RESEARCH



Physical activity, screen time and dietary behaviours in New Zealand adolescents prior to and following the onset of the COVID-19 pandemic

Sandra Mandic^{1,2,3*}, Asaduzzaman Khan⁴, Enrique García Bengoechea^{5,6*}, Kirsten J. Coppell^{7,8}, John C. Spence⁹ and Melody Smith¹⁰

Abstract

Background Insufficient physical activity, high screen time, and unhealthy dietary patterns among adolescents may have worsened during the pandemic, but data are lacking. This study compared physical activity, screen time and fruit and vegetable intake in adolescents from Dunedin, New Zealand, 5–6 years before (Study 1) and during (Study 2) the COVID-19 pandemic.

Methods Adolescents completed an online survey as part of the Built Environment and Active Transport to School (BEATS) studies in 2014/2015 (Study 1; n = 1,266; age: 15.3 ± 1.4 years; 54.6% female) and 2021/2022 (Study 2; n = 819; age: 15.2 ± 1.4 years; 47.4% female). The proportion of adolescents meeting guidelines for physical activity (≥ 60 min/ day of moderate-to-vigorous physical activity), outside school screen time (≤ 2 h/day) and fruit and vegetable intake (> 1 serving/day for both fruit and vegetables) was calculated. Data were analysed using multivariable linear and logistic regression modelling.

Results Few adolescents met recommended health behaviour guidelines. Compared to Study 1, significantly greater proportions of adolescents at Study 2 met guidelines for physical activity (16.7% vs. 23.1%; p < 0.001) and outside school screen time (13.3% vs. 18.3%; p < 0.001) while fruit and vegetable intake was not different (29.6% vs. 27.0%; p = 0.322). Compared to Study 1, average outside school screen time at Study 2 was lower on both weekdays (5.0 ± 2.9 vs. 4.6 ± 2.9; p < 0.001) and weekend days (6.9 ± 3.5 vs. 6.1 ± 3.6 h/day; p < 0.001). Reported frequency of consuming sweets was higher and soft drinks lower at Study 2 versus Study 1.

Conclusions Despite observed higher levels of physical activity and lower levels of outside school screen time during the pandemic compared to the pre-pandemic levels, few adolescents met health behaviour guidelines at both time points. Therefore, comprehensive health promotion that aims to improve physical activity levels, screen time and dietary patterns for adolescents is still necessary to prevent chronic health conditions adulthood.

Keywords Physical activity, Sedentary behaviour, Diet, Lifestyle, Youth

*Correspondence: Sandra Mandic sandy.mandic@aut.ac.nz; agile.research.nz@gmail.com Enrique García Bengoechea enrique.garcia@ul.ie Full list of author information is available at the end of the article



© The Author(s) 2024. **Open Access** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.gn/licenses/by/4.0/. The Creative Commons Public Domain Dedication waiver (http://creativecommons.gn/licenses/by/4.0/. The Creative Commons Public Domain and redit line to the data.

Background

Patterns of unhealthy lifestyle behaviours in adulthood often begin during childhood and adolescence [1] and increase the risk of obesity and many non-communicable diseases like type 2 diabetes, hypertension and non-alcoholic fatty liver disease [2]. Insufficient physical activity (PA) [3], high screen time (ST) [3], and unhealthy dietary patterns [4, 5] were reported in adolescents from developed countries prior to the COVID-19 pandemic. Globally, four out of five adolescents are not sufficiently physically active and little progress has been accomplished since the beginning of the century, particularly for girls [6, 7].

In New Zealand, the most recent Physical Activity Report Card showed low and inequitable levels of meeting PA and sedentary time guidelines in adolescents (age 15–18 years) [8]. Less than half (47%) of 15 to 18-year olds met the PA guidelines, compared with 60% of 5 to 11-year olds and 62% of 12- to 15-year olds. Similarly, only 12% of 15- to 18-year olds met the sedentary time guidelines, compared with 61% of 5- to 11-year olds and 36% of 12- to 15-year olds.

Globally adolescents' dietary patterns have deteriorated over time [9]. While there is inter-country variation, the overall median prevalence rates of insufficient fruit and vegetable intakes (defined as less than two servings of fruits and less than three servings of vegetables per day) amongst 11- to 17-year-old adolescents were 68% and 79%, respectively, for the 2013–2017 period. During the same period, 37% of 11- to 17-year-old adolescents regularly consumed soft drinks and 33% regularly consumed fast foods high in fat, sugar and salt [9]. These food habits varied widely between countries, for example, median insufficient fruit intake ranged from 27% in Mauritas to 93% in Greenland and median soft drink consumption was 2% in Finland compared with 85% in Qatar. Dietary data specifically for New Zealand adolescents are limited but consistent with international data. A 2012 national study observed that 83% of adolescents consumed less than 5 servings of fruit and vegetables daily [10].

In addition, lifestyle-related risk factors tend to cluster and co-occur in adolescents, exacerbating negative health outcomes [11–14]. Clustering of PA, sedentary time and dietary behaviours in this age group has been previously reported in European [15–17], American [18], Canadian [19, 20], Australian [21] and New Zealand adolescents [22] as well as globally [9, 23]. This clustering of lifestyle risk factors in adolescents has implications for intervention approaches aimed at improving lifestyle behaviours in adolescents [24].

Emerging international evidence suggests these lifestyle behaviours worsened during the pandemic. Systematic reviews reveal that screen time increased by an average of 110 min per day [25] and moderate-to-vigorous physical activity (MVPA) decreased by an average of 17 min [26] per day in comparison to pre-pandemic levels. For example, the proportion of U.S. adolescents meeting PA guidelines of at least 60 min of MVPA per day decreased from 16.1% to 8.9% with the onset of the pandemic [27, 28]. However, there are no data for many countries, including New Zealand. In New Zealand, PA in young people was relatively stable from 2017 to 2019, but in 2021 all key physical activity indicators (weekly participation, meeting PA guidelines, and average PA hours per week) dropped significantly for those aged 15-17 years [29]. The 2020 Growing Up in New Zealand Life During Lockdown study with 2421 children aged 10-11 years [30] showed a doubling of screen time since 2018, however it is unclear whether this was due to lockdowns, and whether this level of screen time has been sustained.

