

RESEARCH

Open Access



Metabolically healthy obesity and unhealthy normal weight rural adults in Xinjiang: prevalence and the associated factors

Wen-qiang Wang^{1†}, Bin Wei^{2†}, Yan-peng Song², Heng Guo¹, Xiang-hui Zhang¹, Xin-ping Wang¹, Yi-zhong Yan¹, Jiao-long Ma¹, Kui Wang¹, Mulatibieke Keerman¹, Jing-yu Zhang¹, Ru-lin Ma¹, Shu-xia Guo^{1,3*} and Jia He^{1,3*}

Abstract

Background: This study aimed to describe the prevalence of metabolically healthy obesity (MHO) and metabolically unhealthy normal weight (MUNW) rural adults in Xinjiang and to explore their influencing factors.

Methods: We selected 13,525 Uyghur, Kazakh and Han participants in Kashi, Yili and Shihezi areas in Xinjiang from 2009 to 2010. Weight status was classified according to body mass index. Metabolic phenotype was further defined based on the National Cholesterol Education Program Adult Treatment Panel III criteria.

Results: The prevalence of normal weight, overweight, and obesity were 51.6, 30.2, and 14.4%, respectively. The mean age of the population was 45.04 years. The prevalence of MHO was 5.5% overall and was 38.5% among obese participants. The prevalence of MUNW was 15.5% overall and was 30.1% among normal weight participants. A metabolically healthy phenotype among obese individuals was positively associated with females and vegetable consumption ≥ 4 plates per week. However, this was inversely associated with higher age, red meat consumption ≥ 2 kg per week, and larger waist circumference (WC). Conversely, a metabolically unhealthy phenotype among normal-weight individuals was positively associated with higher age, red meat consumption ≥ 2 kg per week, and larger WC; this was however inversely associated with vegetable consumption ≥ 4 plates per week.

Conclusions: The prevalence of MHO among obese adults in Xinjiang is higher than that of Han adults, while the prevalence of MUNW among normal weight adults is lower than that among Han adults. In obese and normal weight participants, higher age, more red meat consumption, and larger WC increase the risk of metabolic abnormality, and more vegetable consumption reduces the risk of metabolic abnormality.

Keywords: Metabolic status, Obesity, Normal weight, Metabolically healthy obesity, Metabolically unhealthy normal weight, Prevalence, Associated factors

Background

Since the 1970s, the prevalence of obesity has risen rapidly, causing great harm to society and people's health. This has become a major public health problem worldwide [1]. In China, from 1980 to 2015, the prevalence of obesity among adults increased significantly, that is, from 1.23 to 10.53%. In 2015, China and India had the

* Correspondence: gsxshzu@sina.com; hejia123.shihezi@163.com

[†]Wen-qiang Wang and Bin Wei contributed equally to this work.

¹Department of Public Health, Shihezi University School of Medicine, North 2th Road, Shihezi 832000, Xinjiang, China

Full list of author information is available at the end of the article



© The Author(s). 2021 **Open Access** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>. The Creative Commons Public Domain Dedication waiver (<http://creativecommons.org/publicdomain/zero/1.0/>) applies to the data made available in this article, unless otherwise stated in a credit line to the data.

highest numbers of obese children, whereas the United States and China had the highest numbers of obese adults [2]. Obesity is usually accompanied by a series of metabolic abnormalities, including insulin resistance (IR), triglyceride (TG) elevation, high-density lipoprotein cholesterol (HDL-C) reduction, and metabolic syndrome (MS); these are important risk factors for type 2 diabetes and cardiovascular disease [3, 4]. However, not all obese individuals show metabolic abnormalities. Some obese individuals are healthy in terms of blood pressure, blood sugar, and blood lipid levels. This type of obesity is called metabolically healthy obesity (MHO) [5]. A previous study showed that mortality due to cardiovascular disease and all-cause mortality in the MHO population was lower than that in individuals with metabolically unhealthy obesity (MUO) [6].

