RESEARCH Open Access

A comparative study of the work-family conflicts prevalence, their sociodemographic, family, and work attributes, and their relation to the self-reported health status in Japanese and Egyptian civil workers

Omnyh Kamal Abd El Latief¹, Ehab Salah Eshak^{1,2*}, Eman Mohamed Mahfouz¹, Hiroyasu Iso², Hiroshi Yatsuya³, Eman Mohamed Sameh¹, Eman Ramadan Ghazawy¹, Sachiko Baba⁴, Shimaa Anwer Emam¹, Ayman Soliman El-khateeb¹, and Ebtesam Esmail Hassan¹

Abstract

Background: Cross-cultural studies studying work-family conflicts (W_F_Cs) are scarce. We compared the prevalence of W_F_Cs, factors correlated with them, and their association with self-rated health between Japan and Egypt.

Methods: Among 4862 Japanese and 3111 Egyptian civil workers recruited by a convenience sample in 2018/2019 and reported self-rated health status, we assessed the W_F_Cs by the Midlife Development in the US (MIDUS) and attributed them to sociodemographic, family, and work variables. We also evaluated the W_F_Cs' gender- and country-specific associations with self-rated health by logistic regression analyses.

Results: W_F_Cs were more prevalent in Egyptian than in Japanese women (23.7% vs. 18.2%) and men (19.1% vs. 10.5%), while poor self-rated health was more prevalent in Japanese than Egyptians (19.3% and 17.3% vs. 16.9% and 5.5%). Longer working hours, shift work, and overtime work were positively associated with stronger work-to-family conflict (WFC). Whereas being single was inversely associated with stronger family-to-work conflict (FWC). Living with children, fathers, or alone in Japan while education in Egypt was associated with these conflicts. The OR (95% Cl) for poor self-reported health among those with the strong, in reference to weak total W_F_Cs, was 4.28 (2.91–6.30) and 6.01 (4.50–8.01) in Japanese women and men and was 2.46 (1.75–3.47) and 3.11 (1.67–5.80) in Egyptian women and men

Conclusions: Japanese and Egyptian civil workers have different prevalence and correlated factors of W_F_Cs and self-rated health. W_F_Cs were associated in a dose–response pattern with poor-self-rated health of civil workers in both countries.

Keywords: Cross-cultural study, Work-family conflict, Self-rated health, Gender, Civil workers, Japan, Egypt

Full list of author information is available at the end of the article

Introduction

Work and family are key realms of human life. However, sometimes the individuals' time, strain, and behavior related to one realm clash with those of the other [1]. Unfair distribution of the subject's energy and



© The Author(s) 2022. **Open Access** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and the use is not permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/. The Creative Commons Public Domain Dedication waiver (http://creativecommons.org/publicdomain/zero/1.0/) applies to the data made available in this article, unless otherwise stated in a credit line to the data.

^{*}Correspondence: ehab@pbhel.med.osaka-u.ac.jp

¹ Public Health Department, Faculty of Medicine, Minia University, El-Minia, Egypt

Latief et al. BMC Public Health (2022) 22:1490 Page 2 of 12

time could lead to some sort of conflict [2]. This conflict could be directed from work to family and described as work-to-family conflict (WFC) or from family to work and described as family-to-work conflict (FWC) [3]. Both WFC and FWC compose the total work-family conflicts (W_F_Cs) [4, 5]. *Khan* et al. (1964) have defined W_F_Cs as "inter-role conflicts in which the role pressures from the work and family domains are mutually incompatible in some respect" [6].

W_F_Cs have become a rich area for organizational, social, and health research because they influence organizational achievement [7] and workers' personal lives [8, 9]. W_F_Cs have been related to absenteeism, tardiness, leaving work early, turnover intentions, and other negative work behaviors [10, 11]. Meanwhile, W_F_Cs have been associated with adverse physical and mental health outcomes [9, 11–15].

The World Health Organization (WHO) suggested in the early 1990s the implementation of self-rated health as a valuable tool for assessing individuals' health and quality of life [16]. Since then, self-rated health has been widely used in social science research.

So far, there is a considerable bulk of research studied the attributes of W_F_Cs [17-21] and linked W_F_Cs to poor self-rated health of community dwellers [8, 12] and working populations [13, 22-24]. The literature indicated vast variabilities in the W F Cs' levels and their correlates, the proportions of subjects with poor self-rated health, and the magnitude of association between these conflicts and self-rated health across different cultures and populations. Yet, cross-cultural studies that compare the attributes and the health sequences of W F Cs among working people of different cultures are limited [5]. In Egypt and Japan, the published literature was based on small sample studies and indicated social and occupational variabilities between the two populations, such as the differences in the family structure and the average daily working hours. Yet, the two countries are alike in terms of the lifetime-employment system and the community's view of males as breadwinners and females as caregivers. The Egyptian studies suggested the prevalence of WFC, FWC, and poor self-rated health at 46.7%, 50.8%, and 16.9% [12]. The prevalence reported in the Japanese studies ranged between 15.2% to 54.0% for WFC, 21.2 to 36.4% for FWC, and 13.9% to 35.2% for poor selfrated health in men and 22.8% to 72.5%, 16.3% to 56.8%, and 17.7% to 36.0%, respectively in women [8, 13, 20]. Accordingly, in the current research, we aimed to run a cross-cultural study among large samples of Egyptian and Japanese civil workers to compare the prevalence and correlated factors of the W_F_Cs and poor self-rated health in the two working populations and to

compare the associations of W_F_Cs with the poor selfrated health among the civil workers in both countries.

Methods

Subjects

This comparative, cross-cultural study data were collected separately for Japanese and Egyptian civil workers who work in a central prefecture/governorate (Aichi in Japan and Minia in Egypt). A total of 5310 civil workers aged 20-60 years responded to the 2018 data collection cycle of the Aichi Workers' Cohort study, and 3133 Egyptian civil workers of the same age range responded to the Minia University Public Health Department's survey in 2019. The Aichi Workers' Cohort study [25] and the Egyptian survey were published previously [26]. As we aim to study the work and family interface, we excluded civil workers who were not living with a spouse, children, parents, or other relatives on the condition they reported the number of family members = 0; thus, the final sample consisted of 4862 Japanese and 3111 Egyptian civil workers. The ethical review boards at Nagoya University, Japan, and Minia University, Egypt, have approved each survey. The ethical review board in [Masked for Review] (which hosted the Japanese and Egyptian collected data) has also approved the comparative study (approval no 19501). All Egyptian participants consented to provide their data for the comparative research, and Japanese participants who did not respond to an opt-out consent were considered agreeing to be involved in the comparative study.

Data

The paper–pencil self-administered questionnaire used in both countries contained the same set of targeted variables, including information on the civil workers' sociodemographic, family, job, and health aspects.

Work-family conflicts

The following four statements were used to investigate the level of FWC, 1- "Thinking about home troubles can confuse you at work," 2- "The work time is reduced due to home-related issues," 3- "Your own time to relax is reduced due to responsibilities at home," and 4- " Due to housework, you cannot have enough sleeping time you need to accomplish your work." The following four statements were used to investigate the level of work-to-family conflict (WFC), 1- "Work problems make you annoyed at home," 2- "I dedicate less time to my family because I have to work," 3- "My work depletes my energy that I feel not able to pay attention to anything at home," and 4- "I am often out of home for a long time due to work needs." For each statement, participants can choose one frequency response on a three-point Likert

Latief et al. BMC Public Health (2022) 22:1490 Page 3 of 12

scale (0=never, 1=to some extent, 2=often/ very often) as initially indicated by the Midlife Development in the United States National Study [27] and used in previous Japanese [8] and Egyptian [28] settings.