The New Zealand response to COVID-19 was rapid and amongst the most stringent internationally [31]. The first case was reported on 28 February 2020, and within three weeks the country adopted a four-tier alert system, with levels 3 and 4 signaling 'lockdown'. At these levels, country borders, non-essential services, and playgrounds were closed; essential travel only was permitted (e.g., for urgent medical treatment); and households were strongly encouraged to avoid physical contact with others. Schools were closed at level 4 and re-opened for children of essential workers only at level 3. Alert level 2 signaled re-opening of schools for all children and young people and all businesses were able to open, but country borders remained closed. A nationwide lockdown occurred from 23 March to 13 May 2020, and with the exception of Auckland (which had multiple lockdowns), the country remained at levels 1 or 2 for over a year, but went back into Alert levels 3 and 4 from 31 August - 7 September 2021. A recent article [32] provides a full overview of the COVID-19 response in relation to children and young people in New Zealand.

With specific regard to youth, lockdowns in New Zealand removed numerous opportunities to participate in PA through travel to and from school, activity at school (e.g., during breaks but also moving between classes), extra-curricular activities and participation in organised sports, and social activities with family and friends. Learning was delivered virtually, and relationships were also maintained through virtual means, likely resulting in considerably higher levels of screen time than pre-COVID. Conversely, opportunities to be more physically active than usual arose, for example through significant reduction of car travel allowing for safe and enjoyable active travel in the neighbourhood [32].

Overall, the evidence suggests that the COVID-19 pandemic negatively impacted adolescents' health behaviours. However, heterogeneity in findings exists, studies have been limited in understanding a range of health behaviours (and clustering of these), and differences across countries have been observed. It is likely that New Zealand's unique combination of stringent lockdowns and prolonged periods with few restrictions during the COVID-19 pandemic may have impacted adolescents' health behaviours in different ways than in other countries. There is a clear need for more detailed evidence on changes over time in a range of health behaviours at the local level. Detailed evidence on changes during the COVID-19 pandemic at the local level is important because it may inform the development of policies and actions needed to counter the negative impacts that similar events and circumstances may have on health behaviours of adolescents. This study compared PA, outside school ST and dietary behaviours in New Zealand adolescents five to six years before (study 1 (S1)) and one to two years following (study 2 (S2)) the onset of the COVID-19 pandemic on 28 February 2020.

Methods

Setting

This research analysed data from a sample of adolescents in the city of Dunedin (total population: \approx 120,000), Aotearoa New Zealand who participated in two studies: the Built Environment and Active Transport to School (BEATS) Study [33] in 2014–2015 (1,780 adolescents; study 1 (S1)) or BEATS Natural Experiment [34] in 2020–2022 (1,828 adolescents; study 2 (S2)). For both studies, data were collected throughout the school year which included fall, winter and spring seasons. Dunedin is a mid-sized city on the lower South Island of New Zealand. All 12 secondary schools in the city participated in both BEATS studies.

Participants

For both studies, adolescents (age: 13 to 18 years; school years 9 to 13) were recruited through their school, as previously described in separate study protocols [33, 34]. Schools delivered study-related information to invited adolescents. If interested, adolescents signed written consent prior to participation. In the BEATS Study, parental opt-in or opt-out consent was used for adolescents under 16 years of age, based on schools' preference. Parental consent was not required in the BEATS Natural Experiment. Both projects received ethical approval from the University of Otago Human Ethics Committee (BEATS: 13/203; BEATS Natural Experiment: 17/188) and Auckland University of Technology Ethics Committee (BEATS Natural Experiment: 21/314). Figure 1 presents a flow chart of participant recruitment, exclusions and final selection of participants for this data analysis. Data from 1,266 adolescents were available in 2014/2015



Fig. 1 Flow chart of participant recruitment and selection

(S1) and 819 adolescents in 2021/2022 (S2). Adolescents who completed the survey one to three weeks prior to the first country-wide COVID-19 related lockdown in March 2020 were excluded from the analysis, which also removed one school from the S2 sample. Therefore, the S2 sample includes adolescents surveyed during the 14-month period between May 2021 and June 2022 (14 to 25 months after the onset of the COVID-19 pandemic in New Zealand).

Survey

In both studies, adolescents completed a 30- to 40-minute online survey during a school period, under the supervision of research staff. Participants self-reported their sociodemographic characteristics, home address, selected family resources, health behaviours (PA, outside school ST (TV/computer/video games) and dietary behaviours) and perceived health.

Sociodemographic characteristics

Participants self-reported their date of birth, gender, and ethnicity. Age at survey was calculated from date of birth. Adolescents were categorized into five ethnic groups using prioritized ethnicity for New Zealand: 'Māori', 'Pacific, 'Asian', 'New Zealand European' and 'Other' [35] and subsequently also re-categorised into three ethnic groups for multivariable analysis: 'Māori,' 'New Zealand European' and 'Other'. In the BEATS Study, area level deprivation was calculated using the New Zealand Index of Deprivation for 2013 (NZDep2013) [36] and in the BEATS Natural Experiment the updated NZDep2018 was used [37, 38], as described previously [33, 34]. The NZDep is an area-level measure of deprivation, calculated at the meshblock (2013) or Statistical Area Unit (SA1) level, using Census data for nine variables which have remained relatively constant over time. Meshblocks are the smallest geographical area defined by Statistics New Zealand, comprising around 60–110 residents; SA1s are larger (generally 100-200 residents but can have up to 500). The NZDep index for each individual was calculated in GIS using participant address data to allocate them to a meshblock (2013) or SA1 (2018) [37].

