

Work hours and the risk of hypertension: the case of Indonesia



Friska Aulia Dewi Andini^{1,2*} and Adiatma Y. M. Siregar¹

Abstract

Background Individuals working excessive hours is a worldwide phenomenon. In Indonesia, over 32 million people work more than 40 h per week, contributing to around 26% of the workforce. Excessive working may affect health, increasing the risk of cardiovascular diseases such as hypertension. Hypertension affected around 34% of Indonesian adults, approximately 63.3 million people and led to about 427,000 deaths in 2018, and the prevalence remains high at 29.2% in 2023. This study aims to analyze the relationship between work hours and the risk of hypertension among working individuals in Indonesia.

Methods This study used a pooled cross-sectional data from the Indonesian Family Life Survey (IFLS) wave 4 (2007) and wave 5 (2014) and performed a logit regression analysis to examine the likelihood of a working individual having hypertension based on the individual's work hours. A dummy variable of hypertension is created based on the result of blood pressure measurement. The sample consists of 22,500 working individuals in Indonesia. This study controlled for job characteristics, sociodemographic status and health-behavioral risk factors such as BMI and smoking behavior, and performed additional regression analyses for alternative models to check for robustness.

Results Our findings showed that there is a higher probability of having hypertension for workers who work longer hours by 0.06% points for each additional hour of work (p < 0.01). Other factors such as physical activity and smoking behavior have also been demonstrated to be significantly correlated to the risk of hypertension.

Conclusions This study revealed a positive relationship between work hours and hypertension. Although this study cannot suggest causality, the strongly significant correlation may provide an idea and an overview regarding the risk of hypertension among working individuals in Indonesia. The Indonesian government could consider conducting further studies to implement and promote flexible working arrangements initiatives and incentive programs to improve workers' health outcomes.

Keywords Work hours, Hypertension, Indonesia, IFLS

*Correspondence: Friska Aulia Dewi Andini friska21003@mail.unpad.ac.id ¹Center for Economics and Development Studies, Department of Economics, Faculty of Economics and Business, Universitas Padjadjaran, Jl. Cimandiri no. 6-8, Bandung, West Java 40115, Indonesia ²Department of Economics, Faculty of Economics and Business, Universitas Padjadjaran, Jl. Raya Bandung Sumedang KM.21, Sumedang, West Java 45363, Indonesia



© The Author(s) 2024. **Open Access** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.

Background

According to a 2023 report by International Labour Organization [1], around 35% of the global workforce work excessively in 2019. The share of those employed that work excessively (49 or more hours per week) in Mexico is around 27.63%, Vietnam 27.23%, Colombia 24.15%, Costa Rica 23.24%, and Indonesia 25.76%which is equivalent to approximately 35 million people in 2022 [2]. As a middle-income country, Indonesia tends to have people working longer hours compared to those in high-income countries [3]. Indonesian Bureau of Statistics (BPS) noted that for the past few years, even during the pandemic of Covid-19, the number of people working excessive hours (more than 40 h per week) has always been over 32 million people or around 26% [4, 5]. This definition of excessive work hours may differ across countries as it also differs from the ILO's. However, the United States, Japan, and South Korea have the same standard for maximum normal working hours per week in general [6–8].

Although it is common for workers to work overtime, working long hours may adversely affect health. The health implications may vary due to several factors such as job characteristics, socioeconomic status, and health condition. Besides affecting mental health, working excessive hours can increase the risk of cardiovascular diseases, including hypertension [3].

Hypertension is a major cause of premature death, and one of the global targets for noncommunicable diseases is to reduce the prevalence of hypertension by 33% between 2010 and 2030 [9]. However, the global prevalence trend of hypertension has increased significantly over the past two decades, with a rate of 165.11 prevalent cases per 100.000 in 1999 and continued increasing until 240.36 prevalent cases per 100.000 in 2019 [10]. The prevalence of hypertension among Indonesian adults has increased from 25.8% in 2013 to 34.1% in 2018 [11] amounting to approximately 63.3 million people, with around 427,000 deaths [12]. However, the latest survey conducted by the Indonesian Ministry of Health revealed that the prevalence of hypertension has then decreased to 29.2% in 2023. Although the country observed a decrease, the prevalence remains high [13].

Studies have been conducted to analyze the relationship between working hours and blood pressure. However, findings on association between working hours and hypertension have been varied. Some suggest that excessive working or long working hours could potentially serve as a work-related risk factor for high blood pressure or hypertension [14–16]. A study by Guner [17] found that working more hours reduces the probability of hypertension for both men and women. The lack of consistency on research findings could be attributable to certain constraints regarding someone's socioeconomic, demographic, and health status.

Excessive work hours may lead to exhaustion, notably due to sleep deprivation [18], which has been associated to the incidence of hypertension. Meanwhile, the recommended duration of sleep for adults are 7–9 h per day [19]. Some studies have found an increased risk of hypertension for individuals with short sleep duration [20–22]. Conversely, a study by Zhang et al. [23] found higher odds of hypertension associated with long sleep duration among Chinese rural population. However, a metaregression analysis of around 5 million participants found no significant relationship between long sleep duration and the incidence of hypertension [24].