Conversely, some participants with normal weight have a variety of metabolic disorders [7]. These individuals are defined as the metabolically unhealthy normal weight (MUNW) phenotype [8]. Hinnouho et al. found that the risks of the cardiovascular disease and type 2 diabetes among the MUNW population were 2.04 times and 3.12 times that of the metabolically healthy normal weight (MHNW) population, respectively [9]. Previous studies show that weight control and medication can reduce adverse outcomes among the MUNW population [10, 11]. However, normal weight will always cover up their need for timely intervention, so that they face a higher risk of disease, so early identification of metabolically unhealthy normal weight population becomes particularly important [12].

Once the MHO and MUNW phenotypes were proposed, they gained a lot of attention from scholars in many countries. According to previous studies, the prevalence of MHO and MUNW ranged from 3.9 to 8.9% [13–15], and 4.3 to 17.3% respectively [16, 17]. This difference may be due to the age, gender, race, and geographic location of the participants. Additionally, many studies showed that the factors (e.g. age, sex and ethnicity) associated with MHO and MUNW were not similar [14, 15, 17, 18]. Xinjiang is located in Northwest China. The population of this area is multi-ethnic, mainly consisting of the Uyghur, Kazakh, and Han ethnic groups. The prevalence of obesity, especially the prevalence of abdominal obesity among Uyghurs (54%) and Kazakhs (60%) in rural Xinjiang were higher than those in the Chinese Han population (37.4%) [19]. However, currently, there are only a few reports on large-scale sample surveys of MHO and MUNW in Xinjiang. Therefore, this study aimed to analyze the influencing factors of MHO and MUNW among rural adults in Xinjiang, which was pertinent for the precise intervention for

obesity and the early prevention of related diseases in this region.

Materials and methods

Study population

This study was conducted in Xinjiang from 2009 to 2010. A multi-stage (prefecture-county-township-village) stratified cluster random sampling method was used to select the participants. First, according to the region, ethnic distribution, and economic development, we selected three representative regions namely Kashi, Yili, and Shihezi. We then randomly selected one county in each prefecture and one township in each county. That is, we used a stratified sampling method to select the corresponding villages in each township and further investigated the residents of the selected villages. We chose individuals who met the following inclusion criteria: (1) Uyghur, Kazakh or Han residents age ≥ 18 years and living in the local area for at least 6 months (2) were free of no serious illness, and (3) willingness to cooperate in completing the investigation. Additionally, we excluded pregnant women ($n = 135$). Consequently, this study included 13,525 participants who completed the questionnaire surveys, physical examinations, and biochemical tests. The overall response rate was 89.6%. All the participants signed an informed consent form, and all the experiment protocol for involving human data was in accordance to Declaration of Helsinki.

Anthropometric and biochemical measurement

The measurements, which included height, weight, waist circumference (WC), systolic blood pressure (SBP), and diastolic blood pressure (DBP), was conducted by uniformly trained investigators. A height and weight scale was used to measure height and weight after the participants took their shoes, hats, and heavy clothing off. The BMI was calculated as weight (kg) divided by the square of height (m). The WC was measured using an inelastic tape at the mid-point between the iliac crest and the lowest rib. The participants sat and rested for at least 5 min after which they had their SBP and DBP measured using a mercury sphygmomanometer. Each measurement required an interval of 30 s; the average value was obtained after three measurements. After 10 h of fasting, whole blood samples were collected from each participant. Fasting plasma glucose (FPG), TG, and HDL-C were tested using an automatic biochemical analyzer (Olympus AU 2700; Olympus Diagnostics, Hamburg, Germany) at the Laboratory Department of the First Affiliated Hospital of Shihezi University School of Medicine.

Table 1 Characteristics of the participants according to weight status and metabolic phenotype

