# RESEARCH



# Cardiorespiratory fitness and body mass index on metabolic syndrome in middleaged Japanese adults under national health guidance: a cross-sectional study



Xiangyu Zhai<sup>1,2</sup>, Susumu S. Sawada<sup>3\*</sup>, Sayaka Kurosawa<sup>4</sup>, Sakura Koriyama<sup>1</sup>, Serena A. Dimitroff<sup>1</sup>, Shinji Sato<sup>5</sup>, Yuko Oguma<sup>6</sup>, Yoshio Nakata<sup>7</sup>, Kazushi Maruo<sup>8</sup>, Motohiko Miyachi<sup>3</sup>, Yuko Gando<sup>9</sup>, Koichiro Oka<sup>3</sup> and Duck-chul Lee<sup>10</sup>

# Abstract

**Objectives** Poor cardiorespiratory fitness (CRF) and high body mass index (BMI) increased the risk of developing metabolic Syndrome (MetS) mostly in Caucasians. However, the sex-specific combined association of CRF and BMI on MetS considering health-related behaviors has yet to be thoroughly examined in Japanese. This study aims to investigate the sex-specific independent and combined associations of CRF and BMI with MetS in middle-aged Japanese adults.

**Methods** 421 participants were included in this cross-sectional study. CRF was estimated using a submaximal cycle ergometer. CRF and BMI were respectively divided into three categories according to tertile distribution. MetS was diagnosed based on five risk factors: waist circumference, triglycerides, high-density lipoprotein cholesterol, blood pressure, and fasting glucose. Multivariable logistic regression models were used to estimate independent and combined association of CRF and BMI with MetS.

**Results** Results showed that 154 (57.5%) and 70 (45.8%) of men and women had MetS, respectively. Compared to men with lower CRF or higher BMI, men with middle and higher CRF or middle and lower BMI were less likely to have MetS. Compared with 'unfit and higher BMI' group, 'unfit and lower BMI', 'fit and higher BMI', and 'fit and lower BMI' groups in men showed statistically significant decreased prevalences of MetS. However, no significant associations were found in women.

**Conclusions** This study found significant independent and combined associations of CRF and BMI with MetS only in men, but not in women. However, prospective studies are warranted to confirm sex-specific associations of CRF and BMI with MetS.

Keywords Cardiorespiratory fitness, Body mass index, Metabolic syndrome, Epidemiology

\*Correspondence: Susumu S. Sawada s-sawada@waseda.jp

Full list of author information is available at the end of the article



© The Author(s) 2024. **Open Access** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.

#### Introduction

Metabolic syndrome (MetS) is a clustering of hyperglycemia/insulin resistance, obesity, dyslipidemia, and high blood pressure that contributes to developing atherosclerotic cardiovascular disease (CVD), type 2 diabetes, and premature mortality [1]. The prevalence of MetS has been increasing worldwide [2–6], since the transition to modern lifestyles, including the increase in consumption of fast food and the decrease in physical activity [7, 8]. For example, the prevalence of MetS increased from 37.6% in 2011–12 to 41.8% in 2017–18, according to the data from the United States National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey [9].

Evidence shows that lifestyle modifications can effectively improve all components of MetS [10]. Healthy lifestyles can be reflected by higher cardiorespiratory fitness (CRF) and lower body mass index (BMI), which may attenuate MetS. It is well established that the combined association of poor fitness and fatness is least favorable to CVD mortality [11], but the relative and combined contributions of fitness and fatness to other health outcomes, including MetS are still controversial. Some studies suggested that obese individuals with a higher level of fitness do not have excess health problems since fitness can offset the adverse effects of obesity [12, 13]. However, others reported that while higher levels of fitness can offer certain benefits, they may not entirely mitigate the negative health impacts associated with obesity [14, 15].

Although the independent and combined associations of CRF and BMI with MetS have been reported primarily on Western populations [16-20], there are factors that limit the applicability of this knowledge to the Japanese population. A significant barrier is the variation in MetS prevalence across different geographic regions [21]. Despite having a lower BMI, Asians tend to store more visceral fat [22]; this "skinny-fat" Asian syndrome influenced by genetics and environment cannot be overlooked. Furthermore, the prevalence of MetS increases with advancing age and is common in middle-aged adults. Prevention is crucial before the development of CVD, diabetes, or premature mortality. In 2008, the Japanese Ministry of Health, Labour, and Welfare (MHLW) introduced a nationwide program to provide health guidance for individuals with CV risk factors but no meaningful clinical effects were found. Examining the relative importance of CRF or BMI and the potential additive benefits of both on MetS in the population under health guidance may contribute to providing suggestions for improving national health guidance and other public health policies.

Given that, in the general Japanese population aged over 40 years, the prevalence of MetS in men is thrice that in women [23], sex-specific associations are crucial and needed. Thus, the purpose of this study was to investigate the sex-specific independent and combined associations of CRF and BMI with MetS in middleaged Japanese adults under national health guidance. It was hypothesized that: (1) lower CRF is associated with a higher prevalence of MetS, independent of BMI; (2) higher BMI is associated with a higher prevalence of MetS, independent of CRF; and (3) the combined association of CRF and BMI with prevalence of MetS would be stronger than the association of either CRF or BMI alone with MetS.

# Methods

#### Participants

A cross-sectional study was conducted using observational data from the Japan Health Promotion Facility (JHPF) Study, supported by the Japanese MHLW. The JHPF Study, a 6-month randomized controlled trial to examine the physical and psychological effects of structured exercise training on middle-aged Japanese adults, was conducted at 18 Health Promotion Facilities located across Japan. All participants underwent a National Screening Program as outlined in Supplementary Fig. 1 established by MHLW [24], and only those who were eligible to be under intensive and moderate support from National Health Guidance were recruited in this study. After excluding the participants (n=38) with missing information on alcohol drinking (n=1), education levels (n=4), sedentary time (n=3), and physical activity (n=9), blood pressure (n=1), fasting glucose (n=8), CRF (n=13), and waist circumference (n=1), a total of 421 participants aged 40-64 years old were included in this study. Written informed consents were obtained from all participants before the trial began. This study has been approved by the Ethics Committees of Waseda University (approval number: 2021-106) and conducted in accordance with the Helsinki Declaration and the Strengthening the Reporting of Observational Studies in Epidemiology (STROBE) reporting guideline.