To see the relationship between working hours and the risk of hypertension from one perspective, that is increasing physical activity—long working hours might actually reduce the probability of someone having hypertension [25, 26]. However, stressful working conditions may also contribute to a higher risk of hypertension. In a scoping review by Kumbu et al. [27] covering workers in Sub-Saharan Africa, it has been found that job stress is positively and significantly associated with hypertension. Hence, factors relating to job characteristics, such as physical activity and job stress, might also influence the risk of hypertension.

Some countries limit overtime hours, that is additional hours worked in excess of the normal work hours. Japan and South Korea limit their daily overtime hours to 4 (four) hours a day, and their weekly overtime hours to 15 (fifteen) hours and 12 (twelve) hours, respectively, with normal working hours of 8 (eight) hours a day and 40 (forty) hours a week [7, 8]. In another case, the United States does not impose a limit on overtime hours for employees aged 16 or above, although certain states such as California and Maine have different regulations [28-30]. In Indonesia, based on the Government Regulation No. 35 of 2021 Article 26 [31], workers' overtime work can only be done for a maximum of 4 (four) hours in a day and 18 (eighteen) hours in a week, with normal working time provision of 40 h a week. There is an increase of one hour per day and four hours per week in workers' overtime allowed compared to the old regulations of Manpower Act No. 13 of 2003 [32]. Notably, this might lead to an increase in overtime hours worked by workers. Given the potential impact of increasing work hours on workers' health, this study aims to analyze the effect of work hours on the risk of hypertension among working individuals in Indonesia.

Methods

Data

The data used in this study originate from the Indonesian Family Life Survey (IFLS) wave 4 (2007) and wave 5 (2014) [33, 34]. The IFLS is a longitudinal survey, first conducted in 1993, with sample representing about 83% of Indonesian population. The purpose of this survey is to provide data for studying behaviors and outcomes. It encompasses a substantial amount of information collected at the individual and household levels, containing social, demographic, economic and non-economic characteristics, including health status [35]. The analysis of this study used a pooled cross-sectional data consisting a sample size of 22,500 working individuals aged 15 or older who accommodate measurement of blood pressure when the surveys were conducted.

Variables

This study used measured hypertension as the dependent variable. The main independent variable in this study is work hours per week and the rest of the variables presented in the model are control variables. The data set included sociodemographic characteristics and health behavioral-risk factors: body mass index (BMI) and smoking behavior. These variables were used in accordance to previous studies [14, 16]. This study also included work-related variables, particularly type of employment and job characteristics that weren't present in the previous studies. In addition, this study also controlled for survey wave, sociodemographic variables

| Table 1 Variab | le description |
|----------------|----------------|
|----------------|----------------|

| Variables | Description |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Measured hypertension | A dummy variable with 1 indicating hyperten- sion and 0 indicating no hypertension. Hyper- tensive if blood pressure measurement which is conducted twice results: systolic = 130 or higher; and/or diastolic = 80 or higher |
| Work hours per week | "Normally, what is the approximate total number of hours you work per week?" |
| Primary job characteristics: Physical activity Lifting heavy loads Stooping, kneeling, crouching Using computers Stress | "My job requires/involves (primary job characteristic)" 0 if the job requires little to no activity of the primary job characteristic 1 if the job requires moderate to high activity of the primary job characteristic |
| Body mass index (BMI) | Individual's body mass index |
| Smoking behavior | Never smoker if never smoke at all Former smoker if ever smoke and has totally quit Current light or moderate smoker if reports consuming < 20 cigarettes per day Current heavy smoker if reports consuming 20 or more cigarettes per day |
| Type of employment | Determined based on the definition by BPS: for- mal if the individual has a status of laborer/work- er/employee and those who do business with permanent laborers; or informal if otherwise |
| Per capita expenditure | Individual's per capita expenditure in natural logarithmic form |

including education, per capita expenditure, residence, gender, marital status and age. Education level was the highest education level the individual attended and was classified into 5 categories: (1) no schooling, (2) elementary school, (3) lower secondary school, (4) upper secondary school, (5) higher education; with the first group, no schooling, as the reference group. Table 1 provides a further description of some variables in the model.

Analysis

In analyzing the relationship between hypertension and work hours, this study employed a logit regression model with standard deviation clustered at individual level to measure the contribution of work hours to the probability of a working individual having hypertension. To check for robustness, this study also employed an ordinary least squares (OLS) regression and another logit regression analysis.

Logit regression models the probability of a binary outcome (i.e., risk of hypertension: hypertensive or not) based on one or more predictor variables. The primary focus is on understanding how changes in the predictors affect the likelihood of the outcome occurring. To interpret the effects of predictors, average marginal effects are used to describe the average change in the predicted probability of the outcome for a one-unit change in the predictor [36]. The logit regression equation for the main model in this study is as follows:

$$P(hypertension = 1) = \alpha + \beta_1 workhours + \beta_i X_i + \epsilon_i$$

As the first alternative to check for robustness, an OLS regression with robust standard errors was performed to find the hyperplane that best fits the data by minimizing the sum of the squared differences between the observed values and the values predicted by the linear model. This minimization leads to the OLS estimates for the coefficients, which represent the best linear unbiased estimators (BLUE) under the Gauss-Markov assumptions [36]. This model assumed linearity and used mean arterial pressure (MAP) as the continuous form of blood pressure instead of a dummy hypertension for the dependent variable. MAP is calculated as: MAP=Diastolic Pressure+1/3(Systolic Pressure - Diastolic Pressure) [37]. Since the IFLS provides two blood pressure measurements for each individual, this study used the average of the MAP values, labeled as average MAP. The OLS regression equation is as follows:

Average $MAP_i = \alpha + \beta_1 workhours + \beta_i X_i + \epsilon$

In the second alternative model, this study used a dummy variable of excessive work hours instead of a continuous form for the main independent variable. Based on the

Table 2 Descriptive statistics

| Variable | Mean | Std. Dev |
|----------------------------------|---------|----------|
| Work hours per week | 41.69 | 21.76 |
| Average MAP | 95.15 | 12.99 |
| BMI | 25.06 | 67.70 |
| Per capita expenditure | 738,453 | 759,981 |
| Age | 36.30 | 13.72 |
| Observations | 22,500 | |
| Percentage (%) | | |
| Hypertension | 29.20 | |
| Physical activity | 55.24 | |
| Lifting heavy loads | 31.54 | |
| Stooping, kneeling, crouching | 50.37 | |
| Using computers | 8.28 | |
| Formal | 41.05 | |
| Stress | 7.42 | |
| Smoking behavior | | |
| Never smoker | 58.97 | |
| Former smoker | 2.88 | |
| Current light to moderate smoker | 32.86 | |
| Current heavy smoker | 5.28 | |
| Male | 53.35 | |
| Married | 71.77 | |
| Rural | 47.52 | |
| Education level | | |
| No schooling | 5.87 | |
| Elementary school | 33.75 | |
| Lower secondary school | 18.40 | |
| Upper secondary school | 29.31 | |
| Higher education | 12.68 | |
| Observations | 22,500 | |

| Table 3 | Prevalence of hypertension across age groups and |
|---------|--------------------------------------------------|
| genders | |

| | Num. with hypertension | Total | Prevalence (%) |
|-----------|------------------------|--------|----------------|
| Age group | C | | |
| 15-24 | 920 | 4,926 | 18.68 |
| 25-54 | 4,413 | 15,076 | 29.27 |
| 55-64 | 750 | 1,588 | 47.23 |
| >64 | 487 | 910 | 53.52 |
| Gender | | | |
| Male | 3,314 | 12,003 | 27.61 |
| Female | 3,256 | 10,497 | 31.02 |

Source: IFLS, Author's calculation

regulation of normal work hours in Indonesia, those who work more than 40 h per week are considered as individuals with excessive work hours. The logit regression equation is as follows:

 $P(hypertension = 1) = \alpha + \delta_0 excessive work + \beta_i X_i + \epsilon$

Results

Descriptive statistics

To provide an overview of the data used in this study, Table 2 shows the descriptive statistics of the variables for the entire sample of working people in Indonesia. The upper part of the table shows the mean and standard deviation of the continuous variables and the lower part shows the percentage of the indicator variables.

Most Indonesians work around 42 h per week (s.d. 22 h). There are 6,570 people who are objectively measured as having hypertension, amounting to about 29%. Regarding job characteristics, the percentage of people with jobs requiring moderate to high physical activity is approximately 55%; meanwhile, the percentage of those with jobs involving moderate to high level of stress is approximately 7%. Our sample has to some extent reflect the labor force participation rate in Indonesia as compared to Indonesian National Labor Force Survey 2021 [38] as the result shows that the workforce is dominated by male workers at approximately 53%.

Body mass index variable shows that average working individuals in Indonesia have a BMI of 25, which is categorized as overweight (s.d. 68). Smoking behavior among working people shows that the largest portion, almost 59%, comprises those who never smoke with current light to moderate smokers coming second at nearly 33%. Among all, most have an education level of elementary school and upper secondary school, that is 34% and 29%.

The prevalence of hypertension is calculated as the proportion of individuals with hypertension within age group and based on gender. Table 3 shows that the prevalence of hypertension increases with advancing age. The highest prevalence is observed in the age group>64 at around 54%. It also shows that the prevalence of hypertension is found higher among female compared to male workers.

Regression analysis

Table 4 shows the estimation result of the logit model. The findings indicate that at the mean of 42 h per week, controlling for sociodemographic and health behavioral-risk factors of hypertension, an hour increase in work hours per week of an individual will increase the probability of that individual having hypertension by 0.06% points (p<0.01). In addition, several control variables showed significant associations with the risk of hypertension as presented in the table at varying significance levels.

An individual with a job involving moderate to high level of physical activity has a 1.68% points lower probability of having hypertension compared to those with little to no physical activity in their job (p < 0.01). Furthermore, lifting heavy loads and using computers are found to be negatively related with hypertension (p < 0.05).