Health status (Self-reported)

The participants were asked to choose either "1=very good, 2=fairy good, 3=good, 4=not very good, 5=not good" in response to the question "How do you rate your current health status?". Participants who chose "not very good" and "not good" were considered to have a poor self-reported health status.

Other variables

We collected information on the sociodemographic, family, and work attributes of the participants, which we believe it could relate to the W_F_Cs, such as age, gender, marital status, education, occupation, living arrangement, number of family members, and how children were under the age of 14 years, the number of average working hours per day, working overtime or additional job, time for one-way commuting to work, and whether the work is a regular day time work or requires night shifts. We also ascertained the participants' lifestyles by inquiring about their smoking and drinking habits. We converted physical activity into the metabolic equivalent of task (METs) unit according to the self-reported hours spent in different activities.

Statistical analysis

We showed the descriptive analyses of the collected data, gender-specific to each country, as mean (SD) or proportion, and included the frequency responses to each statement of the FWC and WFC. The FWC and WFC scores ranged between 0 and 8 points, and both were combined to create the total W_F_Cs score, which ranged between 0 and 16 points, as indicated by previous studies [4, 5, 28].

We used the logistic regression analyses to assess the gender- and country-specific associations of sociodemographic, family, and work factors with the different levels of FWC and WFC [weak conflict level (<2 points), moderate conflict level (2–4 points), and strong conflict level (≥5 points)]. Participants should have been ranked strong in at least one form of conflict (FWC and/or WFC) while ranked moderate in the other form of conflict to be in the strong total W_F_Cs category. On the other hand, participants should have been ranked weak in at least one form of conflict while ranked moderate in the other form of conflict to be in the weak total W_F_Cs category. Other than these, participants were ranked moderate in the total W_F_Cs [14, 29]. We computed the odds ratios (ORs) and 95% confidence intervals (CIs) of having poor self-reported health

across the increasing categories of the conflicts, age groups, smoking status, alcohol drinking status (for Japanese only), and quartiles of physical activity using the weak category of conflict, age \leq 30 years, never smokers, never drinkers, and the lowest quartile of physical activity respectively as the reference groups. The logistic regression models were adjusted for socioeconomic-, family-, and work-related factors.

We used the SAS software version 9.4 (SAS Institute Inc, Cary, NC, USA) for the analyses considering a two-tailed *p*-value < 0.05 for statistical significance.

Results

Descriptive analyses in Tables 1 and 2

In both countries, most of the participants were educated at a university level or above, currently married, and working regular daytime jobs. There were more singles and living alone Japanese than Egyptian civil workers. Larger families were seen in Egypt than in Japan. The Japanese civil workers worked on average 8.2 h for females and 8.4 h for males per day, and 12.7% and 14.4% of them worked overtime. On the other hand, the average working hours per day and the proportion of civil workers who worked additional jobs in Egypt were 6.8 h and 13.5% for females and 7.5 h and 44.8% for males.

Japanese women had a higher prevalence of FWC (15.0%) than Egyptian women (12.8%), but the opposite was found in men (7.8% in Japanese men and 9.8% in Egyptian men). A higher prevalence of strong total W_F_Cs was seen in Egypt (23.7% in women and 19.1% in men) than in Japan (18.2% in women and 10.5% in men). There were always higher proportions of Egyptians selecting the highest frequency option "often" in responding to each conflict statement than the Japanese counterparts.

The prevalence of poor self-rated health among the Japanese civil workers was 19.3% in females and 17.3% in males. In contrast, the Egyptian civil workers reported a lower prevalence, 16.9% in females and 5.5% in males.

The gender-and country-specific participants' characteristics according to the categories of total W_F_Cs levels are shown in Supplemental Table 1. There were significant differences in the family-related factors across increasing categories of total W_F_Cs in the Japanese but not the Egyptian women and men. On the other hand, the work-related factors showed similar variations in both genders of both countries.

Logistic regression for factors predicting the conflicts' score in Table 3

FWC

In Japanese women and men, living with children was positively associated, while living with a father or

Table 1 Descriptive analyses of the study variables among Japanese and Egyptian civil workers

	Japan		Egypt		
	Women	Men	Women	Men	
Age, years ¹	38.8 (11.0)	44.2 (10.8)	38.4 (10.1)	43.3 (10.3)	
Education					
Junior high/High	80 (5.0)	201 (6.1)	218 (13.5)	383 (25.6)	
Vocational	501 (31.4)	217 (6.7)	305 (18.9)	354 (23.6)	
University or more	1013 (63.6)	2847 (87.2)	1091 (67.6)	760 (50.8)	
Marital status					
Married	925 (58.1)	2454 (75.2)	1258 (77.9)	1353 (90.4	
Divorced/Separated	77 (4.8)	68 (2.1)	42 (2.6)	12 (0.8)	
Widow	13 (0.8)	17 (0.5)	79 (4.9)	10 (0.7)	
Single	578 (36.3)	725 (22.2)	235 (14.6)	122 (8.2)	
Cohabitants					
Spouse	905 (56.7)	2428 (74.3)	1210 (75.0)	1283 (85.7	
Children	707 (44.3)	1895 (58.0)	1123 (69.6)	1212 (81.0	
Father	394 (24.7)	622 (19.0)	232 (14.4)	250 (16.7)	
Mother	500 (31.3)	851 (26.1)	292 (18.1)	334 (22.3)	
Others	275 (17.2)	295 (9.0)	126 (7.8)	87 (5.8)	
Alone	176 (11.0)	248 (7.6)	10 (0.6)	13 (0.8)	
Number of family members ¹	2.2 (1.4)	2.4 (1.4)	3.1 (1.9)	3.9 (1.8)	
Number of children < 14 years old ¹	0.7 (0.9)	0.7 (0.9)	1.1 (1.2)	1.4 (1.4)	
Occupation	0.7 (0.2)	0.7 (0.5)	1.1 (1.2)	1.7 (1.7)	
Professional	861 (54.0)	1424 (43.6)	1070 (66.3)	715 (47.8)	
Clerks					
Technical/worker	735 (46.0)	1842 (56.4)	374 (23.2)	521 (34.8)	
			170 (10.5)	260 (17.4)	
Regular daytime work	1201 (75.4)	2077 (01.2)	1252 (02.0)	1165 (77.0)	
Yes No	1201 (75.4)	2977 (91.2)	1352 (83.8)	1165 (77.8	
	392 (24.6)	286 (8.8)	262 (16.2)	332 (22.2)	
Job hours per day ¹	8.2 (1.3)	8.4 (1.1)	6.8 (1.5)	7.5 (1.7)	
Time to reach work, minutes	45.8 (24.0)	56.4 (26.2)	30.0 (21.7)	31.1 (21.0)	
Working overtime/Extra job	1204 (07.2)	2707 (05.6)	1206 (06.5)	1205 (05 5	
No	1394 (87.3)	2797 (85.6)	1396 (86.5)	1396 (86.5	
Yes	202 (12.7)	469 (14.4)	218 (13.5)	218 (13.5)	
Family-to-work conflict					
Weak (score < 2)	746 (46.7)	1874 (57.4)	690 (42.8)	773 (51.6)	
Moderate (score 2–4)	611 (38.3)	1137 (34.8)	717 (44.4)	577 (38.6)	
Strong (>=5)	239 (15.0)	255 (7.5)	207 (12.8)	147 (9.8)	
Family-to-work conflict score ¹	2.2 (2.1)	1.6 (1.9)	3.1 (2.1)	2.6 (2.1)	
Work-to-family conflict (WFC)					
Weak (Score < 2)	562 (35.2)	1428 (43.7)	557 (34.5)	563 (37.6)	
Moderate (score 2–4)	835 (52.3)	1593 (48.8)	664 (41.1)	592 (39.6)	
Strong (> $=$ 5)	199 (12.5)	245 (7.5)	393 (24.4)	342 (22.8)	
Work-to-family conflict score ¹	2.3 (1.8)	2.0 (1.7)	3.7 (2.4)	3.5 (2.4)	
Total work-family conflicts (W_F_Cs)					
Weak	859 (53.8)	2103 (64.4)	752 (46.6)	787 (52.6)	
Moderate	447 (28.0)	821 (25.1)	479 (29.7)	424 (28.3)	
Strong	290 (18.2)	342 (10.5)	383 (23.7)	286 (19.1)	
Total work-family conflict score ¹	4.5 (3.3)	3.6 (3.0)	6.8 (3.7)	6.1 (3.8)	
Self-reported health					
Good	1288 (80.7)	2703 (82.8)	1341 (83.1)	1414 (94.5	
Poor	308 (19.3)	563 (17.3)	273 (16.9)	83 (5.5)	