#### Measures

#### Cardiorespiratory fitness and body mass index

Participants underwent a submaximal exercise test on a cycle ergometer (V77i modified version; Seno Corp., Chiba, Japan) to assess CRF. The exercise test consisted of 5 or 6-minute progressively increasing exercise loads. Maximal oxygen uptake was estimated using Åstrand-Rhyming Nomogram and Åstrand's Nomogram correction factors based on the exercise load and the heart rate [25, 26]. Participants were divided into three categories according to the distribution of tertile: lower (lower onethird), middle (middle one-third), and higher (higher one-third) CRF [27]. Body weight and height were measured on a standard scale (WB-150 S; TANITA Corp., Tokyo, Japan) with light clothing and a stadiometer (YS101-S; YOSHIDA Corp., Japan) without shoes. BMI was calculated using the formula:  $BMI=Weight (kg)/Height (m^2)$ . BMI was categorized as lower (lower one-third), middle (middle one-third), and higher (higher one-third) BMI according to tertile distribution.

#### Metabolic syndrome

Waist circumference was measured based on the middle of the bottom ribs and pelvic bones after a normal exhale using an inelastic tape. Fasting venous blood was collected to analyze biochemical variables such as glucose, triglycerides, and high-density lipoprotein cholesterol (HDL-C). Systolic and diastolic blood pressure were measured using automated sphygmomanometers in a sitting position. According to the International Diabetes Federation (IDF) and American Heart Association/National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute (AHA/ NHLBI) criteria, MetS was diagnosed as the presence of three of the following five risk factors: waist circumference≥85 cm in men or ≥90 cm in women, elevated triglycerides $\geq$ 150 mg/dL, reduced HDL-C<40 mg/dL in men or <50 mg/dL in women, elevated systolic blood pressure≥130 mm Hg and/or diastolic blood pressure  $\geq$  85 mm Hg, and elevated fasting glucose  $\geq$  100 mg/ dL [28].

#### Covariates

A survey on participants' sociodemographic characteristics, including age, sex, and education levels (junior high school graduates, high school graduates, junior, technical, or vocational college graduates, or college graduates or above), was conducted in this study. A self-reported questionnaire related to lifestyle, including sleep duration, smoking status (never, previous, or current), alcohol drinking frequency (never, sometimes, or every day), physical activity ( $\geq$ 600 MET-min/week or not), and sedentary behavior (>7 h/day or not), was completed by participants.

## Statistical analysis

Descriptive characteristics were presented in this study, separated by sex. Continuous or categorical variables were expressed using means and standard deviations (SD) or numbers and percentages. ANOVA was used to examine group differences in each continuous variable across tertile of CRF and BMI in men and women, respectively. The chi-square test was used to examine group differences in each categorical variable across tertile of CRF and BMI in men and women, respectively. Four combined groups of CRF and BMI were created, including "unfit and higher BMI", "unfit and lower BMI", "fit and higher BMI", and "fit and lower BMI". These groups were defined based on the lower one-third of CRF and higher BMI" for "unfit and higher BMI", the lower one-third of CRF and lower two-third of BMI" for "unfit and lower BMI", the higher two-third of CRF and higher one-third of BMI for "fit and higher BMI", and the higher two-third of CRF and lower two-third BMI for "fit and lower BMI". Multivariable logistic regression models were used to estimate the odds ratios (ORs) and 95% confidence intervals (95% CIs) of MetS across CRF (3 categories), BMI (3 categories), or the combination of CRF and BMI (4 groups). The models were adjusted for age, smoking status, alcohol drinking frequency, sleeping duration, physical activity, sedentary behavior, and education levels. All statistical analyses were conducted using R (4.1.1 version), with the acceptable threshold of statistical significance being specified as 0.05 (two-tailed).

## Results

Participants' characteristics are presented according to tertiles of CRF and BMI separated by sex in Table 1. A total of 421 participants were included in this study, with 268 men (age: 50.5±6.7) and 153 women (age: 50.0±5.8). The mean BMI of men was  $27.1\pm3.1$  kg/m<sup>2</sup>, which is lower than that of women  $(28.7\pm3.4)$ . The mean peak oxygen uptake of men was 28.1±8.0 mL/kg/min, which is higher than that of women  $(25.6\pm5.7)$ . Among them, 154 (57.5%) men and 70 (45.8%) women were diagnosed with MetS. All participants in higher CRF group showed higher BMI, but only women in higher CRF were more likely to be more physically active and have less sitting time. Men with higher BMI were more likely to be younger and experience shorter durations of sleep. Women with higher BMI were more likely to have lower CRF.

Additionally, men with lower CRF or higher BMI had a higher prevalence of MetS than those with higher CRF or lower BMI. The mean and SDs of MetS indicators across the three incremental CRF levels are presented. The waist circumference of participants with higher CRF was significantly lower than those with middle and lower CRF in both men and women. The HDL cholesterol of participants with higher CRF were significantly greater than those with middle and lower CRF in men. Across the three incremental BMI levels, the waist circumference and systolic blood pressure of participants with higher BMI were significantly higher than those with lower BMI in both men and women. The triglycerides and HDL cholesterol of men with lower BMI were significantly better than those with higher BMI.

The results of logistic regression that examined the independent relationship between CRF and BMI with MetS are shown in Table 2. Compared to men with lower CRF, men with middle (OR=0.52, 95% CI=0.26-1.00) and higher (OR=0.33, 95% CI=0.17-0.65) CRF had higher prevalences of MetS after adjusting for potential covariates including BMI. Compared to men with higher

 Table 1
 Characteristics of the study participants according to tertiles of CRF and BMI.