Page 5 of 9

| Table 4 | Logit regression | result (depen | dent variab | le: measured |
|----------|------------------|---------------|-------------|--------------|
| hyperter | nsion) | | | |

| hypertension) | 6 | Manual 1 11 |
|------------------------------------------|-------------------------|-----------------|
| Variable | Coefficient | Marginal effect |
| Work hours per week | 0.0031*** | 0.0006*** |
| | (0.0007) | (0.0001) |
| Physical activity | -0.0931*** | -0.0168*** |
| | (0.0365) | (0.0065) |
| lifting heavy loads | -0.0931** | -0.0165** |
| | (0.0409) | (0.0073) |
| Stooping, kneeling, crouching | 0.0428 | 0.0076 |
| | (0.0359) | (0.0064) |
| Jsing computers | -0.1088** | -0.0230** |
| | (0.0652) | (0.0116) |
| itress | -0.1088* | -0.0193* |
| | (0.0610) | (0.0108) |
| Formal | 0.0924** | 0.0164** |
| | (0.0364) | (0.0001) |
| 3MI | 0.0005** | 0.0001** |
| | (0.0002) | (0.0000) |
| moking behavior (ref: never smoke | r) | |
| Former smoker | -0.1532 | -0.0277 |
| | (0.1036) | (0.0183) |
| Current light or moderate smoker | -0.3588*** | -0.0626*** |
| | (0.0507) | (0.0087) |
| Current heavy smoker | -0.1443* | -0.0262* |
| current neavy smoker | (0.0825) | (0.0147) |
| Male | 0.2416*** | 0.0429*** |
| haic | (0.0469) | (0.0083) |
| Married | 0.0175 | 0.0429 |
| Manieu | (0.0410) | (0.0073) |
| Rural | -0.0889*** | -0.0158*** |
| la l | (0.0347) | (0.0062) |
| nPCE | -0.1142*** | -0.0212*** |
| IIPCE | (0.2622) | (0.0047) |
| No. | -0.0599*** | -0.0106*** |
| Age | -0.0599**** (0.0072) | (0.0013) |
| | | |
| Agesq | 0.0013*** | 0.0002*** |
| | (0.0001) | (0.0000) |
| Education level (ref: no schooling) | | |
| Elementary school | 0.1614** | 0.0293** |
| | (0.0789) | (0.0141) |
| ower secondary school | -0.0836 | -0.0151 |
| | (0.0871) | (0.0154) |
| Jpper secondary school | -0.0677 | -0.0116 |
| | (0.0871) | (0.0154) |
| ligher education | -0.0795 | -0.0124 |
| | (0.0976) | (0.0172) |
| Survey wave 5 (2014) | 1.3233*** | 0.2349*** |
| | (0.0398) | (0.0066) |
| _cons | 0.0886 | |
| | (0.3468) | |
| Vald chi2(22) | 2163.32 | |
| Prob > chi2 | 0.0000 | |
| Observation | 22,500 | 22,500 |

Source: IFLS, Author's calculation

| Table 5 | OLS regression result (dependent variable: average |
|---------|----------------------------------------------------|
| MAP) | |

| MAP) | | |
|--------------------------------------------------------------|-------------|----------------------------|
| Variable | Coefficient | 95% Confidence Interval |
| Work hours | 0.0072* | -0.0003-0.0147 |
| Physical activity | -0.5937*** | -0.9695 - (-0.2179) |
| Lifting heavy loads | -0.4699** | -0.8749 - (-0.0650) |
| Stooping, kneeling, crouching | 0.0509 | -0.3090-0.4108 |
| Using computers | -0.5153* | -1.1108-0.0803 |
| Stress | -0.2938 | -0.8591-0.2714 |
| Formal | 0.4293** | 0.0706-0.7880 |
| BMI | 0.0029** | 0.0001-0.0058 |
| Smoking behavior (ref: never sm | oker) | |
| Former smoker | -0.3400 | -1.4326-0.7527 |
| Current light or moderate smoker | -2.4885*** | -2.9684 - (-2.0086) |
| Current heavy smoker | -1.3892*** | -2.1893 - (-0.5890) |
| Male | 3.5404*** | 3.0678-4.0129 |
| Married | -1.4207*** | -1.8201 - (-1.0214) |
| Rural | -0.0988 | -0.4452-0.2475 |
| InPCE | 0.4709*** | 0.2109-0.7309 |
| Age | 0.5409*** | 0.4757-0.6060 |
| Agesq | -0.0025*** | -0.0033-0.0017 |
| Education level (ref: no schooling | g) | |
| Elementary school | 0.0884 | -0.7984-0.9752 |
| Lower secondary school | -1.1548** | -2.0970 - (-0.2127) |
| Upper secondary school | -1.4848*** | -2.4322 - (-0.5373) |
| Higher education | -2.0790*** | -3.1193 – (-1.0387) |
| Survey wave 5 (2014) | -0.0778 | -0.4614-0.3058 |
| _cons | 74.0914 | 70.6151-77.5677 |
| Prob > F | 0.0000 | |
| R-squared | 0.1417 | |
| Observation | 22,500 | 22,500 |
| Note: *** <i>p</i> <0.01, ** <i>p</i> <0.05, * <i>p</i> <0.7 | 1 | |

Source: IFLS, Author's calculation

Stress level involved in individuals' job is also found to be negatively related (p < 0.10). Being a current smoker is also found to be associated with lower risk of hypertension (p < 0.01; p < 0.10). Additionally, the result shows that a male worker relatively has a higher probability of having hypertension than a female worker by 4.29% points (*p*<0.01).

Table 5 shows the logit regression result for the alternative model which used continuous blood pressure as the dependent variable. It further confirms the finding of the positive and significant relationship between work hours and higher blood pressure (p < 0.10).

