidal behaviors. Clinicians and organizations working with Syrian refugees must screen for a range of mental health conditions (including PTSD) and suicidal behaviors during routine visits and refer to proper mental health and psychosocial support (MHPSS) services that are available. Clinicians and organizations can also enhance social support systems for those who are isolated by providing group counseling or other interventions to enhance their support system. A recent systematic review of individuals at risk for suicide highlighted the efficacy of psychosocial group treatment and peer connection to increase social connectedness and to decrease suicide symptoms [83]. Policy makers should also advocate to reduce the stigma surrounding suicide, as recent laws passed in Jordan have indicated fines and prison sentences for those who attempt suicide [84]. Future studies should also examine suicidal behaviors and risk factors among a variety of different demographics, which include Syrian refugee

Brooks et al. BMC Public Health (2024) 24:2677 Page 14 of 16

Table 6 Multivariable association between suicidal behaviors, social support, PTSD, and post-displacement stressors

	Unadjusted Analysis OR (95%CI)	<i>p</i> -value	Adjusted Analysis OR (95%CI)	<i>p</i> -value
Average number of friend or family member c	an ask for help when needed			
0 friends or family members	ref.		ref.	
1 friend or family member	0.33 (0.14, 0.79)	p = 0.013	0.31 (0.13, 0.78)	p = 0.013
2 + friend or family members	0.54 (0.23, 1.28)	p = 0.162	0.55 (0.22, 1.38)	p = 0.203
PCL-5 Cutoff Score				
Screen negative for PTSD (Cutoff < 23)	ref.		ref.	
Screen positive for PTSD (Cutoff≥23)	4.39 (1.56, 12.35)	p = 0.005	4.02 (1.33, 12.15)	p = 0.014
Post-displacement stressors (PMLDs)	1.04 (0.92, 1.19)	p = 0.520	1.05 (0.91, 1.21)	p = 0.500

Note. OR=odds ratio; ref. = reference category; CI=confidence interval

Adjusted covariates were age, marital status, children under 18 in household, years of education, years in Jordan, CES-D, and clinic location PCL-5=PTSD Checklist for DSM-5; PMLD=Post-Migration Living Difficulties; CES-D=Center for Epidemiological Studies Depression scale Significant ρ -values (ρ <0.05) are highlighted in bold

youth, older adults, and across genders, so that interventions can be tailored and contextualized accordingly.

Abbreviations

3ST Three-Step Theory of suicide

ASPIRE Advancing solutions in policy, implementation, research, and

engagement for refugees

CES-D Center for epidemiological studies depression scale

CI Confidence Interval

DSM-5 Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders Fifth Edition

ICC Interclass Correlation Coefficient

IMV Integrated Motivational-Volitional theory of suicide

IPTS Interpersonal Theory of Suicide MENA Middle East and North Africa

MHPSS Mental Health and Psychosocial Support

OR Odds ratio

PCL-5 The PTSD checklist for DSM-5 PMLD Postmigration living difficulty checklist

PTSD Post-traumatic stress disorder SD Standard deviation

SD Standard deviation
USD The United States Dollar
VIF Variance Inflation Factor

Acknowledgements

The authors would like to acknowledge and thank participants who took their time to be a part of this study. We are grateful to the agencies where we collected data for opening their doors as well as the dedicated research staff who conducted the surveys throughout the study period.

Author contributions

N.E., N.K., M.K., A.B. and A.D. designed and conceptualized the study with the support of the study team. A.D. and M.A.B. lead the data collection with the support of the team. M.A.B. wrote the first draft of the article. M.A.B., A.D., and N.E. conceptualized the article, generated the analysis approach, and revised the final version of the article. All authors critically reviewed the draft of the article and provided final approval of the version to be published.

Funding

This study was funded by Columbia University President's Global Innovation Fund (2016–2018), Columbia Interdisciplinary Center for Innovative Theory and Empirics (INCITE), and Friends of ASPIRE. The funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

Data availability

The datasets generated and/or analyzed during the current study are not available publicly due to the sensitivity of the context and as data is pertaining to refugees. Datasets remain available from the corresponding author upon reasonable request.

Declarations

Ethics approval and consent to participate

This study was approved by Columbia University's Institutional Review Board and the Ethics Committee of University of Jordan. All study methods and procedures were performed in accordance with the Helsinki Declaration and its later amendments or comparable ethical standards. Participants were provided with written informed consent.

Consent for publication

Not applicable.

Competing interests

The authors declare no competing interests.