Physical activity

MVPA was assessed using the question "Over the past 7 days, on how many days were you physically active for a total of at least 60 minutes per day?" [39]. This question was preceded by a concise explanation of the intended meaning of 'physical activity'. Adolescents were categorised as meeting PA guidelines if they self-reported \geq 60 min of MVPA for 7 days [40–42] according to the PA guidelines prior to the new PA guidelines for

children and adolescents released by the World Health Organization in 2020 [43].

Sports participation

Adolescents reported sport participation using a question "Are you involved in any sport or do you belong to any sports teams?" with separate questions for sports "at school" and "outside of school", using 'yes' or 'no' response categories.

Outside school screen time

Outside school ST was assessed using the questions "About how many hours a day do you usually watch television / play games on a computer or games console / use a computer for chatting on-line, internet, emailing, homework etc.) in your free time?". Separate questions were asked for each activity and for weekdays and weekend days [39]. Adolescents selected one of 10 response categories (ranging from 'none at all', 'rarely', 'about half an hour a day or less, 'about 1 hour a day' to 'about 7 or more hours per day'). Total weekly outside school ST was calculated by combining weekday and weekend estimates for TV watching, playing computer games and internet use and included homework, as described previously [22]. To calculate average screen time, collected categorical screen time data for each survey item were recoded as follows: 'none at all' = '0 hours/day'; 'rarely' = '0.1 hours/ day'; 'about half an hour a day or less' = '0.5 hours/day'; 'about 1 hour per day' = '1 hour/day'; 'about 2 hours per day' = '2 hours/day'; 'about 3 hours per day' = '3 hours/ day'; 'about 4 hours per day' = '4 hours/day'; 'about 5 hours per day' = '5 hours/day'; 'about 6 hours per day' = '6 hours/day'; and 'about 7 or more hours per day' = '7 hours/day'. A threshold value of ≤ 2 hours of outside school ST per day was used to identify adolescents meeting ST guidelines [41, 42].

Dietary habits

Weekly intake of fruit and vegetables, sweets, soft drinks and fast foods was assessed using the question "How many times a week do you usually eat...?" [39]. Participants selected one of seven response categories: "never"; "less than once a week"; "once a week"; "2–4 days a week"; "5–6 days a week"; "once a day, every day" and "every day, more than once". Since these data were not normally distributed, participants' responses were subsequently recoded into 3-category variables for weekly consumption in each food category: 'once a week or less', '2 to 4 times per week' or '5 or more times per week' for descriptive analysis of dietary patterns. Participants who selfreported consuming both fruit and vegetables "every day, more than once" were classified as meeting fruit and vegetable recommendations, which provided an indication of whether dietary recommendations were met or not [42].

The number of health behaviour guidelines met by each adolescent was calculated as a sum of meeting individual guidelines for PA (≥ 60 min of MVPA/day), outside school ST (≤ 2 h/day) and fruit and vegetable intake (>1/ day each for both fruit and vegetables) and ranged from zero to three, as described previously [22].

Perceived health

Self-perceived health was assessed using a single question: "In general, how would you say your health is?" [39]. Response categories were 'excellent', 'very good', 'good', 'fair' and 'poor'.

Data analysis

For descriptive statistics, categorical variables are reported as frequency (percentage) whereas continuous variables are reported as mean ± SD. Differences in outcome variables of interest between the study 1 and study 2 were examined using multivariable regression modelling, adjusted by age, gender ('female' or 'male'), ethnicity ('Māori', 'New Zealand European' or 'Other') and neighbourhood deprivation score. Logistic regression modelling was used for examining binary outcomes (e.g., meeting PA guidelines, meeting ST guidelines), multinomial logistic regression modelling was used for examining polychotomous outcomes (e.g., transport to school, consumption of sweets) and linear regression modelling was used for examining continuous outcomes (e.g., average weekday or weekend day ST). P-level of less than 0.05 was considered statistically significant. Data were analyzed using SPSS 27.0 and StataSE 17.

Results

Sociodemographic characteristics

This analysis was based on data from 2,085 adolescents (S1: 1,264 adolescents from 12 schools; S2: 819 adolescents from 11 schools) (Table 1). Gender, ethnicity, neighbourhood level deprivation and self-reported health differed between S1 and S2 participants (Table 1). The proportion of males, adolescents of Māori and 'other' ethnic groups was higher at S2 compared to S1, while the proportion of New Zealand European adolescents was higher at S1 compared to S2. Compared to S1, at S2 on average adolescents lived in households with more vehicles, more desktop and laptop computers and fewer televisions and game consoles. Differences in neighbourhood level deprivation and self-reported health were also observed between the samples appearing to indicate a slight deterioration in the latter case.

Meeting health behaviours guidelines

In both samples, few adolescents met health behaviour guidelines (Table 2). Compared to S1, a greater proportion of S2 adolescents met guidelines for PA (16.7% vs. 23.1%; p < 0.001) and ST (13.3% vs. 18.3%; p < 0.001) while no significant difference was observed for fruit and vegetable intake (29.6% vs. 27.0%; p=0.322) (Table 2) and all three health behaviours combined (Fig. 2). Average outside school ST was lower on both weekdays (5.0 ± 2.9) vs. 4.6 ± 2.9 ; p < 0.001) and weekend days (6.9 ± 3.5 vs. 6.1 ± 3.6 h/day; p < 0.001) in S2 compared to S1. A higher weekly frequency of consuming sweets and a lower frequency of consuming soft drinks and fast food were found in S2 versus S1 (Table 2).

Compared to adolescents who did not meet any of the health behaviours guidelines for PA, ST or fruit and vegetable intake, the proportion of adolescents who met two or three guidelines were significantly higher at S2 compared to S1 (p=0.006; Fig. 2), whereas the difference between adolescents meeting one and no guideline did not reach statistical significance (p=0.071; Fig. 2).