| Characteristics | Normal weight | | p Value | Overweight | | p Value | Obese | | p Value |
|--------------------------|-----------------------|-------------------------|---------|-----------------------|-------------------------|---------|-----------------------|-------------------------|---------|
| | Metabolically healthy | Metabolically unhealthy | | Metabolically healthy | Metabolically unhealthy | | Metabolically healthy | Metabolically unhealthy | |
| n (prevalence%) | 4874 (36.0) | 2099 (15.5) | — | 2235 (16.5) | 1843 (13.6) | — | 749 (5.5) | 1196 (8.8) | — |
| Age (years) mean ± SD | 40.83 ± 14.75 | 47.45 ± 15.60 | < 0.001 | 45.56 ± 13.03 | 49.27 ± 12.93 | < 0.001 | 47.36 ± 12.46 | 50.97 ± 11.79 | < 0.001 |
| Gender n (%) | | | 0.938 | | | 0.182 | | | 0.036 |
| Male | 2183 (44.8) | 938 (44.7) | | 1030 (46.1) | 888 (48.2) | | 289 (38.6) | 519 (43.4) | |
| Female | 2691 (55.2) | 1161 (55.3) | | 1205 (53.9) | 955 (51.8) | | 460 (61.4) | 677 (56.6) | |
| Ethnicity n (%) | | | 0.919 | | | 0.172 | | | 0.240 |
| Kazakh | 2376 (48.7) | 1019 (48.5) | | 1054 (47.2) | 880 (47.7) | | 447 (59.7) | 757 (63.3) | |
| Uyghur | 1488 (30.5) | 636 (30.3) | | 440 (19.7) | 322 (17.5) | | 100 (13.4) | 137 (11.5) | |
| Han | 1010 (20.7) | 444 (21.2) | | 741 (33.2) | 641 (34.8) | | 202 (27.0) | 302 (25.3) | |
| Educational level n (%) | | | < 0.001 | | | < 0.001 | | | 0.346 |
| Illiteracy | 797 (16.4) | 447 (21.3) | | 425 (19.0) | 431 (23.4) | | 127 (17.0) | 228 (19.1) | |
| Primary school | 1993 (40.9) | 919 (43.8) | | 968 (43.3) | 828 (44.9) | | 353 (47.1) | 528 (44.1) | |
| ≥ Junior high school | 2084 (42.8) | 733 (34.9) | | 842 (37.7) | 584 (31.7) | | 269 (35.9) | 440 (36.8) | |
| Smoking n (%) | | | 0.305 | | | 0.599 | | | 0.812 |
| No | 3825 (78.5) | 1624 (77.4) | | 1726 (77.2) | 1436 (77.9) | | 584 (78.0) | 938 (78.4) | |
| Yes | 1049 (21.5) | 475 (22.6) | | 509 (22.8) | 407 (22.1) | | 165 (22.0) | 258 (21.6) | |
| Drinking n (%) | | | 0.929 | | | 0.176 | | | 0.744 |
| No | 4355 (89.4) | 1877 (89.4) | | 1906 (85.3) | 1599 (86.8) | | 636 (84.9) | 1009 (84.4) | |
| Yes | 519 (10.6) | 222 (10.6) | | 329 (14.7) | 244 (13.2) | | 113 (15.1) | 187 (15.6) | |
| Fresh milk n (%) | | | 0.047 | | | 0.024 | | | 0.464 |
| no or < 0.5 L per week | 3953 (81.1) | 1756 (83.7) | | 1710 (76.5) | 1476 (80.1) | | 558 (74.5) | 899 (75.2) | |
| 0.5 L–1.5 L per week | 526 (10.8) | 188 (9.0) | | 290 (13.0) | 212 (11.5) | | 97 (13.0) | 167 (14.0) | |
| ≥ 1.5 L per week | 395 (8.1) | 155 (7.4) | | 235 (10.5) | 155 (8.4) | | 94 (12.6) | 130 (10.9) | |
| Egg n (%) | | | 0.032 | | | 0.081 | | | 0.427 |
| no or < 1 per week | 2342 (48.1) | 1091 (52.0) | | 1110 (49.7) | 982 (53.3) | | 433 (57.8) | 723 (60.5) | |
| 1–3 per week | 1708 (35.0) | 694 (33.1) | | 735 (32.9) | 584 (31.7) | | 216 (28.8) | 322 (26.9) | |
| ≥ 4 per week | 824 (16.9) | 314 (15.0) | | 390 (17.4) | 277 (15.0) | | 100 (13.4) | 151 (12.6) | |
| Fresh meat n (%) | | | 0.019 | | | 0.087 | | | < 0.001 |
| no or < 1 kg per week | 1093 (22.4) | 463 (22.1) | | 417 (18.7) | 315 (17.1) | | 128 (17.1) | 156 (13.0) | |
| 1–2 kg per week | 1601 (32.8) | 626 (29.8) | | 643 (28.8) | 493 (26.7) | | 210 (28.0) | 275 (23.0) | |
| ≥ 2 kg per week | 2180 (44.7) | 1010 (48.1) | | 1175 (52.6) | 1035 (56.2) | | 411 (54.9) | 765 (64.0) | |
| Vegetables n (%) | | | < 0.001 | | | 0.002 | | | 0.080 |
| no or < 1 plate per week | 340 (7.0) | 199 (9.5) | | 120 (5.4) | 107 (5.8) | | 30 (4.0) | 70 (5.9) | |
| 1–3 plates per week | 2358 (48.4) | 1060 (50.5) | | 866 (38.7) | 815 (44.2) | | 284 (37.9) | 482 (40.3) | |
| ≥ 4 plates per week | 2176 (44.6) | 840 (40.0) | | 1249 (55.9) | 921 (50.0) | | 435 (58.1) | 644 (53.8) | |
| Fruits n (%) | | | 0.007 | | | 0.009 | | | 0.193 |
| no or < 1 plate per week | 978 (20.1) | 492 (23.4) | | 456 (20.4) | 442 (24.0) | | 169 (22.6) | 315 (26.3) | |
| 1–3 plates per week | 2571 (52.7) | 1055 (50.3) | | 1066 (47.7) | 877 (47.6) | | 363 (48.5) | 549 (45.9) | |
| ≥ 4 plates per week | 1325 (27.2) | 552 (26.3) | | 713 (31.9) | 524 (28.4) | | 217 (29.0) | 332 (27.8) | |
| WC (cm) mean ± SD | 79.22 ± 7.70 | 81.69 ± 8.37 | < 0.001 | 87.55 ± 7.75 | 89.69 ± 7.93 | < 0.001 | 97.52 ± 9.54 | 100.56 ± 10.26 | < 0.001 |