Received: 31 December 2023 / Accepted: 18 September 2024 Published online: 30 September 2024

References

- World Health Organization. Suicide: Key Facts. 2021. https://www.who.int/ news-room/fact-sheets/detail/suicide. Accessed 10 Apr 2023.
- Vijayakumar L. Suicide among refugees A mockery of humanity. Crisis. 2016;37:1.
- Amini S, Bagheri P, Moradinazar M, Basiri M, Alimehr M, Ramazani Y. Epidemiological status of suicide in the Middle East and North Africa countries (MENA) from 1990 to 2017. Clin Epidemiol Global Health. 2021;9:299–303.
- Vijayakumar L, Jotheeswaran AT. Ch 14: suicide in refugees and asylum seekers. Mental health of refugees and asylum seekers. Oxford University Press Oxford, UK; 2010. pp. 195–210.
- Kashyap S, Joscelyne A. Chapter 13 refugees and suicide: when the quest for a better life becomes thwarted. In: Page AC, Stritzke WGK, editors. Alternatives to suicide. Academic; 2020. pp. 257–97.
- Mid-Year UNHCRUNHCR. Trends 2022 World | ReliefWeb. 2022. https://reliefweb.int/report/world/unhcr-mid-year-trends-2022. Accessed 10 Apr 2023.
- 3RP, Regional Strategic Overview. 2023. 2022. https://www.3rpsyriacrisis.org/ publications/. Accessed 10 Apr 2023.
- Cratsley K, Brooks MA, Mackey TK. Refugee Mental Health, Global Health Policy, and the Syrian Crisis. Front Public Health. 2021;9.
- Hassan G, Ventevogel P, Jefee-Bahloul H, Barkil-Oteo A, Kirmayer LJ. Mental health and psychosocial wellbeing of syrians affected by armed conflict. Epidemiol Psychiatric Sci. 2016;25:129–41.
- Brooks MA, Meinhart M, Samawi L, Mukherjee T, Jaber R, Alhomsh H, et al. Mental health of clinic-attending Syrian refugee women in Jordan: associations between social ecological risks factors and mental health symptoms. BMC Women's Health. 2022;22:4.
- 11. Ward J, Vann B. Gender-based violence in refugee settings. Lancet. 2002;360:s13–4.