Discussion

Key findings of this study are: (1) few adolescents met health behaviour guidelines both before and following the onset of the COVID-19 pandemic; (2) compared to 5–6 years before the pandemic, a greater proportion of adolescents met guidelines for PA and ST following the onset of the pandemic while no significant difference was observed for fruit and vegetable intake; (3) average outside school ST following the onset of the COVID-19 pandemic was comparatively lower than before the pandemic on both weekdays and weekend days; and (4) compared to the pre-pandemic period, adolescents self-reported higher weekly intake of sweets and lower weekly intake of soft drinks and fast food following the onset of the pandemic.

Overall, low levels of PA were observed, with less than a quarter of adolescents meeting PA guidelines at both time points. Significantly more adolescents reported meeting PA guidelines during the COVID-19 pandemic, compared with 5–6 years prior. This is in contrast with previous international literature reviews which have generally reported reductions in PA during the COVID-19 pandemic [26, 44–47]. These reductions are estimated as being between 11 min and 91 min per day [44] thus having significant potential to negatively impact health. These reviews have also highlighted inequities in the negative impact of COVID-19 on PA, particularly among older youth, those residing in higher deprivation areas, and those with less opportunities to be active in their neighbourhoods. Conflicting findings have been reported

	Study 1 (2014/15)	Study 2 (2021/22)	<i>p</i> -value
	n=1266	n=819	
Age (years)	15.3 ± 1.4	15.2 ± 1.4	0.171
Gender [n(%)]			
Male	575 (45.4%)	409 (49.9%)	
Female	691 (54.6%)	388 (47.4%)	
Gender diverse ^a	N/A	22 (2.7%)	< 0.001
Ethnicity [n(%)]			
New Zealand European	931 (73.5%)	538 (65.7%)	
Māori	142 (11.2%)	120 (14.7%)	
Pacific	47 (3.7%)	25 (3.1%)	
Asian	80 (6.3%)	36 (4.4%)	
Other	60 (4.7%)	100 (12.2%)	< 0.001
Neighbourhood deprivation score (%)	(n=1240)	(n=813)	
1 (least deprived)	385 (31.0%)	252 (31.0%)	
2	302 (24.0%)	226 (27.8%)	
3	257 (20.7%)	124 (15.3%)	
4	181 (14.6%)	134 (16.5%)	
5 (most deprived)	155 (9.3%)	77 (9.5%)	0.023
Self-perceived health			
Excellent	224 (17.7%)	134 (16.4%)	
Very good	559 (44.2%)	325 (39.7%)	
Good	387 (30.6%)	275 (33.6%)	
Fair	82 (6.5%)	77 (9.4%)	
Poor	14 (1.1%)	8 (1.0%)	0.042
Family structure			
Number of people living at home (n)	4.2 ± 1.3	4.3 ± 1.2	0.427
Number of adults at home (n)	1.9 ± 0.6	2.0 ± 0.5	< 0.001
Number of siblings (n)	2.2 ± 1.6	1.9 ± 1.3	0.003
Resources at home			
Number of bikes available to use to get to scho	ol (%)		
None	24.2%	23.3%	
One	20.1%	18.6%	
Two or more	55.7%	58.1%	0.528
Number of vehicles at home (%)			
None	3.5%	0.9%	
One	26.5%	19.0%	
Two or more	70.1%	80.1%	< 0.001
Number of televisions (n)	2.4 ± 1.1	2.2 ± 1.1	< 0.001
Number of desktop computers (n)	0.9 ± 0.9	1.1 ± 1.2	0.026
Number of laptops (n)	2.0 ± 1.2	2.8 ± 1.1	< 0.001
Number of game consoles (n)	1.4 ± 1.1	1.3 ± 1.2	0.003

Table 1 Sociodemographic and family characteristics of adolescent participants

^a Note: Gender diverse response category was used only in Study 2. Those data were not collected in Study 1.

for gender, with one review noting greater reductions for males [45] and others reporting greater reductions for females [44, 46, 47]. One study also highlighted that reductions in PA appeared more marked for those who previously participated in organised team sports [45]. In our study, we controlled for key socio-demographic factors, and asked about overall PA and sports participation both at school and outside school time, yielding useful and robust insights about changes in different activity behaviours of importance.

While the increase in PA in the current study may seem counter-intuitive, it is consistent with other New Zealand

Table 2 Comparison of health behaviours in adolescents in study 1 versus study 2, using multivariable modelling

	Study 1 (2014- 2015) n=1266	Study 2 (2021- 2022) n=819	<i>p</i> -value ^a
Physical activity			
Meeting PA guidelines (≥60 min MVPA/day) (%)	16.7%	23.1%	< 0.001
MVPA 60+ min/day (days/week)	4.1 ± 2.1	4.5 ± 2.0	< 0.001
Sport participation at school (%)	67.2%	65.1%	0.475
Sport participation outside school (%)	50.2%	48.1%	0.437
Screen time			
Meeting screen time guidelines (≤2 hrs/day) (%)	13.3%	18.3%	< 0.001
Watching television (including videos and DVDs) (hrs/day)	2.0 ± 1.7	1.8 ± 1.7	0.001
Playing games on a computer or games console (hrs/day)	1.3 ± 1.8	1.5 ± 1.9	0.489
Using a computer for chatting on-line, internet, emailing, homework, etc. (hrs/day)	2.7 ± 2.1	2.1 ± 1.9	< 0.001
Weekday average (hrs/day)	5.0 ± 2.9	4.6 ± 2.9	< 0.001
Weekend average (hrs/day)	6.9 ± 3.5	6.1 ± 3.6	< 0.001
Daily average screen time (hrs/day)	5.6 ± 3.0	5.0 ± 3.0	< 0.001
Dietary habits			
Meeting fruit and vegetable guidelines (more than once a day for both fruit and vegetables) (%)	29.6%	27.0%	0.322
Fruit consumption			
Once a week or less (Ref.)	8.9%	10.6%	
2-4 times per week	16.7%	18.7%	0.844
5 or more times per week	74.3%	70.7%	0.400
Vegetable consumption			
Once a week or less (Ref.)	4.8%	5.4%	
2-4 times per week	12.2%	9.6%	0.099
5 or more times per week	82.9%	85.0%	0.709
Sweet (candies and chocolate) consumption			
Once a week or less (Ref.)	42.6%	33.3%	
2-4 times per week	35.5%	41.6%	< 0.001
5 or more times per week	21.9%	25.0%	0.003
Soft drink consumption			
Once a week or less (Ref.)	56.6%	70.0%	
2-4 times per week	25.4%	18.3%	< 0.001
5 or more times per week	17.9%	11.7%	< 0.001
Fast food consumption			
Once a week or less (Ref.)	82.3%	85.3%	
2-4 times per week	12.6%	10.6%	0.037
5 or more times per week	5.1%	4.0%	0.089