 $^{^{\}rm 1}$ Mean (SD), all such variables. Other variables in the table were presented as numbers (proportions)

Latief et al. BMC Public Health (2022) 22:1490 Page 5 of 12

Table 2 Comparing the response frequency to the work-family conflict items in Japanese and Egyptian civil workers

	Japan			Egypt		
	Never n (%)	Sometimes n (%)	Often n (%)	Never n (%)	Sometimes n (%)	Often/always n (%)
Women						
Family-to-work conflict (FWC)						
"Thinking about home troubles can confuse you at work"	1006 (63.0)	488 (30.6)	102 (6.4)	1045 (64.7)	443 (27.5)	126 (7.8)
"The work time is reduced due to home-related issues"	944 (59.1)	595 (37.3)	57 (3.6)	711 (44.0)	684 (42.4)	219 (13.6)
"Your own time to relax is reduced due to responsibilities at home"	847 (53.0)	566 (35.5)	183 (11.5)	657 (40.7)	610 (37.8)	347 (21.5)
"Due to housework, you cannot have enough sleeping time you need to accomplish your work"	756 (47.4)	542 (33.9)	298 (18.7)	417 (25.8)	577 (35.8)	620 (38.4)
Work-to-Family conflict (WFC)						
"Work problems make you annoyed at home"	524 (32.8)	734 (46.0)	338 (21.2)	505 (32.3)	658 (40.8)	451 (27.9)
"I dedicate less time to my family because I have to work"	654 (41.0)	755 (47.3)	187 (11.7)	451 (27.9)	663 (41.1)	500 (40.0)
"My work depletes my energy that I feel not able to pay attention to anything at home"	1303 (81.6)	279 (17.5)	14 (0.9)	793 (49.1)	457 (28.3)	364 (22.6)
"I am often out of home for long time due to work need"	844 (52.9)	600 (37.6)	152 (9.5)	531 (32.9)	612 (37.9)	471 (29.2)
Men						
Family-to-work conflict (FWC)						
"Thinking about home troubles can confuse you at work"	2213 (67.7)	949 (29.1)	104 (3.2)	938 (62.7)	415 (27.7)	144 (9.6)
"The work time is reduced due to home-related issues"	2059 (63.1)	1118 (34.2)	89 (2.7)	727 (48.6)	547 (36.5)	223 (14.9)
"Your own time to relax is reduced due to responsibilities at home"	2383 (73.0)	749 (22.9)	134 (4.1)	766 (51.2)	512 (34.2)	219 (14.6)
"Due to housework, you cannot have enough sleeping time you need to accomplish your work"	1733 (53.0)	1194 (36.6)	339 (10.4)	584 (39.0)	577 (38.5)	336 (22.5)
Work-to-Family conflict (WFC)						
"Work problems make you annoyed at home"	1256 (38.5)	1483 (45.4)	527 (16.1)	525 (35.1)	518 (34.6)	454 (30.3)
"I dedicate less time to my family because I have to work"	1694 (51.9)	1368 (41.9)	204 (6.2)	556 (37.1)	572 (38.2)	369 (24.7)
"My work depletes my energy that I feel not able to pay attention to anything at home"	2632 (80.6)	598 (18.3)	36 (1.1)	637 (42.6)	454 (30.3)	406 (27.1)
"I am often out of home for long time due to work need"	1976 (60.5)	1103 (33.8)	187 (5.7)	595 (39.8)	532 (35.5)	370 (24.7)

alone was inversely related to the FWC. The number of children in the family was related to the FWC in Japanese women; OR=2.10: 95% CI=1.25-3.54. Meanwhile, overtime work in both women (OR=1.10: 95% CI=0.99-1.75) and men (OR=1.51: 95% CI=1.17-1.96), and job hours per day (OR=1.07: 95% CI=1.00-1.16) and shiftwork (OR=1.70: 95% CI=1.21-2.40) in Japanese men were positively associated with the FWC.

On the other hand, working an extra job in Egyptian women (OR = 1.71: 95% CI = 1.24–2.36) and men (OR = 1.70: 95% CI = 1.34–2.15) was associated with the FWC. Junior high/high school education, in reference to university education or more (OR = 0.53: 95% CI = 0.37–0.77) and living with other family members (OR = 1.36: 95% CI = 1.03–2.44) in women were associated with the FWC; while job hours in men was related to the FWC (OR = 1.18: 95% CI = 1.09–1.28).

WFC

In reference to professionals, the Japanese female clerks had an OR (95% CI) of WFC=0.59 (0.43–0.80). Shift work, job hours per day, overtime work in Japanese women and men, and commuting time to work in Japanese men were associated with the WFC. Japanese women living with fathers had lower odds of WFC (OR=0.31: 95% CI=0.16–0.57). Single, divorced, and living alone Japanese men had lower odds of WFC, while the OR (95%CI) of Japanese men's WFC with the increasing number of family members was 1.22 (1.07–1.38).

The level of WFC in Egyptian women and men was positively associated with shift work, job hours per day, working an extra job, and commuting time to work, while inversely associated with the education level. The OR (95%CI) of the WFC in Egyptian female clerks in reference to professionals was 0.76 (0.56–0.98).

Latief et al. BMC Public Health (2022) 22:1490 Page 6 of 12

Table 3 Multivariable binominal logistic regression for factors associated with conflicts between work and family in Japanese and Egyptian women and men