WC waist circumference, SD standard deviation

Questionnaire survey

Each participant was interviewed face-to-face. The questionnaires included general demographic data (age, gender, ethnicity, and educational level), behavior and lifestyle (smoking, drinking), and eating habits (fresh milk, eggs, fresh meat, vegetables, and fruits). Smoking was defined as current smoking with more than 100 smoked cigarettes before the time of the interview [20]. Drinking was defined as drinking alcoholic beverages (beer, red wine, and white wine) at least twice a month and continuing to drink actively [21]. A semi-quantitative food frequency method was used

to investigate the dietary intake. The intake of fresh milk, meat, eggs, and fruits and vegetables was measured in liters, kilograms, numbers, and a plate with a diameter of 16 cm, respectively.

Outcome and exposure definitions

According to the regulations of the Working Group on Obesity in China [22], the participants were defined as underweight (BMI < 18.5 kg/m²), normal weight (BMI 18.5–23.9 kg/m²), overweight (BMI 24.0–27.9 kg/m²), and obese (BMI ≥ 28.0 kg/m²). Abdominal obesity was defined as WC ≥ 85 cm and WC ≥ 80 cm among men and

Table 2 Prevalence of metabolically healthy in obese subjects stratified by age and gender

| | All subjects | Male | Female | p Value ^a |
|----------------------|--------------|------------|------------|----------------------|
| Overall n (%) | 749 (38.5) | 289 (35.8) | 460 (40.5) | 0.036 |
| Age group n (%) | | | | |
| 18–24 | 59 (62.1) | 18 (48.6) | 41 (70.7) | 0.031 |
| 25–34 | 147 (47.3) | 65 (43.9) | 82 (50.3) | 0.260 |
| 35–44 | 226 (40.2) | 81 (36.2) | 145 (42.9) | 0.111 |
| 45–54 | 186 (33.5) | 77 (32.9) | 109 (34.0) | 0.796 |
| 55–64 | 101 (32.1) | 39 (30.0) | 62 (33.5) | 0.511 |
| ≥ 65 | 30 (28.0) | 9 (25.7) | 21 (29.2) | 0.709 |
| p trend | < 0.001 | < 0.001 | < 0.001 | |
| Ethnicity n (%) | | | | |
| Kazakh | 447 (37.1) | 164 (33.5) | 283 (39.6) | 0.033 |
| Uyghur | 100 (42.2) | 42 (37.5) | 58 (46.4) | 0.166 |
| Han | 202 (40.1) | 83 (40.1) | 119 (40.1) | 0.995 |
| p Value ^b | 0.240 | 0.235 | 0.354 | |