^a P-values are based on multivariable regression modelling, adjusted for age, gender (female, male), ethnicity (New Zealand European, Māori, Other) and New Zealand index of deprivation (quintiles).

research. For example, a survey of children and young people during COVID-19 showed a majority reported being active in their neighbourhood more than usual during COVID-19 lockdowns [32]. The Active NZ survey showed significant reductions in organised sports activities during the COVID-19 pandemic compared with earlier survey waves, but an increase in informal sports activities, resulting in an overall increase in average time

spent being physically active [29]. This is in line with findings from two recent reviews [44, 46] which noted that if increases in PA were observed, these were generally in relation to unstructured and outdoor activities.

Unexpectedly, we found no significant differences in sport participation either at school or outside school. It is likely the timing of the surveys impacted how adolescents responded to the survey questions. All BEATS Natural



Fig. 2 Proportion of adolescents meeting guidelines for physical activity, screen time and fruit and vegetable intake

Experiment (S2) data collection occurred at alert levels 1 and 2, when adolescents were able to attend school and physical distancing restrictions were reduced. As such, participation in school sports may have returned to usual levels during this time. Had the surveys been undertaken while schools were closed and physical distancing requirements were in place (as has been the case in some studies including the Active NZ survey), school sports participation would be expected to be non-existent. It is also possible the wording of the question regarding sports participation outside school time in the BEATS surveys were capturing informal activities which may explain the lack of difference between the two time points.

We did not measure opportunities for PA in the neighbourhood in this study. It is possible that the geographical context of Dunedin is internationally unique in its provision of opportunities to be active in local neighbourhoods [48]. Similarly, we did not assess the familial context, which is an important consideration in understanding supports for PA during the COVID-19 pandemic [49]. While in the current study we observed positive shifts in PA overall, there are nonetheless important opportunities to support PA maintenance during pandemics, with policy implications in the eventuality of future pandemics. Drawing from the current study and extant literature base, these could include supporting participation in organised outdoor activities while meeting physical distancing requirements, provision of online activity programmes, infrastructural shifts to facilitate safe walking and cycling around neighbourhoods, and taking a whole-of-family approach to support families to be active together.

Though our analyses noted a higher proportion of adolescents meeting ST recommendations post-COVID, fewer than one in five were doing so. Furthermore, adolescents at S2 reported lower levels of both weekday and weekend day ST than those at S1. These findings are inconsistent with those of a systematic review that reported increases in ST from before to during the initial COVID-19 lockdown among children and adolescents [50]. This review also noted that children and adolescents who faced strict lockdowns reported a sharper increase in ST than those under mild restrictions. It is possible that the restrictions experienced in Dunedin were less stringent than elsewhere. It is also possible that the pattern of ST consumption observed in our study reflects a secular trend toward lower engagement in outside school ST independent of any COVID-19 effects. However, this will require further scrutiny.

Healthy food choices and dietary patterns contribute to reducing the risk of non-communicable diseases, which are common in adulthood and are increasingly diagnosed in adolescents [51, 52]. Consumption of the recommended number of daily servings of fruits $(\geq 2 \text{ servings})$ and vegetables $(\geq 3 \text{ servings})$ is part of a healthy dietary pattern yet is often not achieved in all age groups, including adolescents [9, 53]. Low intakes of fruits and vegetables have an impact on health outcomes, for example, an estimated 2 million deaths worldwide were attributable to low intakes of fruit [53]. In this study, inadequate weekly intakes of fruit and vegetables was observed before and following the onset of the COVID-19 pandemic in New Zealand and there was almost no change between the two time periods. The fact that there was no significant change in fruit and vegetable intakes is interesting, as dietary patterns and food intakes can be sensitive to major national and international events [54, 55]. In contrast, the frequency of consuming soft drinks and fast foods decreased. While a reduction in the intake of sugar sweetened beverages has been observed [56], this was during periods of COVID-19 control lockdowns, whereas our observations related to periods of both lockdowns and no lockdowns but may have been influenced by recall bias or factors that our survey did not assess [55] and this may have influenced discretionary spending on non-essential food items like sugar sweetened beverages.

It is important to note that results presented in this article are based on data collected from two different samples (i.e., not repeated measures). Therefore, these results are not based on the analysis of change in the same study sample but rather on the analysis of differences between two groups of individuals of similar ages at different time points, that is, two cross-sectional surveys. Although data analysis was adjusted by age, gender, ethnicity and neighbourhood deprivation score, the findings could be attributed to inter-individual variations in lifestyle behaviours.

Implications

In line with the World Health Organization's Global Strategy on Diet, Physical Activity and Health [57], lifestyle behaviours such as PA, time spent being sedentary, and eating habits and their relationships to healthy weights are often targeted in combination as part of population-based strategies to promote healthy living [58]. In this study, the number of adolescents meeting guidelines for all three health behaviours considered remained very low overall, despite a small significant increase observed over time. This circumstance highlights the rationale for implementing comprehensive multi-pronged approaches to health promotion in schools, and specifically for school-based lifestyle interventions targeting multiple health behaviours, which have shown promise of effectiveness at the secondary school level [24].