	Japanese				Egyptian				
	Women		Men		Women		Men		
	FWC	WFC	FWC	WFC	FWC	WFC	FWC	WFC	
	OR (95% CI)								
Age	1.01 (0.99–1.03)	1.01 (0.99–1.03)	0.96 (0.90-0.99)	0.99 (0.98-1.01)	0.96 (0.95-0.98)	0.95 (0.93-0.97)	0.97 (0.96-0.99)	0.96 (0.94-0.98)	
Education									
> = university	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	
Junior high/ High	0.74 (0.39–1.40)	1.56 (0.83–2.95)	0.82 (0.58–1.15)	0.84 (0.60–1.17)	0.53 (0.37–0.77)	0.69 (0.47–0.98)	0.82 (0.59–1.14)	0.65 (0.46–0.91)	
Vocational	0.75 (0.53–1.08)	0.98 (0.71-1.43)	0.93 (0.67-1.31)	0.88 (0.63-1.23)	0.85 (0.62-1.16)	0.98 (0.73-1.41)	0.98 (0.74-1.35)	0.71 (0.52-0.96)	
Marital status									
Married	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	
Divorced	1.07 (0.20-3.02)	0.91 (0.40-4.24)	0.23 (0.02-2.37)	0.81 (0.19-0.97)	0.57 (0.23-1.41)	0.80 (0.32-2.00)	1.37 (0.34–5.54)	0.62 (0.18–2.17)	
Widow	0.30 (0.06-1.55)	0.43 (0.09-2.02)	1.06 (0.45-6.60)	0.89 (0.13-1.56)	0.55 (0.25-1.21)	0.57 (0.25-1.27)	0.45 (0.11-1.18)	0.26 (0.05-1.36)	
Single	0.31 (0.09-0.96)	0.93 (0.30-2.83)	0.20 (0.02-0.87)	0.74 (0.62-0.87)	0.34 (0.15-0.77)	0.51 (0.22-1.17)	0.56 (0.27-0.98)	1.30 (0.86-3.02)	
Living with spouse	0.52 (0.15–1.76)	0.90 (0.32–2.52)	0.35 (0.04–3.19)	0.81 (0.60–1.95)	0.69 (0.37–1.31)	0.73 (0.39–1.38)	1.13 (0.69–1.63)	0.94 (0.72–1.98)	
Living with children	2.10 (1.25–3.54)	0.94 (0.61–1.77)	1.63 (1.19–2.23)	0.83 (0.60–1.14)	1.14 (0.84–1.55)	0.94 (0.68–1.28)	0.92 (0.76–1.63)	1.54 (0.98–2.26)	
Living with father	0.28 (0.15–0.51)	0.31 (0.16-0.57)	0.79 (0.55–1.11)	0.98 (0.69–1.39)	0.72 (0.44–1.17)	0.92 (0.54–1.55)	1.11 (0.74–1.67)	0.73 (0.48–1.10)	
Living with mother	1.65 (0.86–3.15)	1.45 (0.76–2.79)	1.12 (0.81–1.54)	0.85 (0.62–1.16)	1.15 (0.70–1.89)	1.25 (0.75–2.09)	1.30 (0.90–1.88)	1.24 (0.85–1.81)	
Living with others	0.76 (0.43–1.14)	0.40 (0.23–1.69)	0.83 (0.55–1.81)	0.62 (0.42–1.12)	1.36 (1.03–2.44)	1.76 (0.83–2.74)	0.96 (0.58–1.60)	1.32 (0.75–2.30)	
Living alone	0.64 (0.36-0.82)	0.88 (0.67-1.16)	0.61 (0.39-0.84)	0.82 (0.74-0.93)	1.78 (0.48-6.67)	1.20 (0.30-4.85)	1.70 (0.42-6.89)	1.70 (0.38-7.51)	
Number of family members	0.94 (0.82–1.34)	1.48 (1.18–1.86)	0.97 (0.85–1.11)	1.10 (0.97–1.25)	1.07 (1.00–1.14)	1.04 (0.97–1.12)	1.02 (0.89–1.15)	1.01 (0.93–1.10)	
Chil- dren < 14 years old	1.78 (1.36–2.33)	1.14 (0.88–1.47)	1.54 (1.35–1.76)	1.22 (1.07–1.38)	1.03 (0.91–1.12)	1.01 (0.91–1.13)	1.03 (0.93–1.14)	1.03 (0.94–1.15)	
Occupation									
Professional	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	
Clerks	0.97 (0.84-1.63)	0.59 (0.43-0.80)	1.22 (0.93-1.45)	0.99 (0.83-1.17)	0.94 (0.71-1.26)	0.76 (0.56-0.98)	1.15 (0.89–1.50)	1.05 (0.81-1.38)	
Technical/ manual					0.80 (0.53–1.20)	0.65 (0.42–1.03)	1.40 (0.95–2.07)	1.40 (0.95–2.07)	
Not regular daytime work	1.18 (0.78–1.81)	2.05 (1.31–3.22)	1.70 (1.21–2.40)	1.75 (1.25–2.47)	1.19 (0.86–1.66)	3.25 (2.23–4.72)	1.22 (0.89–1.68)	1.41 (1.02–1.96)	
Job hours per day	1.07 (0.86–1.16)	1.37 (1.22–1.54)	1.07 (1.00–1.16)	1.22 (1.13–1.32)	1.07 (0.97–1.15)	1.19 (1.09–1.29)	1.18 (1.09–1.28)	1.16 (1.08–1.26)	
Time to reach work	0.92 (0.76–1.12)	1.08 (0.62–1.36)	0.90 (0.80–1.22)	1.10 (1.02–1.19)	1.18 (0.91–1.57)	1.18 (1.03–1.31)	0.94 (0.79–1.39)	1.17 (1.02–1.28)	
Working over- time/extra job	1.10 (0.99–1.75)	5.56 (2.89–8.70)	1.51 (1.17–1.96)	4.17 (3.08–5.65)	1.71 (1.24–2.36)	1.93 (1.39–2.70)	1.70 (1.34–2.15)	2.19 (1.73–2.78)	

 $The \ multivariable \ binominal \ logistic \ regression \ model \ simultaneously \ included \ all \ the \ variables \ in \ the \ table$

Logistic regression for the association between the conflicts and self-reported health in Table 4

In a model that included W_F_Cs, age, smoking, alcohol drinking, and physical activity and adjusted for education as a socioeconomic factor, we found dose–response positive associations between increasing total W_F_Cs and its two forms with poor self-reported

health status in Japanese and Egyptian civil workers (*p*-trend < 0.001). Adjusting for family-related factors in model 2 augmented the association between FWC and self-reported health among Japanese but not Egyptian women and men. The observed associations did not change materially after further controlling for the job-related factors in Model 3; the multivariable ORs (95% CIs) of poor health for the moderate and strong

Latief et al. BMC Public Health (2022) 22:1490

categories of FWC, in reference to the weak category, were 1.61~(1.16-2.24) and 4.08~(2.66-6.27) in Japanese women, 1.30~(0.96-1.76) and 2.57~(1.73-3.82) in Egyptian women, 2.38~(1.91-2.96) and 5.16~(3.73-7.15) in Japanese men, and 1.15~(0.68-1.94) and 2.77~(1.45-5.30) in Egyptian men. The respective ORs (95% CIs) for the moderate and strong categories of WFC, in reference to the weak category, were 2.20~(1.58-3.07) and 4.45~(3.47-8.54) in Japanese women, 1.61~(1.14-2.26) and 2.63~(1.79-3.88) in Egyptian women, 2.35~(1.88-2.95) and 8.45~(6.01-11.87) in Japanese men, and 2.33~(1.21-4.47) and 5.06~(2.52-10.17) in Egyptian men.

Older age was associated with poor self-reported health among Japanese men only after adjusting for family- and work-related factors. In reference to the age group \leq 30 years, the multivariable OR (95% CI) for poor self-rated health was 1.40 (1.00–1.96) for the age group 41–50 years and 1.48 (1.03–2.13) for the age group >50 years. However, no significant association between age and self-reported health was observed among Japanese women or Egyptian men and women. In general, we did not observe a significant association between smoking, alcohol drinking, or physical activity with self-rated health; however, Egyptian male current smokers tended to have poor self-rated health; the multivariable OR: 95%CI = 2.27:1.16-4.45 when compared to never smokers.