^a Comparison of the prevalence of metabolically healthy obesity between different genders

^b Comparison of the prevalence of metabolically healthy obesity between different ethnicities

women, respectively. The MS components in the National Cholesterol Education Program Adult Treatment Panel III (2005) were used to define the metabolic status (because WC and BMI have collinearity, remove it) [23]. Metabolic health and unhealth were defined as the following four metabolic abnormalities ≤ 1 , and metabolic abnormalities > 1 , respectively: (1) TG ≥ 1.70 mmol/L; (2) SBP ≥ 130 mmHg or DBP ≥ 85 mmHg, or have been diagnosed as hypertension; (3) FPG ≥ 5.6 mmol/L, or have been diagnosed as type 2 diabetes; (4) HDL-C < 1.04 mmol/L (men), HDL-C < 1.30 mmol/L (women).

Statistical analysis

Continuous variables were expressed as mean \pm standard deviation (SD), and categorical variables were expressed as numbers and percentages. The means between each group were compared using the t-test. The chi-square test and trend test were used to analyze the categorical variables. Binary logistic regression was used to analyze the factors associated with MHO and MUNW. Model 1 was adjusted for age, gender and ethnicity. Model 2 = Model 1 + educational level, smoking, drinking, fresh milk, egg, fresh meat, vegetables and fruits. The WC, which is an important indicator for measuring abdominal obesity, was also incorporated into the model 3 for adjustment. Statistical significance was defined as a two-tailed *P*-value < 0.05 . All the analyses were conducted using SPSS version 20 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA).

Table 3 Prevalence of metabolically unhealthy in normal weight subjects stratified by age and gender

| | All subjects | Male | Female | p Value ^a |
|----------------------|--------------|------------|-------------|----------------------|
| Overall n (%) | 2099 (30.1) | 938 (30.1) | 1161 (30.1) | 0.938 |
| Age group n (%) | | | | |
| 18–24 | 316 (19.3) | 173 (23.0) | 143 (16.1) | < 0.001 |
| 25–34 | 367 (24.0) | 164 (25.8) | 203 (22.7) | 0.164 |
| 35–44 | 440 (29.8) | 171 (30.1) | 269 (29.7) | 0.882 |
| 45–54 | 466 (38.5) | 177 (32.4) | 289 (43.6) | < 0.001 |
| 55–64 | 335 (44.6) | 163 (40.2) | 172 (49.7) | 0.009 |
| ≥ 65 | 175 (47.4) | 90 (42.3) | 85 (54.5) | 0.020 |
| p trend | < 0.001 | < 0.001 | < 0.001 | |
| Ethnicity n (%) | | | | |
| Kazakh | 1019 (30.0) | 435 (29.9) | 584 (30.1) | 0.915 |
| Uyghur | 636 (29.9) | 334 (30.3) | 302 (29.5) | 0.703 |
| Han | 444 (30.5) | 169 (29.9) | 275 (30.9) | 0.680 |
| p Value ^b | 0.919 | 0.974 | 0.804 | |

^a Comparison of the prevalence of metabolically unhealthy normal weight between different genders

^b Comparison of the prevalence of metabolically unhealthy normal weight between different ethnicities.

Results

Baseline characteristics of the study population

A total of 13,525 participants with an average age of 45.04 ± 14.60 years were recruited. Among the participants, 51.6% (6973) were normal weight, 30.2% (4078) were overweight, and 14.4% (1945) were obese. The prevalence of MHO was 5.5%, accounting for 38.5% of obese population. The prevalence of MUNW was 15.5%, accounting for 30.1% of the normal weight population. In each weight group, the age and WC of the metabolically unhealthy participants were higher than those of the metabolically healthy participants ($P < 0.001$). The percentage of females in the metabolically healthy group among the obese individuals was higher than that in the metabolically unhealthy group ($P = 0.036$). There were statistical differences in the percentage of education level and consumption of fresh milk, vegetables, and fruits between the healthy and unhealthy metabolic groups, for both the normal weight and overweight individuals ($P < 0.05$) (Table 1).