Table 6 presents the logit regression result of the second alternative model, using dummy excessive work hours as the independent variable. The result shows that excessive work hours are positively and significantly related to higher risk of hypertension (p < 0.01), verifying the main finding of this study. It also serves as a robustness check, ensuring that the estimation of the main

 Table 6
 Logit regression result (dependent variable: measured hypertension)

| Variable | Coefficient | Marginal effect |
|-------------------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------|
| Excessive work hours | 0.0845*** | 0.0150*** |
| | (0.0329) | (0.0058) |
| Physical activity | -0.0941*** | -0.0167*** |
| | (0.0365) | (0.0065) |
| Lifting heavy loads | -0.0925** | -0.0164** |
| | (0.0409) | (0.0073) |
| Stooping, kneeling, crouching | 0.0398 | 0.0071 |
| | (0.0359) | (0.0064) |
| Using computers | -0.1273* | -0.02261* |
| | (0.0652) | (0.0116) |
| Stress | -0.1023* | -0.0182* |
| | (0.0610) | (0.0108) |
| Formal | 0.0910** | 0.0162** |
| | (0.0366) | (0.0065) |
| BMI | 0.0005** | 0.0001** |
| | (0.0002) | (0.0000) |
| Smoking behavior (ref: never smoke | r) | |
| Former smoker | -0.1532 | -0.0277 |
| | (0.1036) | (0.0183) |
| Current light or moderate smoker | -0.3563*** | -0.0622*** |
| 5 | (0.0506) | (0.0087) |
| Current heavy smoker | -0.1402* | -0.0254* |
| | (0.0826) | (0.0147) |
| Male | 0.2412*** | 0.0428*** |
| | (0.0469) | (0.0083) |
| Married | 0.0213 | 0.0038 |
| | (0.0410) | (0.0073) |
| Rural | -0.0947*** | -0.0168*** |
| | (0.0347) | (0.0062) |
| InPCE | -0.1142*** | -0.0203*** |
| | (0.2622) | (0.0047) |
| Age | -0.0594*** | -0.0105*** |
| | (0.0072) | (0.0013) |
| Agesq | 0.0013*** | 0.0002*** |
| , 9094 | (0.0001) | (0.0000) |
| Education level (ref: no schooling) | (, | () |
| Elementary school | 0.1614** | 0.0295** |
| Elementary school | (0.0789) | (0.0141) |
| Lower secondary school | -0.0836 | -0.0146 |
| Lower secondary school | (0.0871) | (0.0154) |
| Upper secondary school | -0.0677 | -0.0119 |
| opper secondary school | (0.0871) | (0.0154) |
| Higher education | | -0.0139 |
| | -0.0795 (0.0976) | (0.0172) |
| SULVOV WOVO 5 (2014) | (0.0970) 1.3205*** | 0.2345*** |
| Survey wave 5 (2014) | | |
| conc | (0.0398) | (0.0065) |
| _cons | 0.0886 | |
| | (0.3468) | |
| Wald chi2(22) | 2154.45 | |
| Prob>chi2 | 0.0000 | |
| Observation | 22,500 | 22,500 |

Note: *** *p*<0.01, ** *p*<0.05, * *p*<0.1

Source: IFLS, Author's calculation

Table 7 Work hours and hypertension among workingindividuals

| | Odds Ratio (OR) | 95% Confidence Interval | <i>p-</i> val- |
|---------------------------|--------------------|----------------------------|-------------------|
| | | | ue |
| Work hours | 1.0031 | 1.0017-1.0045 | 0.000 |
| Excessive work hours | 1.0881 | 1.0201-1.1607 | 0.010 |
| Odde wether (OFO(CI) few | h | | |

Odds ratio (95% Cl) for hypertension were estimated using logit regression, controlling for the variables as presented in Tables 4 and 6

model used in this study is robust where the core variables are valid to interpret [39]. Furthermore, the odds ratios and confidence intervals are presented in Table 7.

Discussion

On average, Indonesians work normal hours, with the majority required to do moderate to high physical activity in their workplace. Additionally, individuals with jobs requiring bad posture (stooping, kneeling, crouching) and lifting heavy loads contribute to a noticeably large portion. This aligns with the fact that the majority of Indonesian are informal workers [40], as also shown in Table 2. The average weekly work hours, as shown in Table 2, are approximately 42 h, which reflects the more recent data published by Indonesian Bureau of Statistics (BPS) that noted an average of 42 h a week in August 2023. There has been an increase of 2.44% compared to February—an average of 41 h a week, initially [5].

The result of this study, as shown in Table 4, indicates a significant and positive relationship between hypertension and work hours, meaning that the probability of having hypertension is higher for workers who work longer hours. This finding is in line with other studies that underscore that longer work hours are associated with a higher probability of having hypertension [14, 16, 41]. The alternative models as presented in Tables 5 and 6 further confirm this relationship, where longer work hours are associated with higher blood pressure which is also found in a recent study [42]. Various hypotheses have been proposed to explain the relationship between long working hours and the risk of having cardiovascular diseases. Longer work hours could cause sleep deprivation, increased stress-level, and less time to recover, which has been shown to increase the risk of hypertension [20-22,43, 44]. Although this study performed a robustness check and the variables of primary interest show a consistent and robust estimation of correlation, the analysis cannot suggest a causality effect [39].

According to the International Labour Organization, the maximum standard working time is eight hours per day and 48 h per week, as the ILO Hours of Work (Industry) Convention (No. 1) of 1919 has introduced it as an international norm [45]. In some exceptional cases, working overtime is allowed, as long as the total working time is no higher than 10 h per day and no higher than 56 h per week. In Indonesia, overtime work hours can be done for a maximum of four hours per day and 18 h per week with a normal working time provision of 40 h a week—totaling a maximum of 58 h per week. This number is two hours higher than the international standard.