Discussion

In this large cross-cultural study, we compared the prevalence of W F Cs and its two forms (FWC and WFC), factors associated with each form of conflict, and the association of these conflicts with the poor self-rated health status among Japanese and Egyptian civil workers. A higher prevalence of the conflicts was evident in Egyptians than in Japanese (except for a higher prevalence of FWC in Japanese than in Egyptian women), while a higher prevalence of poor self-rated health was estimated for Japanese than Egyptian civil workers of both genders. Work environment, family size, and being single was associated with the conflicts in both countries. On the other hand, cohabitants in Japan and education in Egypt were associated with conflicts between work and family. For both genders in both countries, the odds for rating one's health status as poor was strongly related to the level of FWC, WFC, and total W_F_Cs. Even with longer working and commuting time for Japanese civil workers, their lower W_F_Cs score may imply a difference in family function's ideal or cultural expectation. On the other hand, the higher proportions of Egyptians than the Japanese counterparts selecting the highest conflict frequency option "often" may reflect actual differences in the conflict level or just represent cultural differences in choosing extreme choices.

Page 7 of 12

The prevalence and correlates of W_F_Cs

A study conducted among 1021 Egyptian community dwellers revealed that 46.7% had high WFC, and 50.8% had high FWC [12], based on a score greater than or equal to the median MIDUS score. Burke and El-Kot [19] found a higher mean W_F_Cs in 242 (146 males and 96 females) Egyptian managers than that in the US based on a different scale; i.e., Carlson et al. [30]. Kobayashi et al. reported the prevalence of high WFC and FWC among Japanese residents as 36.6% and 36.4% in men and 28.4% and 56.8% in women [8], based on the median MIDUS score. Based on an absolute cutoff point (11 points of MIDUS score), the prevalence of strong W_F_Cs estimated by Koura et al. was much higher; 54.0% in 1258 male and 72.5% in 550 female civil servants on the west coast of Japan [13]. In contrast, Shimazu et al. used a cutoff greater than or equal to the median of the Survey Work-home Interaction-NijmeGen (SWING) score [31] and reported that Japanese dual-earner couples with preschool children had lower prevalences of high WFC; 15.2% in males and 22.5% in females, and lower prevalences of high FWC; 21.2% in males and 16.3% in females [20]. The estimated prevalences in other working populations varied largely by culture, study design, and conflicts' diagnostic tool. Still, they were generally comparable to our estimated prevalence and indicative of the higher prevalence in women than men. Lallukka et al. used the highest quintile value of the MIDUS score as a cutoff for strong versus weak categories of W_F_Cs and estimated the prevalence of high W_F_Cs as 17% in British male civil employees, 18% in Finnish male civil employees, and 19% in Japanese male civil employees, the respected prevalence among female civil employees of the three countries was 22%, 19%, and 20% respectively [5]. The prevalence of high W_F_Cs was 18% and 24% among full-time employed European men and women, according to the European Working Conditions Survey 2015 [24]. Griep et al. used a different scale divided into never, sometimes, and frequent conflicts and reported a prevalence of 16.0% for the frequent WFC and 7.5% for the frequent FWC among Brazilian men and 25.0% and 6.8% among Brazilian women [32].

Byron suggested that work-related factors are more associated with WFC than FWC, while some family factors are associated more with FWC than WFC [3]. The work-related factors in our study were associated, in both countries, with the WFC in both genders. This result was consistent with previous studies that working longer, overtime, and shift work [17–19, 21], representing high job demands and low job control [33], were positively

Latief *et al. BMC Public Health* (2022) 22:1490 Page 8 of 12

Table 4 Odds ratios and (95%Cls) for poor self-rated health in Japanese and Egyptian civil workers according to gender-and country-specific categories of conflicts between work and family

	Japan				Egypt			
	Case/total	Model 1	Model 2	Model 3	Case/total	Model 1	Model 2	Model 3
Women	308/1596				273/1614			
Family-to-work co	onflict (FWC)							
Weak	116/746	1.00	1.00	1.00	95/690	1.00	1.00	1.00
Moderate	115/611	1.34 (0.99–2.81)	1.59 (1.15-2.20)	1.61 (1.16–2.24)	121/717	1.33 (0.99-1.79)	1.32 (0.97–1.77)	1.30 (0.96–1.76)
Strong	77/239	2.91 (2.02-4.21)	4.05 (2.65-6.18)	4.08 (2.66-6.27)	57/207	2.59 (1.77-3.79)	2.62 (1.77-3.86)	2.57 (1.73-3.82)
<i>p</i> -trend		< 0.0001	< 0.0001	< 0.0001		< 0.0001	< 0.0001	< 0.0001
Work-to-family co	nflict (WFC)							
Weak	67/562	1.00	1.00	1.00	70/557	1.00	1.00	1.00
Moderate	171/835	2.04 (1.49-2.80)	2.04 (1.48-2.81)	2.20 (1.58-3.07)	114/664	1.60 (1.15-2.23)	1.63 (1.17–2.27)	1.61 (1.14–2.26)
Strong	70/199	4.56 (3.05-6.84)	4.95 (3.27-7.49)	5.45 (3.47-8.54)	89/393	2.46 (1.71-3.54)	2.59 (1.79–3.75)	2.63 (1.79–3.88)
P-trend		< 0.0001	< 0.0001	< 0.0001		< 0.0001	< 0.0001	< 0.0001
Total work-famil	ly conflicts (W	/–F–Cs)						
Weak	122/859	1.00	1.00	1.00	101/752	1.00	1.00	1.00
Moderate	92/447	1.73 (1.26–2.37)	1.88 (1.35–2.61)	1.88 (1.34–2.62)	77/479		1.36 (0.98–1.90)	1.37 (0.98–1.92)
Strong	94/290	3.36 (2.39–4.73)	4.19 (2.89–6.08)	4.28 (2.91–6.30)	95/383		2.47 (1.78–3.44)	2.46 (1.75–3.47)
<i>p</i> -trend		< 0.0001	< 0.0001	< 0.0001		< 0.0001	< 0.0001	< 0.0001
Age								
< = 30 years	99/505	1.00	1.00	1.00	69/454	1.00	1.00	1.00
31–40 years	58/316	0.64 (0.43-0.94)	0.79 (0.51–1.20)	0.79 (0.49–1.16)	80/530	1.10 (0.77–1.58)	1.09 (0.72–1.63)	1.08 (0.71–1.65)
41–50 years	94/502	0.65 (0.46–0.92)	0.84 (0.57–1.26)	0.77 (0.51–1.16)	70/379		1.31 (0.84–2.05)	
> 50 years	57/273	0.89 (0.59–1.33)	0.98 (0.60–1.61)	0.91 (0.55–1.51)	54/251			1.51 (0.89–2.56)
<i>p</i> -trend		0.41	0.92	0.92		0.002	0.09	0.08
Smoking habit								
Never	288/1504	1.00	1.00	1.00	272/1604	1.00	1.00	1.00
Former	14/59	1.25 (0.66–2.38)	1.23 (0.63-2.39)	1.26 (0.63-2.52)	1/5	1.40 (0.15-12.8)	1.39 (0.15–13.0)	1.26 (0.13–12.2)
Current	6/33	0.99 (0.40-2.48)	0.92 (0.37-2.31)	1.02 (0.40-2.59)	0/5			
<i>p</i> -trend		0.67	0.60	0.60		0.49	0.49	0.47
Alcohol drinking	g habit							
Never	200/1065	1.00	1.00	1.00	NA	NA	NA	NA
Former	10/32	0.93 (0.71–1.23)	0.90 (0.68–1.20)	0.90 (0.68–1.21)	NA	NA	NA	NA
Current	98/499	1.69 (0.75–3.81)	2.02 (0.88–4.67)	2.06 (0.88–4.78)	NA	NA	NA	NA
<i>p</i> -trend		0.62	0.40	0.40		NA	NA	NA
Physical activity	in MET unit							
	44/258	1.00	1.00	1.00	60/361	1.00	1.00	1.00
Q2	53/325	1.02 (0.64–1.61)	1.00 (0.71-1.70)	1.01 (0.72-1.71)	58/340	1.12 (0.76–1.65)	1.10 (0.74–1.63)	1.06 (0.71–1.58)
Q3	44/286	0.92 (0.79–1.22)	0.90 (0.77–1.21)	0.90 (0.76–1.23)	43/237		0.99 (0.68–1.44)	
Q4	41/228	1.10 (0.82–1.54)	1.08 (0.78–1.45)	1.09 (0.78–1.44)	52/285		0.79 (0.55–1.14)	
<i>p</i> -trend		0.45	0.67	0.65		0.46	0.38	0.32
Men	563/3266				83/1497			
Family-to-work co								
Weak	232/1874	1.00	1.00	1.00	15/563	1.00	1.00	1.00
Moderate	242/1137	1.99 (1.63–2.44)	2.47 (1.99–3.07)	2.38 (1.91–2.96)	32/592		1.18 (0.71–1.98)	1.15 (0.68–1.94)
Strong	89/255	3.95 (2.93–5.34)	5.35 (3.88–7.47)	5.16 (3.73–7.15)	36/342	2.87 (1.55–5.33)		2.77 (1.45–5.30)
<i>p</i> -trend		< 0.0001	< 0.0001	< 0.0001		< 0.0001	< 0.0001	< 0.0001
Work-to-family co	onflict (WFC)							
Weak	143/1428	1.00	1.00	1.00	36/773	1.00	1.00	1.00
Moderate	310/1593	2.22 (1.79–2.75)	2.48 (1.99–3.09)	2.35 (1.88–2.95)	30/577		2.32 (1.22–4.42)	