Prevalence of MHO in obese participants

Among the 1945 obese individuals, the prevalence of MHO in males (35.8%) was lower than that in females (40.5%) ($P = 0.036$). The prevalence of MHO in males and females gradually decreased with the increase in age (p trend < 0.001). There was no statistical difference in the prevalence of MHO between the three ethnic groups ($P > 0.05$) (Table 2).

Table 4 Odds ratios for metabolically healthy phenotype associated with demographic and lifestyle factors in obese individuals

| Characteristics | Unadjusted OR (95%CI) | Model 1 OR (95%CI) | Model 2 OR (95%CI) | Model 3 OR (95%CI) |
|-------------------------------|-----------------------|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|
| Age (years) | | | | |
| 18–24 | 1.00 | — | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| 25–34 | 0.55 (0.34,0.88) | — | 0.52 (0.32,0.85) | 0.56 (0.34,0.91) |
| 35–44 | 0.41 (0.26,0.64) | — | 0.37 (0.23,0.59) | 0.41 (0.25,0.65) |
| 45–54 | 0.31 (0.20,0.48) | — | 0.29 (0.18,0.46) | 0.32 (0.20,0.51) |
| 55–64 | 0.29 (0.18,0.46) | — | 0.27 (0.17,0.45) | 0.30 (0.18,0.50) |
| ≥ 65 | 0.24 (0.13,0.43) | — | 0.23 (0.12,0.43) | 0.26 (0.14,0.49) |
| Gender | | | | |
| Male | 1.00 | — | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Female | 1.22 (1.01,1.47) | — | 1.30 (1.05,1.62) | 1.42 (1.13,1.77) |
| Ethnicity | | | | |
| Kazakh | 1.00 | — | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Uyghur | 1.24 (0.93,1.64) | — | 1.06 (0.76,1.48) | 1.03 (0.73,1.44) |
| Han | 1.13 (0.92,1.40) | — | 1.22 (0.93,1.61) | 1.14 (0.87,1.51) |
| Educational Level | | | | |
| Illiteracy | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Primary school | 1.20 (0.93,1.55) | 1.24 (0.93,1.66) | 1.24 (0.93,1.66) | 1.26 (0.95,1.69) |
| ≥ Junior high school | 1.10 (0.84,1.43) | 1.05 (0.76,1.43) | 1.04 (0.75,1.43) | 1.05 (0.76,1.45) |
| Smoking | | | | |
| No | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Yes | 1.03 (0.82,1.28) | 1.15 (0.90,1.46) | 1.11 (0.85,1.43) | 1.10 (0.85,1.42) |
| Drinking | | | | |
| No | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Yes | 0.96 (0.74,1.24) | 1.04 (0.78,1.37) | 1.05 (0.78,1.40) | 1.04 (0.77,1.40) |
| Fresh milk | | | | |
| no or < 0.5 L per week | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| 0.5 L–1.5 L per week | 0.94 (0.71,1.24) | 0.96 (0.72,1.28) | 0.93 (0.69,1.26) | 0.93 (0.69,1.26) |
| ≥ 1.5 L per week | 1.17 (0.86,1.60) | 1.24 (0.90,1.69) | 1.14 (0.82,1.58) | 1.14 (0.82,1.58) |
| Egg | | | | |
| no or < 1 per week | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| 1–3 per week | 1.12 (0.88,1.43) | 1.06 (0.83,1.36) | 1.07 (0.83,1.39) | 1.05 (0.80,1.37) |
| ≥ 4 per week | 1.11 (0.80,1.52) | 0.96 (0.68,1.35) | 0.92 (0.64,1.33) | 0.90 (0.63,1.30) |
| Fresh meat | | | | |
| no or < 1 kg per week | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| 1–2 kg per week | 0.93 (0.68,1.28) | 0.93 (0.67,1.28) | 0.85 (0.61,1.20) | 0.87 (0.61,1.23) |
| ≥ 2 kg per week | 0.66 (0.50,0.87) | 0.66 (0.49,0.90) | 0.61 (0.45,0.84) | 0.62 (0.45,0.85) |
| Vegetables | | | | |
| no or < 1 plate per week | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| 1–3 plates per week | 1.39 (0.81,2.41) | 1.40 (0.79,2.49) | 1.48 (0.83,2.63) | 1.48 (0.83,2.64) |
| ≥ 4 plates per week | 1.59 (0.94,2.71) | 1.66 (0.94,2.92) | 1.84 (1.02,3.32) | 1.85 (1.02,3.35) |
| Fruits | | | | |
| no or < 1 plate per week | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| 1–3 plates per week | 1.23 (0.97,1.55) | 1.16 (0.91,1.47) | 1.12 (0.87,1.43) | 1.11 (0.87,1.43) |
| ≥ 4 plates per week | 1.21 (0.93,1.57) | 1.07 (0.82,1.40) | 1.09 (0.83,1.43) | 1.08 (0.82,1.43) |
| WC (cm) | | | | |
| < 90 (men) or < 80 (women) | 1.00 | — | — | 1.00 |
| 90–100 (men) or 80–90 (women) | 0.67 (0.42,1.08) | — | — | 0.71 (0.44,1.16) |