Brooks et al. BMC Public Health (2024) 24:2677 Page 15 of 16

- Hanmer L, Rubiano E, Santamaria J, Arango DJ. How does poverty differ among refugees? Taking a gender lens to the data on Syrian refugees in Jordan. Middle East Dev J. 2020;12:208–42.
- Şahin Mencütek Z, Nashwan AJJ. Employment of Syrian refugees in Jordan: challenges and opportunities. J Ethnic Cult Divers Social Work. 2021;30:500–22.
- Amiri M, El-Mowafi IM, Chahien T, Yousef H, Kobeissi LH. An overview of the sexual and reproductive health status and service delivery among Syrian refugees in Jordan, nine years since the crisis: a systematic literature review. Reproductive Health. 2020;17:166.
- UN Women. Unpacking Gendered Realities in Displacement: The status of Syrian refugee women in Jordan (Report). UN Women – Jordan. 2018. https:// jordan.unwomen.org/en/digital-library/publications/2018/gendered-realities-in-displacement-jordan-report. Accessed 10 Apr 2023.
- Jansen GG. Gender and war: the effects of armed conflict on women's health and mental health. Affilia. 2006;21:134–45.
- Al-Shannaq Y, Aldalaykeh M. Suicide literacy, suicide stigma, and psychological help seeking attitudes among arab youth. Curr Psychol. 2023;42:6532–44.
- El Halabi S, El Hayek R, Kahil K, Nofal M, El Hayek S. Characteristics of attempted suicide in the Middle East and North Africa Region: the mediating role of Arab Culture and Religion. Mediterranean J Emerg Med Acute Care. 2020:1
- Adra A, Duchonova K. Suicidal Behaviour in the Syrian Arab Republic. In: Arafat SMY, Rezaeian M, Khan MM, editors. Suicidal behavior in Muslim Majority Countries: epidemiology, risk factors, and Prevention. Singapore: Springer Nature; 2024. pp. 287–301.
- Cogo E, Murray M, Villanueva G, Hamel C, Garner P, Senior SL, et al. Suicide rates and suicidal behaviour in displaced people: a systematic review. PLoS ONE. 2022:17:e0263797.
- Kira IA, Shuwiekh H, Rice K, Ibraheem BA, Aljakoub J. A threatened identity: the Mental Health Status of Syrian refugees in Egypt and its etiology. Identity. 2017;17:176–90.
- Al Ibraheem B, Kira IA, Aljakoub J, Al Ibraheem A. The health effect of the Syrian conflict on IDPs and refugees. Peace and Conflict: Journal of Peace Psychology. 2017;23:140–52.
- Dehnel R, Dalky H, Sudarsan S, Al-Delaimy WK. Suicidality among Syrian Refugee children in Jordan. Commun Ment Health J. 2024;60:224–32.
- 24. Nock MK, Borges G, Bromet EJ, Cha CB, Kessler RC, Lee S. Suicide and suicidal behavior. Epidemiol Rev. 2008;30:133–54.
- Silverman MM, Berman AL, Sanddal ND, O'Carroll PW, Joiner TE. Rebuilding the tower of Babel: a revised nomenclature for the study of suicide and suicidal behaviors. Part 1: background, Rationale, and Methodology. Suicide Life-Threatening Behav. 2007;37:248–63.
- Silverman MM, Berman AL, Sanddal ND, O'Carroll PW, Joiner TE. Rebuilding the tower of Babel: a revised nomenclature for the study of suicide and suicidal behaviors. Part 2: suicide-related Ideations, communications, and behaviors. Suicide Life-Threatening Behav. 2007;37:264–77.
- Baryshnikov I, Isometsä E. Psychological pain and suicidal behavior: a review. Front Psychiatry. 2022;13:981353.
- 28. Shneidman ES. Suicide as Psychache: A Clinical Approach to Self-destructive behavior. Jason Aronson; 1993.
- 29. Van Orden KA, Witte TK, Cukrowicz KC, Braithwaite SR, Selby EA, Joiner TE. The interpersonal theory of suicide. Psychol Rev. 2010;117:575–600.
- Chu C, Buchman-Schmitt JM, Stanley IH, Hom MA, Tucker RP, Hagan CR, et al. The interpersonal theory of suicide: a systematic review and meta-analysis of a decade of cross-national research. Psychol Bull. 2017;143:1313.
- O'Connor RC, Kirtley OJ. The integrated motivational–volitional model of suicidal behaviour. Philosophical Trans Royal Soc B: Biol Sci. 2018;373:20170268.
- Klonsky ED, May AM. The Three-Step Theory (3ST): A new theory of suicide rooted in the ideation-to-action framework. International Journal of Cognitive Therapy. 2015;8:114–29.
- Ingabire CM, Richters A. Suicidal ideation and behavior among Congolese refugees in Rwanda: contributing factors, consequences, and support mechanisms in the Context of Culture. Front Psychiatry. 2020;11.
- Park S, Rim SJ, Jun JY. Related factors of suicidal ideation among North Korean Refugee Youth in South Korea. Int J Environ Res Public Health. 2018;15:1694.
- Panagioti M, Gooding P, Tarrier N. Post-traumatic stress disorder and suicidal behavior: a narrative review. Clin Psychol Rev. 2009;29:471–82.
- Hawton K, Casañas i Comabella C, Haw C, Saunders K. Risk factors for suicide in individuals with depression: a systematic review. J Affect Disord. 2013;147:17–28.