Latief et al. BMC Public Health (2022) 22:1490 Page 9 of 12

Table 4 (continued)

	Japan				Egypt			
	Case/total	Model 1	Model 2	Model 3	Case/total	Model 1	Model 2	Model 3
Strong	110/245	7.51 (5.51– 10.24)	9.05 (6.56– 12.49)	8.45 (6.01– 11.87)	17/147	5.01 (2.63–9.54)	5.37 (2.78–9.40)	5.06 (2.52–10.17)
P-trend		< 0.0001	< 0.0001	< 0.0001		< 0.0001	< 0.0001	0.009
Total work-famil	y conflicts (V	/–F–Cs)						
Weak	252/2103	1.00	1.00	1.00	25/787	1.00	1.00	1.00
Moderate	179/821	2.12 (1.71–2.63)	2.51 (2.00-3.14)	2.35 (1.87-2.95)	32/424	2.80 (1.62-4.84)	2.84 (1.63-4.95)	2.79 (1.58-4.92)
Strong	132/342	4.85 (3.73-6.29)	6.36 (4.81-8.41)	6.01 (4.50-8.01)	26/286	3.26 (1.82-5.84)	3.47 (1.92-6.29)	3.11 (1.67-5.80)
P-trend		< 0.0001	< 0.0001	< 0.0001		< 0.0001	< 0.0001	< 0.0001
Age								
< = 30 years	94/559	1.00	1.00	1.00	12/202	1.00	1.00	1.00
31–40 years	90/512	0.72 (0.52-1.01)	1.08 (0.75-1.55)	1.07 (0.75-1.54)	18/448	0.66 (0.31-1.42)	1.09 (0.42-2.78)	1.03 (0.39-2.67)
41–50 years	193/1081	0.83 (0.62-1.10)	1.40 (1.00-1.94)	1.40 (1.00-1.96)	29/387	1.45 (0.70-2.98)	2.40 (0.91-6.37)	2.47 (0.92-6.64)
>50 years	186/1114	0.88 (0.66-1.18)	1.39 (0.98-1.98)	1.48 (1.03-2.13)	24/460	1.01 (0.47-2.15)	1.51 (0.53-4.25)	1.47 (0.51-4.23)
<i>p</i> -trend		0.58	0.009	0.009		0.44	0.43	0.45
Smoking habit								
Never	364/2108	1.00	1.00	1.00	46/916	1.00	1.00	1.00
Former	126/752	1.00 (0.79-1.26)	1.09 (0.85-1.38)	1.09 (0.86-1.40)	23/443	1.08 (0.47-1.83)	1.02 (0.60-1.75)	1.02 (0.59-1.75)
Current	73/406	1.09 (0.81-1.45)	1.10 (0.82-1.48)	1.13 (0.84–1.52)	14/138	2.22 (1.15-4.29)	2.24 (1.15-4.36)	2.27 (1.16-4.45)
<i>p</i> -trend		0.63	0.43	0.56		0.07	0.09	0.08
Alcohol drinking	g habit							
Never	450/2712	1.00	1.00	1.00	NA	NA	NA	NA
Former	14/52	0.82 (0.64-1.80)	0.85 (0.66-1.10)	0.84 (0.65-1.09)	NA	NA	NA	NA
Current	99/502	1.50 (0.76-2.95)	1.55 (0.78-3.09)	1.60 (0.80-3.20)	NA	NA	NA	NA
<i>p</i> -trend		0.52	0.65	0.65		NA	NA	NA
Physical activity	in MET unit	s						
Q1	76/500	1.00	1.00	1.00	16/276	1.00	1.00	1.00
Q2	88/627	0.99 (0.76-1.28)	0.98 (0.75-1.28)	0.98 (0.75-1.28)	13/280	1.20 (0.61-2.35)	1.23 (0.63-2.42)	1.21 (0.61–2.40)
Q3	96/572	1.20 (0.90-1.58)	1.20 (0.90-1.59)	1.18 (0.89–1.58)	14/210	0.79 (0.43-1.48)	0.77 (0.41-1.45)	0.76 (0.40-1.45)
Q4	82/419	0.92 (0.70-1.20)	0.91 (0.70-1.20)	0.90 (0.61-1.39)	16/345	0.90 (0.50-1.63)	0.94 (0.51-1.63)	0.95 (0.51-1.75)
<i>p</i> -trend		0.17	0.20	0.20		0.67	0.73	0.71

Model 1: Adjusted for education

Model 2: Adjusted further for family factors (marital status, family structure, number of family members and the presence of family members below 14 years old) Model 3: Adjusted further for work factors (occupation, job hours per day, time to commute to work, shift work, working overtime or extra job)

associated with WFC. Workload could negatively affect workers who struggle to balance work demands and family roles.

On the other side, the family structure affected the Japanese civil workers' perception of FWC. The results match previous findings in Japan [17, 18, 21] and Europe [34, 35]. Living with children adds significantly to FWC, and the effect is more substantial when the children are in the youngest age group. Similar to our results, *Fujimura* et al. reported an increased odd of high FWC among Japanese civil servants living with children; OR=1.65, 95%CI: 1.33–2.05, and a decreased odd of FWC among those living with parents;

OR = 0.86, 95%CI: 0.71–1.04 [21]. The null association between cohabitants and W_F_Cs in the Egyptian civil workers might be attributed to the high proportion of Egyptians living in multigeneration families in the same household; thus, the load of children in the family could be compensated by the presence of grandparents [36]. An interesting finding was that living with other family members was inversely associated with the Japanese women's but positively associated with the Egyptian women's FWC. As polygyny is allowed in Egypt and was estimated at 4% among Egyptian men [37], it paved the way for jealousy and conflict when other family members in Egyptian women's lives include

Latief et al. BMC Public Health (2022) 22:1490 Page 10 of 12

co-wife, stepchildren, and co-sister-in-law [38], and might explain the positive association with the FWC. Unfortunately, the Egyptian survey did not capture the percentage of families having more than one wife.