Study strengths and limitations

The strengths of this study include a large representative sample of adolescents from the study city, 100% school recruitment rate at both S1 and S2, and use of validated questions for self-reporting of PA, ST and dietary behaviours by adolescents. Study limitations include the repeated cross-sectional study design that prevents examination of individual change over time, reliance on self-report measures, which may lead to non-response and recall and social desirability biases, no assessment of duration of PA and sport participation sessions, no assessment of mobile phone time under ST, no exclusion of homework from the ST assessment, and data collection in a single city that may limit the generalizability of findings. Total screen use includes computer use for homework and as such outside school ST in the current study may not represent the actual recreational ST of participants. Future population-based studies using longitudinal designs incorporating sufficient points in time to capture relevant milestones of the COVID-19 pandemic, and a combination of self-report and device-based measures, as appropriate, will contribute to address some of the shortcomings of the present study and to provide a more complete and accurate picture of the effects of the pandemic on the behaviours of interest.

Conclusions

Compared to pre-pandemic levels, during the first two years of the COVID-19 pandemic in New Zealand, participating adolescents self-reported higher levels of PA and consumption of sweets, and lower weekday and weekend day ST and consumption of soft drinks. Despite some observed improvements, findings highlight the need for longitudinal research combining self-reports and device-based measures, as appropriate, to develop a deeper understanding of temporal behavioural patterns of interest, which can in turn inform comprehensive health promotion for adolescents and policy preparedness and response in the advent of other pandemics.

Abbreviations

BEATSBuilt Environment and Active Transport to SchoolMVPAModerate-to-vigorous physical activityPAPhysical activitySTScreen time

Supplementary Information

The online version contains supplementary material available at https://doi.org/10.1186/s12889-024-17688-7.

Additional file 1.

Acknowledgements

The BEATS Research Program is a collaboration between the Dunedin Secondary Schools' Partnership, Dunedin City Council, University of Otago and Auckland University of Technology. The authors would like to acknowledge BEATS investigators, Advisory Board members, collaborators, research personnel (research assistants, students and volunteers), and all participating schools and adolescents.

Authors' contributions

S.M.: Conceptualization, data curation, formal analysis, funding acquisition, investigation, methodology, project administration, resources, supervision, visualization, writing – original draft, writing – review & editing. A.K.: Conceptualization, formal analysis, writing – review & editing. E.G.B.: Conceptualization, funding acquisition, methodology, writing – review & editing. K.C.: Conceptualization, funding acquisition, methodology, writing – review & editing. J.S.: Conceptualization, funding acquisition, methodology, writing – review & editing. M.S.: Conceptualization, funding acquisition, methodology, writing – review & editing. M.S.: Conceptualization, funding acquisition, methodology, writing – review & editing.

Funding

The BEATS Natural Experiment was supported by the Health Research Council of New Zealand Project Grant (19/173). The BEATS Study was supported by the Health Research Council of New Zealand Emerging Researcher First Grant (14/565), National Heart Foundation of New Zealand (1602 and 1615), Lottery Health Research Grant (Applic 341129), University of Otago Research Grant (UORG 2014), Dunedin City Council and the University of Otago internal grants.

Availability of data and materials

Data used in data analysis for this project will not be shared due to sensitivity of the collected data as well as participants having been given assurances that the collected data will not be shared. For any queries about data used in this analysis, please contact Adjunct Professor Sandra Mandic at sandy.mandic@ aut.ac.nz.

Declarations

Ethical approval and consent to participate

Research protocols and both projects received ethical approval from the University of Otago Human Ethics Committee (BEATS: 13/203; BEATS Natural Experiment: 17/188) and Auckland University of Technology Ethics Committee (BEATS Natural Experiment: 21/314). All methods were carried out

in accordance with relevant guidelines and regulations. Written informed consent was obtained from all adolescent participants prior to participation. For adolescents under 16 years of age, parental opt-in or opt-out informed consent was used in the BEATS Study, based on the school's preference. Parental consent was not required in the BEATS Natural Experiment.

Consent for publication

Not applicable.

Competing interests

Sandra Mandic is the founder and the director of the research consultancy AGILE Research Ltd. (www.agileresearch.nz) and the Team Leader Transport Strategy at Wellington City Council (Wellington, New Zealand). Other authors have no conflict of interest.

Author details

¹ AGILE Research Ltd, Wellington, New Zealand. ²School of Sport and Recreation, Faculty of Health and Environmental Sciences, Auckland University of Technology, Private Bag 92006, Auckland 1142, New Zealand. ³Centre for Sustainability, University of Otago, Dunedin, New Zealand. ⁴School of Health and Rehabilitation Sciences, Faculty of Health and Behavioural Sciences, University of Queensland, Brisbane, Australia. ⁵Physical Activity for Health Research Cluster, Health Research Institute, Department of Physical Education and Sport Sciences, University of Limerick, Ireland. ⁶Research and Innovation Unit, Sport Ireland, Dublin, Ireland. ⁸Nelson Marlborough Institute of Technology, Nelson, New Zealand. ⁹Faculty of Kinesiology, Sport, and Recreation, University of Alberta, Edmonton, Canada. ¹⁰School of Nursing, Faculty of Medical and Health Sciences, The University of Auckland, Auckland, New Zealand.

Received: 14 September 2023 Accepted: 5 January 2024 Published online: 16 January 2024