- Ferrada-Noli M, Asberg M, Ormstad K, Lundin T, Sundbom E. Suicidal behavior after severe trauma. Part 1: PTSD diagnoses, psychiatric comorbidity, and assessments of suicidal behavior. J Trauma Stress. 1998;11:103–12.
- LeMoult J, Gotlib IH, Depression. A cognitive perspective. Clin Psychol Rev. 2019;69:51–66.
- Rugo KF, Tabares JV, Crowell SE, Baucom BR, Rudd MD, Bryan CJ. The role of depression and suicidal cognitions as contributors to suicide risk among active duty soldiers reporting symptoms of posttraumatic stress disorder. J Affect Disord. 2020;265:333–41.
- Conejero I, Olié E, Calati R, Ducasse D, Courtet P. Psychological Pain, Depression, and suicide: recent evidences and future directions. Curr Psychiatry Rep. 2018:20:33.
- 41. Fazel M, Wheeler J, Danesh J. Prevalence of serious mental disorder in 7000 refugees resettled in western countries: a systematic review. Lancet. 2005;365:1309–14.
- Leiler A, Hollifield M, Wasteson E, Bjärtå A. Suicidal ideation and severity of distress among refugees Residing in Asylum accommodations in Sweden. Int J Environ Res Public Health. 2019;16:2751.
- 43. Aran N, Card KG, Lee K, Hogg RS. Patterns of suicide and suicidal ideation in relation to social isolation and loneliness in newcomer populations: a review. J Immigr Minor Health. 2023;25:415–26.
- 44. Cohen J. Safe in our hands? A study of suicide and self-harm in asylum seekers. J Forensic Leg Med. 2008;15:235–44.
- Hagaman AK, Sivilli TI, Ao T, Blanton C, Ellis H, Lopes Cardozo B, et al. An investigation into suicides among Bhutanese refugees resettled in the United States between 2008 and 2011. J Immigr Minor Health. 2016;18:819–27.
- 46. Gottvall M, Vaez M, Saboonchi F. Social support attenuates the link between torture exposure and post-traumatic stress disorder among male and female Syrian refugees in Sweden. BMC Int Health Hum Rights. 2019;19:28.
- Ferrada-Noli M, Asberg M, Ormstad K. Suicidal behavior after severe trauma.
 Part 2: the association between methods of torture and of suicidal ideation in posttraumatic stress disotrder. J Trauma Stress. 1998;11:113–24.
- Eggerman JJ, Dajani R, Kumar P, Chui S, Qtaishat L, El Kharouf A, et al. Social networks, empowerment, and wellbeing among Syrian refugee and Jordanian women: implications for development and social inclusion. World Dev. 2023:170:106324.
- Maconick L, Ansbro É, Ellithy S, Jobanputra K, Tarawneh M, Roberts B. To die is better for me, social suffering among Syrian refugees at a noncommunicable disease clinic in Jordan: a qualitative study. Confl Health. 2020;14:63.
- Miller KE, Chen A, Koppenol-Gonzalez GV, Bakolis I, Arnous M, Tossyeh F, et al. Supporting parenting among Syrian refugees in Lebanon: a randomized controlled trial of the caregiver support intervention. J Child Psychol Psychiatry. 2023;64:71–82.
- 51. Atar E, Hossain F, Ullah AKMA. Syrian refugees in Turkey: exploring the role of I/NGOs in refugee crisis. Third World Q. 2023;44:231–45.
- Ghreiz E. The Syrian Refugee Crisis in Jordan: challenges and Future opportunities for NGOs. In: Beaujouan J, Rasheed A, editors. Syrian Crisis, Syrian refugees: voices from Jordan and Lebanon. Cham: Springer International Publishing; 2020. pp. 119–37.
- Yalim AC. The impacts of contextual factors on Psychosocial Wellbeing of Syrian refugees: findings from Turkey and the United States. J Social Service Res. 2021;47:104–17.
- Wells R, Steel Z, Abo-Hilal M, Hassan AH, Lawsin C. Psychosocial concerns reported by Syrian refugees living in Jordan: systematic review of unpublished needs assessments. Br J Psychiatry. 2016;209:99–106.
- Syam H, Venables E, Sousse B, Severy N, Saavedra L, Kazour F. With every passing day I feel like a candle, melting little by little. Experiences of long-term displacement amongst Syrian refugees in Shatila, Lebanon. Confl Health. 2019:13:45.
- Lerner E, Bonanno GA, Keatley E, Joscelyne A, Keller AS. Predictors of suicidal ideation in treatment-seeking survivors of torture. Psychological trauma: theory, research, practice, and policy. 2016;8:17.
- Ellis BH, Lankau EW, Ao T, Benson MA, Miller AB, Shetty S, et al. Understanding Bhutanese refugee suicide through the interpersonal-psychological theory of suicidal behavior. Am J Orthopsychiatry. 2015;85:43–55.
- Folstein MF, Folstein SE, McHugh PR. Mini-mental state: a practical method for grading the cognitive state of patients for the clinician. J Psychiatr Res. 1975;12:189–98.
- Weathers FW, Litz BT, Keane TM, Palmieri PA, Marx BP, Schnurr PP. The PTSD checklist for DSM-5 (PCL-5). Scale available from the National Center for PTSD at www.ptsd.va.gov. 2013;10.