|       |  | Tertile of CRF |               |               |              | Tertile of BMI |              |               |               |         |
|-------|--|----------------|---------------|---------------|--------------|----------------|--------------|---------------|---------------|---------|
|       |  | Total          | Lower         | Middle        | Upper        | p value        | Lower        | Middle        | Higher        | p value |
| Men   | Age (years)  | 50.5 ± 6.7     | 51.2 ± 6.6    | 50.4 ± 7.0    | 49.9 ± 6.6   | 0.468          | 52.5 ± 6.6   | 49.8 ± 6.6    | 49.2 ± 6.5    | 0.002   |
| n=268 | Height (cm)  | 172.0 ± 5.7    | 172.2 ± 5.9   | 171.5 ± 5.7   | 172.2 ± 5.6  | 0.680          | 173.7 ± 5.7  | 171.4 ± 5.6   | 170.8 ± 5.5   | 0.002   |
|       | Weight (kg)  | 80.3 ± 10.2    | 82.8 ± 13.0   | 80.3 ± 8.2    | 77.8 ± 7.9   | 0.004          | 73.3 ± 5.1   | 78.1 ± 5.7    | 89.6 ± 10.7   | < 0.001 |
|       | BMI (kg/m <sup>2</sup> )                                       | 27.1 ± 3.1     | 27.9 ± 3.6    | 27.3 ± 2.8    | 26.2 ± 2.5   | 0.001          | 24.3 ± 1.0   | 26.6 ± 0.7    | 30.6 ± 2.4    | < 0.001 |
|       | Peak oxygen<br>uptake (mL/kg/<br>min)                          | 28.1 ± 8.0     | 19.6 ± 4.8    | 28.2 ± 1.6    | 36.4 ± 5.1   | < 0.001        | 28.9 ± 6.7   | 28.6 ± 8.8    | 26.8 ± 8.4    | 0.190   |
|       | Sleep duration<br>(min)  | 380.3 ± 62.9   | 381.2 ± 70.5  | 375.4 ± 65.0  | 384.2 ± 52.3 | 0.637          | 392.2 ± 66.5 | 379.4 ± 57.5  | 369.1 ± 62.9  | 0.048   |
|       | Meeting PA<br>recommenda-<br>tions<br>(≥ 600 MET-min/<br>week) | 128 (47.8%)    | 40 (44.9%)    | 39 (43.8%)    | 49 (54.4%)   | 0.294          | 36 (40.0%)   | 46 (51.7%)    | 46 (51.7%)    | 0.195   |
|       | Sedentary time<br>(>7 h/day)                                   | 143 (53.4%)    | 41 (46.1%)    | 50 (56.2%)    | 52 (57.8%)   | 0.236          | 47 (52.2%)   | 49 (55.1%)    | 47 (52.8%)    | 0.923   |
|       | Smoking status   |                |               |               |              | 0.709          |              |               |               | 0.238   |
|       | Non-smoker   | 85 (31.7%)     | 26 (29.2%)    | 31 (34.8%)    | 28 (31.1%)   |                | 21 (23.3%)   | 31 (34.8%)    | 33 (37.1%)    |         |
|       | Previous<br>smoker   | 108 (40.3%)    | 34 (38.2%)    | 34 (38.2%)    | 40 (44.4%)   |                | 43 (47.8%)   | 35 (39.3%)    | 30 (33.7%)    |         |
|       | Current<br>smoker  | 75 (28.0%)     | 29 (32.6%)    | 24 (27.0%)    | 22 (24.4%)   |                | 26 (28.9%)   | 23 (25.8%)    | 26 (29.2%)    |         |
|       | Alcohol drinking<br>frequency                                  |                |               |               |              | 0.023          |              |               |               | 0.075   |
|       | Never  | 85 (31.7%)     | 40 (44.9%)    | 24 (27.0%)    | 21 (23.3%)   |                | 29 (32.2%)   | 23 (25.8%)    | 33 (37.1%)    |         |
|       | Sometimes  | 86 (32.1%)     | 23 (25.8%)    | 32 (36.0%)    | 31 (34.4%)   |                | 21 (23.3%)   | 34 (38.2%)    | 31 (34.8%)    |         |
|       | Every day  | 97 (36.2%)     | 26 (29.2%)    | 33 (37.1%)    | 38 (42.2%)   |                | 40 (44.4%)   | 32 (36.0%)    | 25 (28.1%)    |         |
|       | Education levels   |                |               |               |              | 0.645          |              |               |               | 0.251   |
|       | College<br>graduates or<br>over                                | 109 (40.7%)    | 34 (38.2%)    | 34 (38.2%)    | 41 (45.6%)   |                | 35 (38.9%)   | 43 (48.3%)    | 31 (34.8%)    |         |
|       | Junior,<br>technical, or vo-<br>cational college<br>graduates  | 38 (14.2%)     | 13 (14.6%)    | 16 (18.0%)    | 9 (10.0%)    |                | 10 (11.1%)   | 11 (12.4%)    | 17 (19.1%)    |         |
|       | High school<br>graduates                                       | 111 (41.4%)    | 37 (41.6%)    | 37 (41.6%)    | 37 (41.1%)   |                | 42 (46.7%)   | 30 (33.7%)    | 39 (43.8%)    |         |
|       | Junior<br>high school<br>graduates                             | 10 (3.7%)      | 5 (5.6%)      | 2 (2.2%)      | 3 (3.3%)     |                | 3 (3.3%)     | 5 (5.6%)      | 2 (2.2%)      |         |
|       | MetS   | 154 (57.5%)    | 62 (69.7%)    | 52 (58.4%)    | 40 (44.4%)   | 0.003          | 43 (47.8%)   | 51 (57.3%)    | 60 (67.4%)    | 0.029   |
|       | Waist circum-<br>ference (cm)                                  | 95.8 ± 7.5     | 98.1 ± 8.7    | 95.9 ± 6.8    | 93.5 ± 6.1   | < 0.001        | 91.4 ± 3.7   | 93.6 ± 4.6    | 102.6 ± 8.0   | < 0.001 |
|       | Triglycerides<br>(ma/dL)                                       | 169.3 ± 112.9  | 183.9 ± 128.6 | 176.9 ± 110.8 | 147.4 ± 94.6 | 0.071          | 145.9 ± 77.3 | 185.9 ± 135.5 | 176.4 ± 115.5 | 0.046   |
|       | HDL choles-  | 53.5 ± 12.6    | 51.3 ± 12.1   | 52.1 ± 11.5   | 57.2 ± 13.5  | 0.003          | 56.2 ± 13.5  | 53.3 ± 13.2   | 50.9 ± 10.5   | 0.020   |
|       | Systolic<br>blood pressure<br>(mmHq)                           | 128.0 ± 14.3   | 129.1 ± 14.9  | 128.6 ± 14.5  | 126.2 ± 13.5 | 0.352          | 125.7 ± 14.4 | 127.2 ± 13.7  | 131.1 ± 14.4  | 0.031   |
|       | Diastolic<br>blood pressure<br>(mmHg)                          | 82.7 ± 11.1    | 84.3 ± 11.8   | 83.0 ± 11.1   | 80.9 ± 10.3  | 0.118          | 82.0 ± 11.8  | 81.7 ± 10.2   | 84.6 ± 11.3   | 0.163   |
|       | Fasting glu-<br>cose (mg/dL)                                   | 104.5 ± 17.2   | 105.0 ± 13.6  | 105.1 ± 13.5  | 103.4 ± 22.9 | 0.759          | 102.6 ± 13.8 | 104.0 ± 13.9  | 107.0 ± 22.5  | 0.215   |
| Women | Age (years)  | 50.0 ± 5.8     | 50.8 ± 6.0    | 48.8 ± 4.9    | 50.3 ± 6.3   | 0.192          | 51.3 ± 5.6   | 49.4 ± 6.2    | 49.2 ± 5.5    | 0.132   |