Findings among Egyptian civil workers agreed with those from other working populations, where *Griep* et al. in Brazil [32] and *Carnicer* et al. in Spain [34] found higher education related to higher WFC. Highly educated subjects are expected to attain higher rank jobs with greater job demands, competitive edge, and expectations. The majority of civil workers in the Japanese cohort were educated to high levels (only 5.8% were junior high or high school graduates); this might explain why education did not show such association among the Japanese civil workers.

The reported self-rated health status and its associations with W_F_Cs in both countries

Similar to our findings, the previous studies indicated a higher prevalence of poor self-rated health in Japanese; 13.9% in men and 17.7% in women [8], 35.2% in men and 36.0% in women [13] than Egyptians; 16.9% [12].

Despite the observed gender difference in the prevalence of W_F_Cs and poor self-rated health, our results are in line with the previous research showing associations of W_F_Cs and its two forms, WFC and FWC, with poor self-rated health of both genders in Japan [8, 13, 23], Egypt [12], and other populations [4, 22, 24, 32]. Increased inter-role conflicts between work and family domains can reduce the time for sleep [28] or leisure activities, thus increasing psychological stress [9] and affecting physical [3] and mental [11, 14, 15] health conditions.

Strengths and limitations

The present study has several strengths and limitations. Due to the relatively large sample of recruited Egyptian and Japanese civil workers, there was no problem with the statistical power for the gender- and country-specific analyses in the current study. Also, the participants were working in a wide range of public occupational sectors rather than one-company samples. In addition, we did not assess the targeted variable (W_F_Cs) via a simple question; on the contrary, we used an expanded validated questionnaire that was widely used internationally and in both populations. Regarding the limitations, the cross-sectional design, convenience sampling, and selfreported data could have introduced some selection and misclassification bias. Thus, the causal inference and the generalizability of the results to the whole working population; nevertheless, those in the private enterprise are not possible.

Conclusions

The prevalence and the factors related to W_F_Cs and self-rated health varied by gender among the Japanese and Egyptian civil workers. However, the robust associations between W_F_Cs and poor self-rated health were evident for male and female civil workers in both countries. Our findings suggest that governmental measures are required to improve the working environment of Japanese and Egyptian civil workers to prevent work-family role conflicts from increasing their risk of poor health. Interventions that focus on the family-related factors among Japanese civil workers are recommended. In contrast, more flexible work arrangements should be made available to the highly-educated Egyptian civil workers.

Supplementary Information

The online version contains supplementary material available at https://doi.org/10.1186/s12889-022-13924-0.

Additional file 1.

Acknowledgements

We thank the Japan Society for the Promotion of Science (JSPS) for providing the research grant for this comparative study. We sincerely thank the participants, the Aichi prefecture local government office's healthcare personnel, the Public Health Department, Faculty of Medicine, Minia University staff, specifically Drs. Maggi M. Ayad, Shaimaa M Ahmed, Nehal R Raouf, Amany M Reda, Chrestina M Fekry, Shaza F Mohamed, Sara M Sayed, Asmaa M Taha, Yasmine N Gaballah, Hager A Zaki. We also thank our colleagues from Osaka University Center of Medical Data Science, Advanced Clinical Epidemiology Investigator's Research Project, for providing their insight and expertise for our research.

Authors' contribution

For the Egyptian survey, AOK, EES, MEM, IH, YH, MES, GER, BS, ESA, and HEE participated in data curation, investigation, and acquisition. For the Japanese data, YH participated in data curation, investigation, and acquisition. EES, IH, YH, and BS participated in fund acquisition and research design. EES analyzed the data. EES, AOK, and HEE drafted the manuscript. MEM, IH, YH, MES, GER, BS, ESA, and EAS provided a critical review of the research article. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Funding

This study was supported by the Japan Society for Promotion of Science (JSPS) fund, grant-in-aid for basic research C general no 19K10621 (2019–2022) to ESE and 18H03057 to HY, Health and Labor Sciences research grants for Comprehensive Research on Cardiovascular and Life-Style Related Diseases (H29-Junkankitou [Seishuu]-Ippan-003) from the Ministry of Health, Labor and Welfare to HY.

Availability of data and materials

Data are available upon reasonable request to the corresponding author.

Declarations

Ethics approval and consent to participate

According to the declaration of Helsinki, the ethical review board at Osaka University has also approved this cross-cultural study (approval no 19501). All participants provided written informed consent.

Consent for publication

Not applicable

Latief et al. BMC Public Health (2022) 22:1490 Page 11 of 12

Competing interests

None for all authors.

Author details

¹Public Health Department, Faculty of Medicine, Minia University, El-Minia, Egypt. ²Public Health, Department of Social Medicine, Graduate School of Medicine, Osaka University, Osaka, Japan. ³Department of Public Health and Health System, Graduate School of Medicine, Nagoya University, Nagoya, Japan. ⁴Bioethics and Public Policy, Department of Social Medicine, Osaka University Graduate School of Medicine, Osaka, Japan.

Received: 4 October 2021 Accepted: 29 July 2022 Published online: 05 August 2022

References

- Greenhaus JH, Beutell NJ. Sources of conflict between work and family roles. Acad Manag Rev. 1985;10:76–88. https://doi.org/10.2307/258214.
- Scott LB, Carl PM, Donald CM, Jon CC. The impact of work/family demand on work-family conflict. J Manag Psychol. 2008;23(3):215–35. https://doi. org/10.1108/02683940810861356.
- Bryon K. A meta-analytic review of work-family conflict and its antecedents. J Vocat Behav. 2005;67(2):169–98. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jvb.2004.08.009.
- van Hooff ML, Geurts SA, Taris TW, Kompier MA, Dikkers JS, Houtman IL, van den Heuvel FM. Disentangling the causal relationships between work-home interference and employee health. Scand J Work Environ Health. 2005;31(1):15–29. https://doi.org/10.5271/siweh.844.
- Lallukka T, Chandola T, Roos E, Cable N, Sekine M, Kagamimori S, Tatsuse T, Marmot M, Lahelma E. Work-family conflicts and health behaviors among British, Finnish, and Japanese employees. Int J Behav Med. 2010;17(2):134–42. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12529-009-9050-8.
- Kahn RL, Wolfe DM, Quinn RP, Snoek JD, Rosenthal RA. Organizational stress: Studies in role conflict and ambiguity. NewYork: John Wiley; 1964.
- Malik MS, Awan AG, Ul-Ain Q. Role of work family conflict on organizational commitment and organizational effectiveness. Arab J Bus Manag Rev. 2015;3(1):115–26.
- Kobayashi T, Honjo K, Eshak ES, Iso H, Sawada N, Tsugane S. Japan Public Health Center-based Prospective Study for the Next Generation (JPHC-NEXT) Study Group. Work-family conflict and self-rated health among Japanese workers: How household income modifies associations. PLoS One. 2017;12(2):e0169903. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0169903.
- Eshak ES. Mental health disorders and their relationship with workfamily conflict in Upper Egypt. J Fam Econ Issues. 2019;40(4):623–32. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10834-019-09633-3.
- Scott LB, Carl PM, Allison WP. The effects of work–family conflict and family–work conflict on nonattendance behaviors. J Bus Res. 2005;58(7):919–25.
- Abdelrehim MG, Eshak ES, Kamal NN. The mediating role of work-family conflict in the association between work ability and depression among Egyptian civil workers. J Public Health (Oxf). 2022 [in Press].
- Eshak ES, Kamal NN, Seedhom AE, Kamal NN. Work-family conflict and self-rated health among dwellers in Minia, Egypt: Financial strain vs social support. Public Health. 2018;157:69–76. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.puhe. 2018.01.016.
- Koura U, Sekine M, Yamada M, Tatsuse T. The health effects of workfamily conflict in men and women Japanese civil servants: a longitudinal study. Ind Health. 2020;58(5):423–32. https://doi.org/10.2486/indhealth. 2019-0189
- Eshak ES, Baba S, Yatsuya H, Iso H, Hirakawa Y, Mahfouz EM, Chifa C, Sakaniwa R, El-Khateeb AS. Work and family conflicts, depression, and "Ikigai": A mediation analysis in a cross-cultural study between Japanese and Egyptian civil workers. J Epidemiol. 2022. https://doi.org/10.2188/jeaJE20210338.
- Eshak ES, Abdelrahman TA. Depression in public servants of Upper Egypt: Gender-specific prevalence and determining factors. J Prim Prev. 2022;10:1–16. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10935-022-00690-3.
- de Bruin A, Picavet HS, Nossikov A. Health interview surveys. Towards international harmonization of methods and instruments. WHO Reg Publ Eur Ser. 1996;58:i-xiii, 1–161. https://apps.who.int/iris/handle/10665/ 107328.