Brooks et al. BMC Public Health (2024) 24:2677 Page 16 of 16

- Ibrahim H, Ertl V, Catani C, Ismail AA, Neuner F. The validity of posttraumatic stress disorder checklist for DSM-5 (PCL-5) as screening instrument with kurdish and arab displaced populations living in the Kurdistan region of Iraq. BMC Psychiatry. 2018;18:259.
- Aragona M, Pucci D, Mazzetti M, Geraci S. Post-migration living difficulties as a significant risk factor for PTSD in immigrants: a primary care study. Italian J Public Health. 2012:9.
- 62. Silove D, Sinnerbrink I, Field A, Manicavasagar V, Steel Z. Anxiety, depression and PTSD in asylum-seekers: associations with pre-migration trauma and post-migration stressors. Br J Psychiatry. 1997;170:351.
- Lopes Cardozo M'zahS, Evans B. Mental Health Status and Service Assessment for Adult Syrian Refugees Resettled in Metropolitan Atlanta: a cross-sectional survey. J Immigr Minor Health. 2019;21:1019–25.
- Schick M, Morina N, Mistridis P, Schnyder U, Bryant RA, Nickerson A. Changes in post-migration living difficulties predict treatment outcome in traumatized refugees. Front Psychiatry. 2018;9.
- Schweitzer RD, Vromans L, Brough M, Asic-Kobe M, Correa-Velez I, Murray K, et al. Recently resettled refugee women-at-risk in Australia evidence high levels of psychiatric symptoms: individual, trauma and post-migration factors predict outcomes. BMC Med. 2018;16:149.
- 66. Drageset J. Social Support. In: Haugan G, Eriksson M, editors. Health Promotion in Health care vital theories and research. Springer; 2021.
- Smith LR. Female refugee networks: rebuilding post-conflict identity. Int J Intercultural Relations. 2013;37:11–27.
- Shishehgar S, Gholizadeh L, DiGiacomo M, Green A, Davidson PM. Health and Socio-Cultural experiences of Refugee women: an integrative review. J Immigr Minor Health. 2017;19:959–73.
- El-Bassel N, Chen D, Cooper D. Social Support and Social Network Profiles among women on Methadone. Social Service Rev. 1998;72:379–491.
- Min MO, Tracy EM, Kim H, Park H, Jun M, Brown S, et al. Changes in personal networks of women in residential and outpatient substance abuse treatment. J Subst Abuse Treat. 2013;45:325–34.
- Melchior LA, Huba GJ, Brown VB, Reback CJ. A short Depression Index for women. Educ Psychol Meas. 1993;53:1117–25.
- 72. Ghubash R, Daradkeh TK, Al Naseri KS, Al Bloushi NBA, Al Daheri AM. The performance of the Center for Epidemiologic Study Depression Scale (Ces-D) in an arab Female Community. Int J Soc Psychiatry. 2000;46:241–9.

- Peugh JL. A practical guide to multilevel modeling. J Sch Psychol. 2010:48:85–112
- Senaviratna NAMR, Cooray A. Diagnosing multicollinearity of logistic regression model. Asian J Probab Stat. 2019;5:1–9.
- StataCorp LLC. Stata statistical software: Release 15 (2017). College Station, TX: StataCorp LP. 2017.
- Nickerson A, Byrow Y, O'Donnell M, Mau V, McMahon T, Pajak R, et al. The association between visa insecurity and mental health, disability and social engagement in refugees living in Australia. Eur J Psychotraumatology. 2019;10:16:88129
- Al-Modallal H. Patterns of coping with Partner Violence: experiences of Refuque women in Jordan. Public Health Nurs. 2012;29:403–11.
- Dabbas M, Oais R, Abu-Ghanomee K, Aburish K. Causes of deliberate selfharm among patients attending the accident and emergency department at King Hussein medical center. J Royal Med Serv. 2008;15:35–9.
- Alpak G, Unal A, Bulbul F, Sagaltici E, Bez Y, Altindag A, et al. Post-traumatic stress disorder among Syrian refugees in Turkey: a cross-sectional study. Int J Psychiatry Clin Pract. 2015;19:45–50.
- Cohen S, Wills TA. Stress, social support, and the buffering hypothesis. Psychol Bull. 1985;98:310–57.
- Nam B, Kim JY, DeVylder J, Kim J. Suicidal ideation and attempt among North Korean Refugee women in South Korea: factors that distinguish suicide attempt from suicidal ideation. Suicide Life-Threatening Behav. 2021;51:564–71.
- 82. Amiri S. Prevalence of suicide in Immigrants/Refugees: a systematic review and Meta-analysis. Archives Suicide Res. 2022;26:370–405.
- Sullivan SR, Monahan MF, Mitchell EL, Spears AP, Walsh S, Szeszko JR, et al. Group treatments for individuals at risk for suicide: a PRISMA scoping review (ScR). Psychiatry Res. 2021;304:114108.
- 84. Middle East Monitor. Jordan criminalises suicide, threatens prison terms and fines. 2022. https://www.middleeastmonitor.com/20220426-jordan-criminalises-suicide-threatens-prison-terms-and-fines/. Accessed 16 Apr 2023.

Publisher's note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.