# Table 1 (continued)

|       |   | Tertile of CRF |              |              | Tertile of BMI |         |                |                |              |         |
|-------|---|----------------|--------------|--------------|----------------|---------|----------------|----------------|--------------|---------|
|       |   | Total          | Lower        | Middle       | Upper          | p value | Lower          | Middle         | Higher       | p value |
| n=153 | Height (cm)   | 158.8 ± 5.1    | 158.3 ± 5.5  | 159.5 ± 4.5  | 158.7 ± 5.2    | 0.442   | 159.9 ± 4.5    | 158.2 ± 5.6    | 158.4 ± 5.1  | 0.171   |
|       | Weight (kg)   | 72.5 ± 9.4     | 75.5 ± 10.0  | 72.3 ± 7.8   | 69.7 ± 9.6     | 0.007   | $65.2 \pm 4.1$ | $70.7 \pm 5.8$ | 81.5 ± 8.9   | < 0.001 |
|       | BMI (kg/m <sup>2</sup> )                                      | 28.7 ± 3.4     | 30.2 ± 4.0   | 28.4 ± 2.7   | 27.6 ± 2.9     | < 0.001 | 25.5 ± 1.0     | 28.2 ± 0.8     | 32.5 ± 3.0   | < 0.001 |
|       | Peak oxygen<br>uptake (mL/kg/<br>min)                         | 25.6 ± 5.7     | 19.2 ± 3.2   | 25.9 ± 1.4   | 31.7 ± 2.7     | < 0.001 | 27.7 ± 5.5     | 25.7 ± 6.2     | 23.5 ± 4.7   | 0.001   |
|       | Sleep duration<br>(min)                                       | 365.7 ± 59.6   | 368.6 ± 63.5 | 370.2 ± 52.4 | 358.6 ± 62.6   | 0.565   | 364.7 ± 55.1   | 371.2 ± 64.6   | 361.3 ± 59.5 | 0.698   |
|       | Meeting PA<br>recommenda-<br>tions                            | 46 (30.1%)     | 16 (31.4%)   | 9 (18.0%)    | 21 (40.4%)     | 0.046   | 12 (23.5%)     | 15 (29.4%)     | 19 (37.3%)   | 0.317   |
|       | (≥600 ME1-min/<br>week)                                       |                |              |              |                |         |                |                |              |         |
|       | Sedentary time<br>(> 7 h/day)                                 | 76 (49.7%)     | 34 (66.7%)   | 25 (50.0%)   | 17 (32.7%)     | 0.003   | 25 (49.0%)     | 20 (39.2%)     | 31 (60.8%)   | 0.093   |
|       | Smoking   |                |              |              |                | 0.726   |                |                |              | 0.714   |
|       | Non-smoker  | 111 (72.5%)    | 39 (76.5%)   | 35 (70.0%)   | 37 (71.2%)     |         | 36 (70.6%)     | 39 (76.5%)     | 36 (70.6%)   |         |
|       | Previous<br>smoker  | 27 (17.6%)     | 8 (15.7%)    | 8 (16.0%)    | 11 (21.2%)     |         | 10 (19.6%)     | 6 (11.8%)      | 11 (21.6%)   |         |
|       | Current<br>smoker   | 15 (9.8%)      | 4 (7.8%)     | 7 (14.0%)    | 4 (7.7%)       |         | 5 (9.8%)       | 6 (11.8%)      | 4 (7.8%)     |         |
|       | Alcohol drinking<br>frequency                                 |                |              |              |                | 0.690   |                |                |              | 0.931   |
|       | Never   | 80 (52.3%)     | 29 (56.9%)   | 27 (54.0%)   | 24 (46.2%)     |         | 26 (51.0%)     | 25 (49.0%)     | 29 (56.9%)   |         |
|       | Sometimes   | 46 (30.1%)     | 12 (23.5%)   | 15 (30.0%)   | 19 (36.5%)     |         | 15 (29.4%)     | 17 (33.3%)     | 14 (27.5%)   |         |
|       | Every day   | 27 (17.6%)     | 10 (19.6%)   | 8 (16.0%)    | 9 (17.3%)      |         | 10 (19.6%)     | 9 (17.6%)      | 8 (15.7%)    |         |
|       | Education levels  |                |              |              |                | 0.165   |                |                |              | 0.168   |
|       | College<br>graduates or<br>over                               | 29 (19.0%)     | 9 (17.6%)    | 8 (16.0%)    | 12 (23.1%)     |         | 6 (11.8%)      | 10 (19.6%)     | 13 (25.5%)   |         |
|       | Junior,<br>technical, or vo-<br>cational college<br>graduates | 59 (38.6%)     | 16 (31.4%)   | 17 (34.0%)   | 26 (50.0%)     |         | 19 (37.3%)     | 23 (45.1%)     | 17 (33.3%)   |         |
|       | High school<br>graduates                                      | 60 (39.2%)     | 25 (49.0%)   | 23 (46.0%)   | 12 (23.1%)     |         | 22 (43.1%)     | 18 (35.3%)     | 20 (39.2%)   |         |
|       | Junior<br>high school<br>graduates                            | 5 (3.3%)       | 1 (2.0%)     | 2 (4.0%)     | 2 (3.8%)       |         | 4 (7.8%)       | 0 (0%)         | 1 (2.0%)     |         |
|       | MetS  | 70 (45.8%)     | 27 (52.9%)   | 19 (38.0%)   | 24 (46.2%)     | 0.320   | 19 (37.3%)     | 24 (47.1%)     | 27 (52.9%)   | 0.275   |
|       | Waist circum-<br>ference (cm)                                 | 97.2 ± 7.7     | 100.5 ± 8.1  | 96.3 ± 6.4   | 94.9 ± 7.5     | < 0.001 | 92.2 ± 4.3     | 95.5 ± 5.7     | 103.9 ± 7.5  | < 0.001 |
|       | Triglycerides<br>(mg/dL)                                      | 120.6 ± 61.2   | 118.1 ± 56.3 | 126 ± 72.5   | 117.8 ± 54.4   | 0.751   | 120.7 ± 70.5   | 121.6 ± 47.3   | 119.4 ± 64.7 | 0.983   |
|       | HDL choles-   | 62.3 ± 13.9    | 61.7 ± 14.2  | 60.6 ± 13.2  | 64.4 ± 14.2    | 0.372   | 64.0 ± 12.9    | 61.3 ± 16.1    | 61.5 ± 12.5  | 0.559   |
|       | Systolic<br>blood pressure<br>(mmHg)                          | 127.9 ± 15.2   | 129.5 ± 16.5 | 125.7 ± 15.3 | 128.3 ± 13.7   | 0.438   | 127.3 ± 15.1   | 124.1 ± 15.5   | 132.3 ± 14.1 | 0.023   |