It is not uncommon for countries to have maximum working hours shorter than the international standard. In the United Kingdom, an initiative of a shorter workweek-4 days amounting to 32 h per week, had most businesses report a reduction in employees' stress and an increase in their well-being [46]. However, a lot of Indonesian workers, especially in the manufacturing industry, are low-skilled labors and reducing work hours may impose challenges such as lower productivity and underutilization of labor [47]. Having similar characteristics, Indonesia may adopt the initiative of the flexible work arrangement of Philippines which allows employers and employees to make an agreement regarding work hours, work days, and work week [48]. This is meant to encourage employees' work-life balance and also benefit the employers from increased productivity.

Some domestic employers utilize flexible working arrangements, which has been proven to be successful in the case of L'Oréal Indonesia [49]. Therefore, the government may facilitate and promote the initiative through regulations. Types of flexible work arrangements that are suitable for the family-oriented Indonesian culture would be telecommuting and flextime—where workers work normal hours at their workplace with preferred arrival and departure time. While telecommuting might improve family-time (e.g., work from home), it might lead to longer work hours if one could not set proper boundaries [50]. Further research is recommended as determining the effect of telecommuting among Indonesian workers is necessary to provide insights for the government's decision-making. The Indonesian government would benefit from more research and data collection regarding working conditions and health outcomes which can inform evidence-based policy-making and initiatives. It may analyze the implications of its current standard of working hours and the best strategies to promote workers' health. The government may also provide incentives to companies that implement measures to reduce overtime work and promote employee well-being. Additionally, knowing that the prevalence of hypertension is higher for older individuals as shown in Table 3, which is also found in a previous study [51], the government might benefit from further studies focusing on the risk of hypertension for middle-aged and older working individuals and whether they need any specialized approach of risk mitigation.

In addition, as the results suggest, several control variables have significant associations with the risk of hypertension. This study observed some contrary findings to some previous studies. While most evidence suggests a positive relationship between occupational stress and hypertension, this study found a negative association (p < 0.10). This can be explained by considering several personal variables that might mediate this relationship. Individuals with higher self-perceived capabilities, self-confidence, and effective coping mechanism are often better equipped to handle stress. These personal attributes can buffer the negative effects of stress, allowing individuals to manage their stress and prevent it from translating into physiological consequences such as hypertension [52]. The results also showed a lower probability for current smokers compared with individuals who never smoke, contrary to some previous studies [53, 54]. However, this result aligns with a finding by Li et al. [55], with the attributable cause being the long-term effects of smoking which often do not show up until later in life [56]. Furthermore, although this study found a higher probability of having hypertension for male workers and the disease is also known to be more likely in males [57], this study also observed the contrary as presented in Table 3 where there is a higher prevalence of hypertension among females relative to males. The possible cause is that females experience a much rapid rise in blood pressure beginning in their third decade of life and the prevalence of hypertension increases with age [58]. The study also mentioned that sustained vascular influence of hypertensive conditions of pregnancy, interactions between the renin-angiotensin-aldosterone system and sex hormones, or even psychosocial gendered issues such as socioeconomic deprivation may be responsible for these blood pressure trajectories.

While this study provides valuable insights regarding the relationship between working hours and the risk of hypertension among working individuals in Indonesia, it is important to acknowledge its limitations. The sample used in this analysis may not fully capture the true prevalence of hypertension among the Indonesian workforce, potentially leading to an overestimation which might result from the measurement of blood pressure that was conducted only in one same day. Furthermore, the analysis in this study might not estimate the causal effect of work hours on hypertension, taking into account the possibility of reverse causality where individuals' decision regarding their work hours might be attributed to their health status. Further studies are needed to establish causality. Moreover, this study did not include other source of stressors, such as commuting, due to the information being unavailable. Despite these limitations, this study offers a comprehensive examination regarding the covered topic by utilizing the available data and alternative models to attempt for more robust results.

Conclusions

This study found a significant and positive relationship between work hours and hypertension, meaning that longer work hours are associated with a higher risk of hypertension. Possible mechanisms are incidence of sleep deprivation, increased stress-level and less time to recover. Although this study cannot suggest causality, the strongly significant correlation may provide an idea and an overview regarding the risk of hypertension among working individuals in Indonesia. The Indonesian government, after conducting further studies, may adopt initiatives such as flexible working arrangements into its regulation and incentive provisions to promote workers' health outcomes in the presence of the challenges the country may face in regulating work hours.

Acknowledgements

The authors thank Rafa Fayza Afrizal and Salsabila Yori Harmen from Padjadjaran University for helpful comments and discussions.

Author contributions

F.A. performed the data analysis, result interpretation, and took lead in writing the manuscript. A.S. supervised the study, provided critical feedback, and helped shape the research and manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Funding

Authors do not receive any funding. Open access funding provided by University of Padjadjaran

Data availability

The data used in this study are freely available in the RAND repository, https:// www.rand.org/well-being/social-and-behavioral-policy/data/FLS/IFLS.html.

Declarations

Ethics approval and consent to participate Not applicable.

Consent for publication

Not applicable.

Competing interests

The authors declare no competing interests.