- Watai I, Nishikido N, Murashima S. Gender difference in work-family conflict among Japanese information technology engineers with preschool children. J Occup Health. 2008;50(4):317–27. https://doi.org/10.1539/joh.I7124.
- Kato M, Yamazaki Y. An examination of factors related to work-to-family conflict among employed men and women in Japan. J Occup Health. 2009;51(4):303–13. https://doi.org/10.1539/joh.l8099.
- 19. Burke R, El-Kot G. Correlates of work-family conflicts among managers in Egypt. Int J Islam Middle East Financ Manag. 2010;3(2):113–31.
- Shimazu A, Kubota K, Bakker A, Demerouti E, Shimada K, Kawakami N. Work-to-family conflict and family-to-work conflict among Japanese dual-earner couples with preschool children: a spillover-crossover perspective. J Occup Health. 2013;55(4):234–43. https://doi.org/10.1539/joh. 12-0252-oa.
- 21. Fujimura Y, Sekine M, Tatsuse T. Sex differences in factors contributing to family-to-work and work-to-family conflict in Japanese civil servants. J Occup Health. 2014;56(6):485–97. https://doi.org/10.1539/joh. 14-0045-OA.
- Winter T, Roos E, Rahkonen O, Martikainen P, Lahelma E. Work-family conflicts and self-rated health among middle-aged municipal employees in Finland. Int J Behav Med. 2006;13(4):276–85. https://doi.org/10.1207/ s15327558ijbm1304 2.
- Sekine M, Chandola T, Martikainen P, Marmot M, Kagamimori S. Sex differences in physical and mental functioning of Japanese civil servants: explanations from work and family characteristics. Soc Sci Med. 2010;71(12):2091–9. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.socscimed.2010.09.031.
- Borgmann LS, Kroll LE, Müters S, Rattay P, Lampert T. Work-family conflict, self-reported general health and work-family reconciliation policies in Europe: Results from the European Working Conditions Survey 2015. SSM Popul Health. 2019;9: 100465. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ssmph.2019.100465.
- Li Y, Yatsuya H, Hanibuchi T, Hirakawa Y, Ota A, Uemura M, Chiang C, Otsuka R, Murata C, Tamakoshi K, Toyoshima H, Aoyama A. The association between objective measures of residence and worksite neighborhood environment, and self-reported leisure-time physical activities: The Aichi Workers' Cohort Study. Prev Med Rep. 2018;11:282–9. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pmedr.2018.07.007.
- Eshak ES, Elkhateeb AS, Abdellatif OK, Hassan EE, Mohamed ES, Ghazawy ER, Emam SA, Mahfouz EM. Antecedents of work-family conflict among Egyptian civil workers. Z Gesundh Wiss. 2021:1–10. https://doi.org/10. 1007/s10389-021-01641-8.
- Grzywacz JG, Marks NF. Reconceptualizing the work-family interface: an ecological perspective on the correlates of positive and negative spillover between work and family. J Occup Health Psychol. 2000;5(1):111–26. https://doi.org/10.1037//1076-8998.5.1.111.
- Eshak ES. Work-to-family conflict rather than family-to-work conflict is more strongly associated with sleep disorders in Upper Egypt. Ind Health. 2019;57(3):351–8. https://doi.org/10.2486/indhealth.2018-0091.
- Lallukka T, Rahkonen O, Lahelma E, Arber S. Sleep complaints in middleaged women and men: the contribution of working conditions and work-family conflicts. J Sleep Res. 2010;19(3):466–77. https://doi.org/10. 1111/j.1365-2869.2010.00821.x.
- Carlson DR, Kacmar J, Williams L. Construction and initial validation of multidimensional measure of work-family conflict. J Vocat Behav. 2000;56:249–78. https://doi.org/10.1006/jvbe.1999.1713.
- Geurts SAE, Taris TW, Kompier MAJ, Dikkers JSE, Van Hooff MLM, Kinnunen UM. Work-home interaction from a work psychological perspective: development and validation of a new questionnaire, the SWING. Work Stress. 2005;19:319–39. https://doi.org/10.1080/02678370500410208.
- 32. Griep RH, Toivanen S, van Diepen C, Guimarães JMN, Camelo LV, Juvanhol LL, Aquino EM, Chor D. Work-Family Conflict and Self-Rated Health: the Role of Gender and Educational Level. Baseline Data from the Brazilian Longitudinal Study of Adult Health (ELSA-Brasil). Int J Behav Med. 2016;23(3):372–82. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12529-015-9523-x.
- Bulter AB, Grzywacz JG, Bass BL, Linney KD. Extending the demandscontrol model: A daily studyof job characteristics, work-family conflict and work-family facilitation. J Occup Organi Pshychol. 2005;78:155–69. https://doi.org/10.1348/096317905X40097.
- Carnicer MPdL, Sánchez AM, Pérez MP, Jiménez MJV. Work-family conflict in a southern European country: The influence of job-related and nonrelated factors. J Manag Psychol. 2004;19(5):466–48. https://doi.org/10. 1108/02683940410543579.

Latief et al. BMC Public Health (2022) 22:1490 Page 12 of 12

- 35. Remery C, Schippers J. Work-Family Conflict in the European Union: The Impact of Organizational and Public Facilities. Int J Environ Res Public Health. 2019;16(22):4419. https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph16224419.
- Okasha T, Elkholy H, El-Gamry R. Overview of the family structure in Egypt and its relation to psychiatry. International Review Psychiatry. 2012;24(2):162–5. https://doi.org/10.3109/09540261.2012.658030.
- Annual statistical book of 2019. Central Agency for Public Mobilization and Statistics (CAPMAS). http://www.t-series.capmas.gov.eg/pdf/book_ year/YearBook_1910.pdf.
- 38. Essien DE. The interplay between conflict and solidarity in co-wife family relationship: Experience from Africa. Int J Dev Sci. 2018;7(4):1544–2163.

Publisher's Note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Ready to submit your research? Choose BMC and benefit from:

- fast, convenient online submission
- $\bullet\,$ thorough peer review by experienced researchers in your field
- rapid publication on acceptance
- support for research data, including large and complex data types
- gold Open Access which fosters wider collaboration and increased citations
- maximum visibility for your research: over 100M website views per year

At BMC, research is always in progress.

Learn more biomedcentral.com/submissions