References

- Craigie AM, Lake AA, Kelly SA, Adamson AJ, Mathers JC. Tracking of obesity-related behaviours from childhood to adulthood: a systematic review. Maturitas. 2011;70(3):266–84.
- 2. Pi-Sunyer X. The medical risks of obesity. Postgrad Med. 2009;121(6):21–33.
- Aubert S, Barnes JD, Abdeta C, Abi Nader P, Adeniyi AF, Aguilar-Farias N, et al. Global matrix 3.0 physical activity report card grades for children and youth: results and analysis from 49 countries. J Phys Act Health. 2018;15(S2):251-S273.
- Doggui R, Ward S, Johnson C, Bélanger M. Trajectories of eating behaviour changes during adolescence. Nutrients. 2021;13(4): 1313.
- Ministry of Health. Children's Dietary habits findings from the 2018/19 and 2019/20 New Zealand Health Survey. Wellington: Ministry of Health; 2022.
- Guthold R, Stevens GA, Riley LM, Bull FC. Global trends in insufficient physical activity among adolescents: a pooled analysis of 298 populationbased surveys with 1.6 million participants. Lancet Child Adolesc Health. 2020;4(1):23–35.
- Aubert S, Barnes JD, Demchenko I, Hawthorne M, Abdeta C, Abi Nader P, et al. Global matrix 4.0 physical activity report card grades for children and adolescents: results and analyses from 57 countries. J Phys Act Health. 2022;19(11):700–28.
- Wilson O, Ikeda E, Hinckson E, Mandic S, Richards J, Duncan S, et al. Results from Aotearoa New Zealand's 2022 report card on physical activity for children and youth: a call to address inequities in health-promoting activities. J Exerc Sci Fit. 2023;21(1):58–66.
- Biswas T, Townsend N, Huda MM, Maravilla J, Begum T, Pervin S, et al. Prevalence of multiple non-communicable diseases risk factors among adolescents in 140 countries: a population-based study. EClinicalMedicine. 2022;52: 101591.
- Clark T, Fleming T, Bullen P, Crengle S, Denny S, Dyson B, et al. Health and well-being of secondary school students in New Zealand: trends between 2001, 2007 and 2012. J Paediatr Child Health. 2013;49(11):925–34.

- 11. Alamian A, Paradis G. Clustering of chronic disease behavioral risk factors in Canadian children and adolescents. Prev Med. 2009;48(5):493–9.
- Dumith SC, Muniz LC, Tassitano RM, Hallal PC, Menezes AM. Clustering of risk factors for chronic diseases among adolescents from Southern Brazil. Prev Med. 2012;54(6):393–6.
- Sanchez A, Norman GJ, Sallis JF, Calfas KJ, Cella J, Patrick K. Patterns and correlates of physical activity and nutrition behaviors in adolescents. Am J Prev Med. 2007;32(2):124–30.
- Lawlor DA, O'Callaghan MJ, Mamun AA, Williams GM, Bor W, Najman JM. Socioeconomic position, cognitive function, and clustering of cardiovascular risk factors in adolescence: findings from the Mater University study of pregnancy and its outcomes. Psychosom Med. 2005;67(6):862–8.
- Ottevaere C, Huybrechts I, Benser J, De Bourdeaudhuij I, Cuenca-Garcia M, Dallongeville J, et al. Clustering patterns of physical activity, sedentary and dietary behavior among European adolescents: the HELENA study. BMC Public Health. 2011;11: 328.
- Seghers J, Rutten C. Clustering of multiple lifestyle behaviours and its relationship with weight status and cardiorespiratory fitness in a sample of flemish 11- to 12-year-olds. Public Health Nutr. 2010;13(11):1838–46.
- Cuenca-Garcia M, Huybrechts I, Ruiz JR, Ortega FB, Ottevaere C, Gonzalez-Gross M, et al. Clustering of multiple lifestyle behaviors and health-related fitness in European adolescents. J Nutr Educ Behav. 2013;45(6):549–57.
- Boone-Heinonen J, Gordon-Larsen P, Adair LS. Obesogenic clusters: multidimensional adolescent obesity-related behaviors in the U.S. Ann Behav Med. 2008;36(3):217–30.
- Turner K, Dwyer JJ, Edwards AM, Allison KR. Clustering of specific healthrelated behaviours among Toronto adolescents. Can J Diet Prac Res. 2011;72(3):e155-160.
- Plotnikoff RC, Karunamuni N, Spence JC, Storey K, Forbes L, Raine K, et al. Chronic disease-related lifestyle risk factors in a sample of Canadian adolescents. J Adolesc Health. 2009;44(6):606–9.
- Hardy LL, Grunseit A, Khambalia A, Bell C, Wolfenden L, Milat AJ. Cooccurrence of obesogenic risk factors among adolescents. J Adolesc Health. 2012;51(3):265–71.
- Mandic S, García Bengoechea E, Coppell KJ, Spence JC. Clustering of (un)healthy behaviors in adolescents from Dunedin, New Zealand. Am J Health Behav. 2017;41(3):266–75.
- 23. Uddin R, Lee EY, Khan SR, Tremblay MS, Khan A. Clustering of lifestyle risk factors for non-communicable diseases in 304,779 adolescents from 89 countries: a global perspective. Prev Med. 2020;131: 105955.
- Sevil J, Garcia-Gonzalez L, Abos A, Generelo E, Aibar A. Can high schools be an effective setting to promote healthy lifestyles? Effects of a multiple behavior change intervention in adolescents. J Adolesc Health. 2019;64(4):478–86.
- Madigan S, Eirich R, Pador P, McArthur BA, Neville RD. Assessment of changes in child and adolescent screen time during the COVID-19 pandemic: a systematic review and meta-analysis. JAMA Pediatr. 2022;176(12):1188–98.
- Neville RD, Lakes KD, Hopkins WG, Tarantino G, Draper CE, Beck R, et al. Global changes in child and adolescent physical activity during the COVID-19 pandemic: a systematic review and meta-analysis. JAMA Pediatr. 2022;176(9):886–94.
- Nagata JM, Cortez CA, Dooley EE, Iyer P, Ganson KT, Pettee Gabriel K. Moderate-to-vigorous intensity physical activity among adolescents in the USA during the COVID-19 pandemic. Prev Med Rep. 2022;25: 101685.
- Pandya A, Lodha P. Social connectedness, excessive screen time during COVID-19 and Mental Health: a review of current evidence. Front Hum Dynamics. 2021;3:684137.
- Sport New Zealand. Active NZ changes in participation: the New Zealand participation Survey 2021. Wellington; 2022. Available at: https://sportnz. org.nz/resources/active-nz-changes-in-participation/.
- Meissel K, Bergquist M, Kumarich J, Napier C, Peterson E, Smith A, et al. The growing up in New Zealand COVID-19 wellbeing survey: part 2: education. Auckland: Growing Up in New Zealand; 2021.
- Hale T, Angrist N, Goldszmidt R, Kira B, Petherick A, Phillips T, et al. A global panel database of pandemic policies (Oxford COVID-19 government response tracker). Nat Hum Behav. 2021;5(4):529–38.
- Smith M, Donnellan N, Zhao J, Egli V, Ma C, Clark T. Children's perceptions of their neighbourhoods during COVID-19 lockdown in Aotearoa New Zealand. Child Geogr. 2023;21:220–34.