#### Table 1 (continued)

|         |                                     | Tertile of CRF |              |              |             | Tertile of BMI |             |              |              |         |
|---------|-------------------------------------|----------------|--------------|--------------|-------------|----------------|-------------|--------------|--------------|---------|
|         |                                     | Total          | Lower        | Middle       | Upper       | p value        | Lower       | Middle       | Higher       | p value |
| b<br>(r | Diastolic<br>lood pressure<br>mmHg) | 79.5 ± 10.7    | 80.9 ± 10.9  | 77.7 ± 11.7  | 79.9 ± 9.5  | 0.311          | 80.0 ± 12.2 | 76.8 ± 9.7   | 81.8 ± 9.7   | 0.059   |
| C       | Fasting glu-<br>ose (mg/dL)         | 102.9 ± 10.5   | 102.6 ± 11.2 | 103.6 ± 11.5 | 102.6 ± 8.8 | 0.864          | 101.4 ± 8.3 | 104.2 ± 10.4 | 103.2 ± 12.3 | 0.395   |

Data are expressed as means±standard deviation or number and percentages of participants

CRF, cardiorespiratory fitness; BMI, body mass index; HDL, high-density lipoprotein; PA, physical activity; MetS, metabolic syndrome

The sex-specific cutpoints based on the distribution of tertiles for lower, middle, and higher CRF measured by cycle ergometer were <25.1, 25.1–30.7, and  $\geq$  30.8 for men and <23.6, 23.6–28.4, and  $\geq$  28.5 for women, respectively

The sex-specific cutpoints based on the distribution of tertiles for lower, middle, and higher BMI were  $\leq$  25.6, 25.7–28.0, and > 28.0 for men and  $\leq$  26.7, 26.7–29.7, and  $\geq$  29.7 for women, respectively

Table 2 Odds ratios for MetS according to independent tertiles of CRF and BMI separated by sex

|       |        | n  | cases (%) | Model 1            | Model 2            | Model 3            |
|-------|--------|----|-----------|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|
|       |        |    |           | OR (95% CI)        | OR (95% CI)        | OR (95% CI)        |
| Men   | CRF    |    |           |                    |                    |                    |
|       | Lower  | 89 | 62 (69.7) | 1.00 (reference)   | 1.00 (reference)   | 1.00 (reference)   |
|       | Middle | 89 | 52 (58.4) | 0.62 (0.33 – 1.15) | 0.49 (0.25–0.95)   | 0.52 (0.26 - 1.00) |
|       | Higher | 90 | 40 (44.4) | 0.35 (0.19–0.65)   | 0.28 (0.14 – 0.55) | 0.33 (0.17–0.65)   |
|       | BMI    |    |           |                    |                    |                    |
|       | Higher | 89 | 60 (67.4) | 1.00 (reference)   | 1.00 (reference)   | 1.00 (reference)   |
|       | Middle | 89 | 51 (57.3) | 0.64 (0.34 - 1.17) | 0.58 (0.31 – 1.11) | 0.63 (0.32-1.21)   |
|       | Lower  | 90 | 43 (47.8) | 0.40 (0.21 – 0.75) | 0.37 (0.19–0.73)   | 0.42 (0.21–0.82)   |
| Women | CRF    |    |           |                    |                    |                    |
|       | Lower  | 51 | 24 (46.2) | 1.00 (reference)   | 1.00 (reference)   | 1.00 (reference)   |
|       | Middle | 50 | 19 (38.0) | 0.61 (0.27 – 1.38) | 0.58 (0.24-1.40)   | 0.66 (0.27-1.63)   |
|       | Higher | 52 | 27 (52.9) | 0.78 (0.35 – 1.71) | 0.73 (0.30 - 1.78) | 0.90 (0.34 - 2.35) |
|       | BMI    |    |           |                    |                    |                    |
|       | Higher | 51 | 27 (52.9) | 1.00 (reference)   | 1.00 (reference)   | 1.00 (reference)   |
|       | Middle | 51 | 24 (47.1) | 0.76 (0.34 - 1.70) | 0.77 (0.33 – 1.84) | 0.79 (0.33 – 1.90) |
|       | Lower  | 51 | 19 (37.3) | 0.43 (0.19–0.97)   | 0.43 (0.18-1.04)   | 0.45 (0.18-1.14)   |

CRF, cardiorespiratory fitness; BMI, body mass index; OR, odds ratio; CI, confidence interval; MetS, metabolic syndrome

Model 1: adjusted for age

Model 2: model 1 and further adjusted for smoking status, alcohol drinking frequency, sleep duration, physical activity, sedentary behavior, and education levels Model 3: model 2 and further adjusted for BMI for CRF or CRF for BMI

BMI, ORs (95% CIs) were 0.63 (0.32–1.21) and 0.42 (0.21–0.82) in men with middle and lower BMI, respectively, after adjusting for potential covariates including CRF. In women, however, neither CRF nor BMI was associated with MetS.

Figure 1 shows the ORs (95% CIs) of MetS according to the combinations of two CRF categories (fit and unfit) and two BMI categories (higher and lower BMI). In men, compared with 'unfit and higher BMI' group, the prevalence of MetS decreased in 'unfit and lower BMI', 'fit and higher BMI', and 'fit and lower BMI' groups, after adjusting for potential covariates. The lowest prevalence of MetS was observed in men with lower BMI who were fit (OR=0.25, 95% CI=0.11-0.61). When directly comparing 'fit and higher BMI' to men with 'unfit and lower BMI', there was no significant difference in the prevalence of MetS in men (P=0.365). However, no significant combined association was found in women.

#### Discussion

The primary finding of this study was that higher CRF and lower BMI are both independently associated with a lower prevalence of MetS in middle-aged Japanese men only, but not in women. Additionally, a combined analysis revealed that there is an additive association of CRF and BMI with the lowest prevalence observed in men with 'higher CRF and lower BMI.' However, the association of CRF and BMI appears to be similar when directly comparing the "fit and higher BMI" group with the "unfit and lower BMI" group in men.