Received: 3 April 2024 / Accepted: 5 September 2024 Published online: 12 September 2024

References

- 1. International Labour Organization. Working Time and Work-Life Balance Around the World. 2023 Jan.
- International Labour Organization. ILOSTAT. 2024. Statistics on working time. https://ilostat.ilo.org/topics/working-time/
- 3. Long working hours and health. Vol. The Lancet Regional Health Western Pacific. Volume 11. Elsevier Ltd; 2021.
- Solih R, Hermawati F, Friska M, Rahmawatiningsih A. Laborer Situation in Indonesia August 2023 [Internet]. 2023 Aug. https://www.bps.go.id/id/public ation/2023/12/08/1b09be03a0951907a562f755/keadaan-pekerja-di-indonesia-agustus-2023.html
- BPS-Statistics Indonesia [Internet]. 2023. Number and percentage of employment and unemployment - statistical data. https://www.bps.go.id/ en/statistics-table/2/MTk1MyMy/jumlah-dan-persentase-penduduk-bekerjadan-pengangguran.html

- U.S. Department of Labor. Fact Sheet #22: Hours Worked Under the Fair Labor Standards Act (FLSA) [Internet]. Wage and Hour Division of United States Department of Labor; Jul, 2008. https://www.dol.gov/agencies/whd/ fact-sheets/22-flsa-hours-worked
- Ministry of Health L and W of J. Revised Labour Standards Act [Internet]. 2010. https://www.mhlw.go.jp/english/policy/affairs/dl/07.pdf
- Kim I, Min J. Working hours and the regulations in Korea. Ann Occup Environ Med. 2023;35(1).
- 9. World Health Organization. World Health Organization (WHO). 2023. Hypertension. https://www.who.int/news-room/fact-sheets/detail/hypertension
- Institute for Health Metrics and Evaluation, Seattle WA. IHME, University of Washington. http://vizhub.healthdata.org/gbd-compare. 2020. GBD Compare Data Visualization.
- 11. National Basic Health. Survey 2018 Team. National Basic Health Survey Report of 2018. 2019.
- Indonesian Ministry of Health. P2PTM Kemkes. 2019. World Hypertension Day 2019: Know Your Number, Control Your Blood Pressure SMARTLY. https:// p2ptm.kemkes.go.id/tag/hari-hipertensi-dunia-2019-know-your-numberkendalikan-tekanan-darahmu-dengan-cerdik
- 13. Indonesian Ministry of Health. Indonesia Health Survey in Fig. 2024.
- Trudel X, Brisson C, Gilbert-Ouimet M, Vézina M, Talbot D, Milot A. Long working hours and the prevalence of masked and sustained hypertension. Hypertension. 2020;75(2):532–8.
- Virtanen M, Magnusson Hansson L, Goldberg M, Zins M, Stenholm S, Vahtera J et al. Long working hours, anthropometry, lung function, blood pressure and blood-based biomarkers: Cross-sectional findings from the CONSTANCES study. J Epidemiol Community Health (1978). 2019;73(2):130–5.
- 16. Kudo T, Belzer MH. Excessive work hours and hypertension: evidence from the NIOSH survey data. Saf Sci. 2020;129:104813.
- Guner U. Is there a relationship between working hours and hypertension? Evidence from the United States. Work. 2019;62(2):279–85.
- Afonso P, Fonseca M, Pires JF. Impact of working hours on sleep and mental health. Occup Med (Chic III). 2017;67(5):377–82.
- Chaput JP, Dutil C, Sampasa-Kanyinga H. Sleeping hours: what is the ideal number and how does age impact this? Nature and Science of Sleep. Volume 10. Dove Medical Press Ltd; 2018. pp. 421–30.
- Li C, Shang S. Relationship between Sleep and Hypertension: Findings from the NHANES (2007–2014). Int J Environ Res Public Health [Internet]. 2007;18:7867. https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph
- Wang L, Hu Y, Wang X, Yang S, Chen W, Zeng Z. The association between sleep duration and hypertension: a meta and study sequential analysis. J Hum Hypertens. 2021;35(7):621–6.
- Feng X, Liu Q, Li Y, Zhao F, Chang H, Lyu J. Longitudinal study of the relationship between sleep duration and hypertension in Chinese adult residents (CHNS 2004–2011). Sleep Med. 2019;58:88–92.
- Zhang H, Zhao X, Li Y, Mao Z, Huo W, Jiang J, et al. Night sleep duration and sleep initiation time with hypertension in Chinese rural population: the Henan Rural Cohort. Eur J Public Health. 2020;30(1):164–70.
- Jike M, Itani O, Watanabe N, Buysse DJ, Kaneita Y. Long sleep duration and health outcomes: a systematic review, meta-analysis and meta-regression. Sleep Medicine Reviews. Volume 39. W.B. Saunders Ltd; 2018. pp. 25–36.
- Gamage AU, de Seneviratne R. A. Physical inactivity, and its association with hypertension among employees in the district of Colombo. BMC Public Health. 2021;21(1).
- Hayes P, Ferrara A, Keating A, McKnight K, O'Regan A. Physical Activity and Hypertension. Vol. 23, Reviews in Cardiovascular Medicine. IMR Press Limited; 2022.
- Kumbu RK, Matondo H, Labat A, Kianu B, Godin I, Kiyombo G et al. Job stress, a source of hypertension among workers in Sub-saharan Africa: a scoping review. BMC Public Health. 2023;23(1).
- Wage and Hour Division of the U.S. Department of Labor. Wages and the Fair Labor Standards Act [Internet]. https://www.dol.gov/agencies/whd/flsa
- 29. State of California Department of Industrial Relations. Labor Commissioner's Office. Overtime. https://www.dir.ca.gov/dlse/fag_overtime.htm
- Public Laws of. 1999 Chap. 750 Subchapter 1 (NEW) [Internet]. Maine Legislature 2023. https://www.mainelegislature.org/legis/statutes/26/title26sec603. html
- 31. The Government of. the Republic of Indonesia Regulation Number 35 of 2021. 2021.
- 32. The Government of. the Republic of Indonesia Regulation Number 13 of 2003. 2003.