- Mandic S, Williams J, Moore A, Hopkins D, Flaherty C, Wilson G, et al. Built environment and active transport to school (BEATS) study: protocol for a cross-sectional study. BMJ Open. 2016;6: e011196.
- Mandic S, Hopkins D, Garcia Bengoechea E, Moore A, Sandretto S, Coppell K, et al. Built environment changes and active transport to school among adolescents: BEATS natural experiment study protocol. BMJ Open. 2020;10(3): e034899.
- 35. Ministry of Health. A portrait of Health: key results of the 2002/03 New Zealand Health Survey. Wellington: Ministry of Health; 2004.
- Atkinson J, Salmond C, Crampton P. NZDep2013 index of deprivation. Dunedin: University of Otago; 2014.
- Salmond C, Crampton P, King P, Waldegrave C. NZiDep: a New Zealand index of socioeconomic deprivation for individuals. Soc Sci Med. 2006;62(6):1474–85.
- Atkinson J, Salmond C, Crampton P. NZDep2018 index of deprivation. Final research report. Wellington: University of Otago; 2020.
- Currie C, Nic Gabhainn S, Godeau E, International HNCC. The health behaviour in school-aged children: WHO collaborative cross-national (HBSC) study: origins, concept, history and development 1982–2008. Int J Public Health. 2009;54(Suppl 2):131–9.
- 40. World Health Organization. Global recommendations on physical activity for health. Geneva, Switzerland: WHO Press; 2010.
- 41. Tremblay MS, Carson V, Chaput JP, Connor Gorber S, Dinh T, Duggan M, et al. Canadian 24-hour movement guidelines for children and youth: an integration of physical activity, sedentary behaviour, and sleep. Appl Physiol Nutr Metab. 2016;41(6 Suppl 3):311–27.
- 42. Ministry of Health. Food and nutrition guidelines for healthy children and young people (aged 2–18 years): a background paper. Partial revision February 2015. Wellington: Ministry of Health; 2012.
- Bull FC, Al-Ansari SS, Biddle S, Borodulin K, Buman MP, Cardon G, et al. World Health Organization 2020 guidelines on physical activity and sedentary behaviour. Br J Sports Med. 2020;54(24):1451–62.
- Rossi L, Behme N, Breuer C. Physical activity of children and adolescents during the COVID-19 pandemic-a scoping review. Int J Environ Res Public Health. 2021;18:21.
- Yomoda K, Kurita S. Influence of social distancing during the COVID-19 pandemic on physical activity in children: a scoping review of the literature. J Exerc Sci Fit. 2021;19(3):195–203.
- Do B, Kirkland C, Besenyi GM, Carissa Smock M, Lanza K. Youth physical activity and the COVID-19 pandemic: a systematic review. Prev Med Rep. 2022;29:101959.
- Kornbeck J, Petkovic S, Naul R. The impact of the COVID-19 pandemic on the physical activity and health and wellbeing of children and adolescents in Europe. AUC Kinanthropologica. 2022;58(1):5–17.
- Marek L, Hobbs M, Wiki J, Kingham S, Campbell M. The good, the bad, and the environment: developing an area-based measure of access to health-promoting and health-constraining environments in New Zealand. Int J Health Geogr. 2021;20(1):16.
- Gilic B, Ostojic L, Corluka M, Volaric T, Sekulic D. Contextualizing Parental/ Familial influence on physical activity in adolescents before and during COVID-19 pandemic: a prospective analysis. Child (Basel). 2020;7(9):125.
- Kharel M, Sakamoto JL, Carandang RR, Ulambayar S, Shibanuma A, Yarotskaya E, et al. Impact of COVID-19 pandemic lockdown on movement behaviours of children and adolescents: a systematic review. BMJ Glob Health. 2022;7(1):e007190.
- Leong KSW, Jayasinghe TN, Wilson BC, Derraik JGB, Albert BB, Chiavaroli V, et al. High prevalence of undiagnosed comorbidities among adolescents with obesity. Sci Rep. 2020;10(1):20101.
- Twig G, Reichman B, Afek A, Derazne E, Hamiel U, Furer A, et al. Severe obesity and cardio-metabolic comorbidities: a nationwide study of 2.8 million adolescents. Int J Obesity. 2019;43(7):1391–9.
- Collaborators GBDD. Health effects of dietary risks in 195 countries, 1990–2017: a systematic analysis for the global burden of disease study 2017. Lancet. 2019;393(10184):1958–72.
- Trowell H. Diabetes mellitus death-rates in England and Wales 1920-70 and food supplies. Lancet. 1974;2(7887):998–1002.
- 55. Stats NZ. Food Price Index: Stats NZ; n.d. Available from: https://www. stats.govt.nz/topics/food-price-index].
- 56. Gardner LA, Debenham J, Newton NC, Chapman C, Wylie FE, Osman B, et al. Lifestyle risk behaviours among adolescents: a two-year longitudinal

study of the impact of the COVID-19 pandemic. BMJ Open. 2022;12(6): e060309.

- 57. World Health Organization. Global strategy on diet, physical activity and health. World Health Organization; 2004. Available at: https://www.who. int/publications/i/item/9241592222.
- The Secretariat for the Intersectoral Healthy Living Network HLTG, & the Advisory Committee on Population Health and Health Security. The integrated pan-canadian healthy living strategy Ottawa. ON: Public Health Agency of Canada; 2005. (Contract No.: No.HP10-1/2005).

Publisher's Note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.