The Aerobics Center Longitudinal Study (ACLS) and Nord-Trøndelag Health Study (the HUNT Study) found that low CRF and high fatness are strong independent



Fig. 1 Odds ratios for metabolic syndrome according to combined tertiles of cardiorespiratory fitness and body mass index separated by sex. The model was adjusted for age, smoking status, alcohol drinking frequency, sleep duration, physical activity, sedentary behavior, and education levels

predictors of incident MetS in both men and women [20, 29-32]. The inconsistency between the ACLS or HUNT study and the current study may be due to different races. The population in ACLS consisted primarily of White adults, while this study is in Japanese (Asian). Another speculation of sex-specific is participants in this study consisted of middle-aged adults, which means some women were in the period of menopausal transition. This situation may lead to variation in menopausal status among women participants, with some already experiencing menopause while others had not yet entered this stage. Based on previous evidence, women with menopause had a significantly higher relative risk of MetS [33, 34]; we did not observe associations of CRF and BMI with MetS in women, possibly due to the absence of menopausal status data.

In addition, potential variations in health status between Japanese men and women should be considered. The percentage of Japanese men meeting the criteria for receiving health guidance was 17.3%, nearly double the 9.1% of Japanese women [35], suggesting that Japanese women may have a better health status than men. While all participants in this study were under health guidance provided by physicians, public health nurses, or dietitians, it is possible that women exhibited greater adherence to health guidance compared to men. For example, compared to men, women had healthier lifestyles than men, as evidenced by their lower rates of smoking status, alcohol drinking frequency, and sedentary behavior, with the exception of physical activity. Our findings, to some extent, can contribute to sex-specific health promotion policy-making. However, prospective studies are clearly warranted to confirm sex-specific associations of CRF and BMI with developing MetS.

Although previous studies reported the relationship between low CRF and MetS in Japanese workers, the CRF was evaluated using step tests or self-reported physical activity rather than objectively measured fitness [36, 37]. One concern regarding self-reported physical activity measurement is that people seem to be prone to overestimate their physical activity level [38], leading to inaccurate CRF estimation. Another study in Japan examined the association between CRF and MetS using a cycle ergometer, but only men aged 20-64 years were included in this study, and all of them were recruited in one city (Ibaraki) [39]. To the best of our knowledge, our study is the first to recruit participants from across Japan to examine the association of CRF and BMI with MetS in both middle-aged women and men. Given that the high prevalence of risk factors for cardiovascular disease, such as obesity, physical inactivity, and poor diet, has been observed among young individuals living in developed countries in the past two decades [40], prospective studies on middle-aged adults are clearly warranted to confirm sex-specific associations of CRF and BMI with developing MetS since the adverse hazards of cardiovascular risks may come before entering old age with modern lifestyle transition.

CRF and BMI are modifiable factors, and there is substantial evidence supporting that engaging in regular exercise can effectively reduce the risk of MetS by enhancing CRF and reducing BMI. A study including men and women with MetS indicated that their VO<sub>2neak</sub> increased while body weight decreased after a 16-week exercise intervention, and 37% and 46% of the patients in moderate continuous-training group and aerobic interval-training group no longer met the criteria for a MetS diagnosis [41–44]. It is worth noting that Japan is one of the countries with the lowest obesity prevalence (<5%) [45], which may lead individuals to overestimate their health status based on their normal weight, potentially causing an oversight of their fitness status. Our findings underscore the significance of not just higher BMI, but also lower CRF associated with a higher prevalence of MetS in men, which suggests that interventions such as regular exercise and physical activity should be promoted to increase CRF and reduce BMI to lower the prevention of MetS, especially in middle-aged Japanese men.

The main strength of this study is that the participants were recruited from across Japan, which enhances the generalizability and representation of middle-aged Japanese adults under health guidance. We also performed all analyses in men and women separately to see sexspecific differences in the associations of CRF and BMI with MetS. In addition, several health-related behaviors, including sleep duration, tobacco and alcohol use, physical activity, and sedentary behavior, were included as covariates since they could have been associated with CRF, BMI, and MetS. The CRF in this study was objectively measured from heart rate during submaximal exercise using the Åstrand-Rhyming Nomogram and Åstrand's Nomogram correction factors [25, 26]. However, the method used, which relies on the estimation of maximum oxygen uptake, has been validated as highly correlated with direct CRF measurements [46, 47]. The major limitation is that the causal inference cannot be made due to the cross-sectional study design. Additionally, data on body fat composition, which is more accurate to reflect fatness than BMI, were not collected. The lack of information on menopausal status in women may have biased the results through the potential effects on MetS. The applicability of this study may be specific to persons under National Health Guidance only.

#### Conclusion

We found that lower CRF and higher BMI, even after controlling for each other, are significantly associated with the prevalence of MetS in middle-aged Japanese men, but not women. In addition, the relative contribution of high CRF and low BMI appears to be similar to the prevalence of MetS in men. Therefore, it is important to promote targeted and tailored intervention programs to lower BMI and promote CRF in order to lower the prevalence of MetS in men. However, prospective studies are clearly warranted to confirm sex-specific associations of CRF and BMI with developing MetS.

#### Abbreviations

| CRF    | Cardiorespiratory fitness                               |
|--------|---|
| BMI    | Body mass index   |
| MetS   | Metabolic syndrome                                      |
| CVD    | cardiovascular disease                                  |
| JHPF   | Japan Health Promotion Facility                         |
| MHLW   | Ministry of Health, Labour and Welfare                  |
| STROBE | Strengthening the Reporting of Observational Studies in |
|        | Epidemiology  |
| HDL-C  | High-density lipoprotein cholesterol                    |
| IDF    | International Diabetes Federation                       |
| AHA    | American Heart Association                              |
| NHLBI  | National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute               |
| SD     | Standard deviations                                     |
| OR     | Odds ratio  |
| CI     | Confidence interval                                     |
| ACLS   | Aerobics Center Longitudinal Study                      |
| HUNT   | Nord-Trøndelag Health Study                             |
| HUNT   | Nord-Trøndelag Health Study                             |

#### **Supplementary Information**

The online version contains supplementary material available at https://doi. org/10.1186/s12889-024-19544-0.

Supplementary Material 1

#### Acknowledgements

We are grateful to all participants in the JHPF study. We are also indebted to all members of the JHPF research team for their invaluable contribution to the acquisition of the data throughout the study. The authors also thank the staff of fitness centers for the data collection in the JHPF study.

#### Author contributions

XZ and SSS developed the conception and study design. XZ made substantial contributions to data analysis and interpretation. Project administration was handled by SSS, Sku, Sko, SAD, YO, YN, KM, MM, YG, and KO. XZ wrote the first draft and all other authors contributed to further drafts. SSS was responsible for overall supervision. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

#### Funding

The JHPF study has been supported financially by grants from the Ministry of Health, Labour and Welfare of Japan (Grant Number JPMH22FB1002).