- Strauss J, Witoelar F, Sikoki B, Wattie AM. The Fourth Wave of the Indonesia Family Life Survey (IFLS4): Overview and Field Report. 2009.
- Strauss J, Witoelar F. B. Sikoki. The Fifth Wave of the Indonesia Family Life Survey (IFLS5): Overview and Field Report. 2016.
- 35. RAND [Internet]. Rand Family Life Surveys (FLS) | Rand. https://www.rand.org/ well-being/social-and-behavioral-policy/data/FLS.html
- 36. Wooldridge JM. Introductory Econometrics. 2012.
- DeMers D, Wachs D, Physiology. Mean Arterial Pressure [Internet]. Treasure Island (FL): StatPearls Publishing; 2024. https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/ NBK538226/
- BPS-Statistics Indonesia. Employment in Data [Internet]. 2021. https://satudata.kemnaker.go.id
- Lu X, White H. Robustness checks and robustness tests in applied economics. J Econom. 2014;178(1):194–206.
- BPS-Statistics Indonesia, BPS-Statistics Indonesia. 2022. Number and Percentage of People Aged 15 Years and Over who are Employed by Formal/Informal, 2019–2021.
- Yang H, Schnall PL, Jauregui M, Su TC, Baker D. Work hours and selfreported hypertension among working people in California. Hypertension. 2006;48(4):744–50.
- Ahn J, Song JH, Shin IS, Cho IY, Kang MY. Systematic review and meta-analysis of the association between long working hours and hypertension risk. J Epidemiol Community Health (1978). 2024;78(6):367–73.
- Wang J, Zhu L, Song L, Zhou Z, Chan W, Li G et al. A cohort study on the association between changing occupational stress, hair cortisol concentration, and hypertension. PLoS ONE. 2023;18(5 MAY).
- Virtanen M, Heikkilä K, Jokela M, Ferrie JE, Batty GD, Vahtera J, et al. Long working hours and coronary heart disease: a systematic review and metaanalysis. Am J Epidemiol. 2012;176:586–96.
- 45. Hamandia-Güldenberg A. Conditions of Work and Employment Programme Statutory regulations on overtime in selected countries [Internet]. 2004 May. Available from: www.ilo.org/travail.
- 46. Henley Business School. The four-day week The pandemic and the evolution of flexible working. 2022.
- 47. Allen ER. Analysis of Trends and Challenges in the Indonesian Labor Market [Internet]. 2016. Available from: www.adb.org.

- 48. Labor Law PH [Internet]. 2024. Flexible Work Arrangements. https://laborlaw. ph/flexible-work-arrangements/
- Indonesia Business Coalition for Women Empowerment. Flexible Working Arrangements Successful Implementation of L'Oréal Indonesia [Internet]. 2022. https://www.bbc.com/worklife/ article/20210729-why-younger-workers-want-hybrid-
- Allvin M, Aronsson G, Hagström T, Lundberg U. Work without boundaries: psychological perspectives on the New Working Life. John Wiley & Sons, Ltd; 2011.
- Yoo DH, Kang MY, Paek D, Min B, Cho S, Il. Effect of long working hours on self-reported hypertension among middle-aged and older wage workers. Ann Occup Environ Med. 2014;26(1).
- Rosenthal T, Alter A. Occupational stress and hypertension. J Am Soc Hypertens. 2012;6:2–22.
- Zhou Y, Zheng HC, Xue EC, Wang MY, Jiang J, Wu T. A prospective cohort study on the association between smoking and blood pressure among middle-aged and elderly people. Zhonghua Liu Xing Bing Xue Za Zhi. 2020;41(6):896–901.
- 54. Sohn K. Relationship of smoking to hypertension in a developing country. Glob Heart. 2018;13(4):285–92.
- Li G, Wang H, Wang K, Wang W, Dong F, Qian Y et al. The association between smoking and blood pressure in men: a cross-sectional study. BMC Public Health. 2017;17(1).
- Gao K, Shi X, Wang W. The life-course impact of smoking on hypertension, myocardial infarction and respiratory diseases. Sci Rep. 2017;7(1).
- 57. Mashuri YA, Ng N, Santosa A. Socioeconomic disparities in the burden of hypertension among Indonesian adults a multilevel analysis. Glob Health Action 2022;15(1).
- Connelly PJ, Currie G, Delles C. Sex differences in the prevalence, outcomes and Management of Hypertension. Current Hypertension Reports. Volume 24. Springer; 2022. pp. 185–92.

Publisher's note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.