#### Data availability

The datasets used and/or analyzed during the current study are available from the corresponding author upon reasonable request.

#### Declarations

#### Ethics approval and consent to participate

This study has been supported financially by grants from the Ministry of Health, Labour and Welfare of Japan (Grant Number JPMH22FB1002) and has been approved by the Ethics Committees of Waseda University (approval number: 2021 – 106) and conducted in accordance with the Helsinki Declaration and the Strengthening the Reporting of Observational Studies in Epidemiology (STROBE) reporting guideline. Written informed consents were obtained from all participants before the trial began.

#### Consent for publication

Not applicable.

#### **Competing interests**

The authors declare no competing interests.

#### Author details

<sup>1</sup>Graduate School of Sport Sciences, Waseda University, Saitama, Japan <sup>2</sup>School of Nursing, Li Ka Shing Faculty of Medicine, The University of Hong Kong, Hong Kong Special Administrative Region, China <sup>3</sup>Faculty of Sport Sciences, Waseda University, Saitama, Japan <sup>4</sup>College of Sport and Wellness, Rikkyo University, Saitama, Japan <sup>5</sup>Faculty of Medical Technology, Teikyo University, Tokyo, Japan <sup>6</sup>Sports Medicine Research Center, Keio University, Kanagawa, Japan <sup>7</sup>Institute of Health and Sport Sciences, University of Tsukuba, Ibaraki, Japan

<sup>8</sup>Department of Biostatistics, Institute of Medicine, University of Tsukuba, Ibaraki, Japan

<sup>9</sup>Faculty of Sport Science, Surugadai University, Saitama, Japan <sup>10</sup>Department of Health and Human Development, University of Pittsburgh, Pittsburgh, PA, USA

#### Received: 28 January 2024 / Accepted: 21 July 2024 Published online: 30 July 2024

#### References

- Huang PL. A comprehensive definition for metabolic syndrome. Dis Models Mech. 2009;2(5–6):231–7.
- Saklayen MG. The global epidemic of the metabolic syndrome. Curr Hypertens Rep. 2018;20(2):1–8.
- Ho JS, Cannaday JJ, Barlow CE, Mitchell TL, Cooper KH, FitzGerald SJ. Relation of the number of metabolic syndrome risk factors with all-cause and cardiovascular mortality. Am J Cardiol. 2008;102(6):689–92.
- Ford ES. The metabolic syndrome and mortality from cardiovascular disease and all-causes: findings from the National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey II Mortality Study. Atherosclerosis. 2004;173(2):307–12.
- Malik S, Wong ND, Franklin SS, Kamath TV, L'Italien GJ, Pio JR, Williams GR. Impact of the metabolic syndrome on mortality from coronary heart disease, cardiovascular disease, and all causes in United States adults. Circulation. 2004;110(10):1245–50.
- Lakka H-M, Laaksonen DE, Lakka TA, Niskanen LK, Kumpusalo E, Tuomilehto J, Salonen JT. The metabolic syndrome and total and cardiovascular disease mortality in middle-aged men. JAMA. 2002;288(21):2709–16.
- Naja F, Nasreddine L, Itani L, Adra N, Sibai A, Hwalla N. Association between dietary patterns and the risk of metabolic syndrome among Lebanese adults. Eur J Nutr. 2013;52:97–105.
- Zhang D, Liu X, Liu Y, Sun X, Wang B, Ren Y, Zhao Y, Zhou J, Han C, Yin L, et al. Leisure-time physical activity and incident metabolic syndrome: a systematic review and dose-response meta-analysis of cohort studies. Metabolism. 2017;75:36–44.
- 9. Liang X, Or B, Tsoi MF, Cheung CL, Cheung BMY. Prevalence of metabolic syndrome in the United States National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey 2011-18. Postgrad Med J. 2023;99(1175):985–92.
- Bassi N, Karagodin I, Wang S, Vassallo P, Priyanath A, Massaro E, Stone NJ. Lifestyle modification for metabolic syndrome: a systematic review. Am J Med. 2014;127(12):e12421241–1210.
- Barry VW, Caputo JL, Kang M. The Joint Association of Fitness and Fatness on Cardiovascular Disease Mortality: a Meta-analysis. Prog Cardiovasc Dis. 2018;61(2):136–41.
- Wessel TR, Arant CB, Olson MB, Johnson BD, Reis SE, Sharaf BL, Shaw LJ, Handberg E, Sopko G, Kelsey SF, et al. Relationship of physical fitness vs body mass index with coronary artery disease and cardiovascular events in women. JAMA. 2004;292(10):1179–87.
- Sui X, LaMonte MJ, Laditka JN, Hardin JW, Chase N, Hooker SP, Blair SN. Cardiorespiratory fitness and adiposity as mortality predictors in older adults. JAMA. 2007;298(21):2507–16.
- Stevens J, Cai J, Evenson KR, Thomas R. Fitness and fatness as predictors of mortality from all causes and from cardiovascular disease in men and women in the lipid research clinics study. Am J Epidemiol. 2002;156(9):832–41.

- Barry VW, Baruth M, Beets MW, Durstine JL, Liu J, Blair SN. Fitness vs. fatness on all-cause mortality: a meta-analysis. Prog Cardiovasc Dis. 2014;56(4):382–90.
- Hong S, Lee J, Park J, Lee M, Kim JY, Kim KC, Kim SH, Im JA, Chu SH, Suh SH, et al. Association between cardiorespiratory fitness and the prevalence of metabolic syndrome among Korean adults: a cross sectional study. BMC Public Health. 2014;14:481.
- Hassinen M, Lakka TA, Hakola L, Savonen K, Komulainen P, Litmanen H, Kiviniemi V, Kouki R, Heikkilá H, Rauramaa R. Cardiorespiratory Fitness and metabolic syndrome in older men and women: the dose responses to Exercise Training (DR's EXTRA) study. Diabetes Care. 2010;33(7):1655–7.
- Brien SE, Janssen I, Katzmarzyk PT. Cardiorespiratory fitness and metabolic syndrome: US National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey 1999–2002. Metabolism. 2007;32(1):143–7. Applied physiology, nutrition,.
- Ingle L, Mellis M, Brodie D, Sandercock GR. Associations between cardiorespiratory fitness and the metabolic syndrome in British men. Heart. 2017;103(7):524–8.
- Lee DC, Sui X, Church TS, Lavie CJ, Jackson AS, Blair SN. Changes in fitness and fatness on the development of cardiovascular disease risk factors hypertension, metabolic syndrome, and hypercholesterolemia. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2012;59(7):665–72.
- Noubiap JJ, Nansseu JR, Lontchi-Yimagou E, Nkeck JR, Nyaga UF, Ngouo AT, Tounouga DN, Tianyi FL, Foka AJ, Ndoadoumgue AL, et al. Geographic distribution of metabolic syndrome and its components in the general adult population: a meta-analysis of global data from 28 million individuals. Diabetes Res Clin Pract. 2022;188:109924.
- Williams R, Periasamy M. Genetic and environmental factors contributing to visceral adiposity in Asian populations. Endocrinol Metab (Seoul). 2020;35(4):681–95.
- Kudo N, Nishide R, Mizutani M, Ogawa S, Tanimura S. Association between the type of physical activity and metabolic syndrome in middle-aged and older adult residents of a semi-mountainous area in Japan. Environ Health Prev Med. 2021;26(1):46.
- Ministry of Health, Labor and Welfare. Implementation Guideline for the National Screening Program and Health Guidance. 2023. https://www.mhlw. go.jp/stf/seisakunitsuite/bunya/0000172888.html. Accessed 30 Sept 2023.
- Astrand PO, Ryhming I. A nomogram for calculation of aerobic capacity (physical fitness) from pulse rate during sub-maximal work. J Appl Physiol. 1954;7(2):218–21.
- 26. Astrand I. Aerobic work capacity in men and women with special reference to age. Acta Physiol Scand Suppl. 1960;49(169):1–92.
- Lee DC, Sui X, Ortega FB, Kim YS, Church TS, Winett RA, Ekelund U, Katzmarzyk PT, Blair SN. Comparisons of leisure-time physical activity and cardiorespiratory fitness as predictors of all-cause mortality in men and women. Br J Sports Med. 2011;45(6):504–10.
- Alberti KG, Eckel RH, Grundy SM, Zimmet PZ, Cleeman JI, Donato KA, Fruchart JC, James WP, Loria CM, Smith SC Jr. Harmonizing the metabolic syndrome: a joint interim statement of the International Diabetes Federation Task Force on Epidemiology and Prevention; National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute; American Heart Association; World Heart Federation; International Atherosclerosis Society; and International Association for the Study of Obesity. Circulation. 2009;120(16):1640–1645.
- LaMonte MJ, Barlow CE, Jurca R, Kampert JB, Church TS, Blair SN. Cardiorespiratory fitness is inversely associated with the incidence of metabolic syndrome: a prospective study of men and women. Circulation. 2005;112(4):505–12.
- 30. Earnest CP, Artero EG, Sui X, Lee DC, Church TS, Blair SN. Maximal estimated cardiorespiratory fitness, cardiometabolic risk factors, and metabolic

syndrome in the aerobics center longitudinal study. Mayo Clin Proc. 2013;88(3):259–270.

- ASPENES ST, NILSEN TIL, SKAUG E-A, BERTHEUSSEN GF, ELLINGSEN Ø, VAT-TEN L. Peak Oxygen Uptake and Cardiovascular Risk factors in 4631 healthy women and men. Med Sci Sports Exerc. 2011;43(8):1465–73.
- 32. Letnes JM, Dalen H, Aspenes ST, Salvesen Ø, Wisløff U, Nes BM. Age-related change in peak oxygen uptake and change of cardiovascular risk factors. The HUNT study. Prog Cardiovasc Dis. 2020;63(6):730–7.
- Christakis MK, Hasan H, De Souza LR, Shirreff L. The effect of menopause on metabolic syndrome: cross-sectional results from the Canadian longitudinal study on aging. Menopause. 2020;27(9):999–1009.
- Ebrahimpour P, Fakhrzadeh H, Heshmat R, Ghodsi M, Bandarian F, Larijani B. Metabolic syndrome and menopause: a population-based study. Diabetes Metabolic Syndrome: Clin Res Reviews. 2010;4(1):5–9.
- Ministry of Health, Labor and Welfare. Implementation Status of National Screening Program and Health Guidance. 2023. https://www.mhlw.go.jp/stf/ seisakunitsuite/bunya/newpage\_00043.html. Accessed 5 October 2023.
- So R, Murai F, Fujii M, Watanabe S, Matsuo T. Association of sitting time and cardiorespiratory fitness with cardiovascular disease risk and healthcare costs among office workers. Ind Health. 2022.
- So R, Murai F, Matsuo T. Association of cardiorespiratory fitness with the risk factors of cardiovascular disease: evaluation using the Japan step test from the National Institute of Occupational Safety and Health. J Occup Health. 2022;64(1):e12353.
- Tucker JM, Welk GJ, Beyler NK. Physical activity in U.S.: adults compliance with the physical activity guidelines for americans. Am J Prev Med. 2011;40(4):454–61.
- Kim B, Ku M, Kiyoji T, Isobe T, Sakae T, Oh S. Cardiorespiratory fitness is strongly linked to metabolic syndrome among physical fitness components: a retrospective cross-sectional study. J Physiol Anthropol. 2020;39(1):30.
- Andersson C, Vasan RS. Epidemiology of cardiovascular disease in young individuals. Nat Reviews Cardiol. 2018;15(4):230–40.
- Tjønna AE, Lee SJ, Rognmo Ø, Stølen TO, Bye A, Haram PM, Loennechen JP, Al-Share QY, Skogvoll E, Slørdahl SA, et al. Aerobic interval training versus continuous moderate exercise as a treatment for the metabolic syndrome: a pilot study. Circulation. 2008;118(4):346–54.
- 42. Elagizi A, Kachur S, Carbone S, Lavie CJ, Blair SN. A review of obesity, physical activity, and cardiovascular disease. Curr Obes Rep. 2020;9:571–81.
- Pozuelo-Carrascosa DP, García-Hermoso A, Álvarez-Bueno C, Sánchez-López M, Martinez-Vizcaino V. Effectiveness of school-based physical activity programmes on cardiorespiratory fitness in children: a meta-analysis of randomised controlled trials. Brit J Sport Med. 2018;52(19):1234–40.
- Hills AP, Andersen LB, Byrne NM. Physical activity and obesity in children. Brit J Sport Med. 2011;45(11):866–70.
- Blüher M. Obesity: global epidemiology and pathogenesis. Nat Rev Endocrinol. 2019;15(5):288–98.
- 46. Teräslinna P, Ismail AH, MacLeod DF. Nomogram by Astrand and Ryhming as a predictor of maximum oxygen intake. J Appl Physiol. 1966;21(2):513–5.
- 47. Cink RE, Thomas TR. Validity of the astrand-ryhming nomogram for predicting maximal oxygen intake. Br J Sports Med. 1981;15(3):182–5.

#### Publisher's Note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.