Table 4 Odds ratios for metabolically healthy phenotype associated with demographic and lifestyle factors in obese individuals (Continued)

| Characteristics | Unadjusted OR (95%CI) | Model 1 OR (95%CI) | Model 2 OR (95%CI) | Model 3 OR (95%CI) |
|-----------------------------|-----------------------|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|
| ≥ 100 (men) or ≥ 90 (women) | 0.49 (0.31,0.76) | — | — | 0.51 (0.32,0.82) |

WC waist circumference, Model 1 was adjusted for age, gender and ethnicity, Model 2 = Model 1 + educational level, smoking, drinking, fresh milk, egg, fresh meat, vegetables and fruits, Model 3 = Model 2 + waist circumference.

Prevalence of MUNW in normal weight participants

There was no statistical difference in the prevalence of MUNW between males and females ($P > 0.05$) among the 6973 normal weight individuals. The prevalence of MUNW in males and females gradually increased (p trend < 0.001) with the increase in age. There was no statistical difference in the prevalence of MHO between the three ethnic groups ($P > 0.05$) (Table 3).

Associated factors of MHO in obese individuals

A multivariate logistic regression analysis was conducted among the obese individuals; the results showed that a metabolically healthy phenotype was positively associated with females (odds ratio [OR] = 1.42, 95% confidence interval [CI] = 1.13–1.77) and the consumption of vegetables ≥ 4 plates per week (OR = 1.85, 95%CI = 1.02–3.35). This was however, negatively associated with higher age and the consumption of red meat ≥ 2 kg per week (OR = 0.62, 95%CI = 0.45–0.85), and a larger WC. Further, the risk of MHO showed a significant downward trend as age increased. Compared with 18–24 years, the OR dropped from 0.56 in the 25–34 years group to 0.26 in the ≥ 65 years group (Table 4).

Associated factors of MUNW in normal weight individuals

A multiple-factor analysis among the normal weight individuals indicated that a metabolically unhealthy phenotype was positively associated with higher age, the consumption of red meat ≥ 2 kg per week (OR = 1.25, 95%CI = 1.05–1.48), and a larger WC; however, this was inversely associated with the consumption of vegetables ≥ 4 plates per week (OR = 0.64, 95%CI = 0.48–0.85). The risk of MUNW obviously increased with the increase in age. Compared with the 18–24 years group, the OR increased from 1.32 in the 25–34 years group to 3.33 in the ≥ 65 years group (Table 5).

Discussion

Our study showed that the overall prevalence of MHO among the rural adults in Xinjiang was 5.5% and its prevalence among the obese participants was 38.5%. The factors positively associated with a metabolically healthy phenotype were younger age, female gender, smaller WC, consumption of less meat and more vegetables. The overall prevalence of MUNW among the multi-ethnic adults in Xinjiang was 15.5%, whereas its prevalence among the normal weight participants was 30.1%.

The factors positively associated with a metabolically unhealthy phenotype were higher age, larger WC, consumption of more meat and less vegetables.

Mathew's study indicated that the prevalence of MHO among men and women ranged from 3.3 to 32.1% and 12.2 to 57.5%, respectively [24]. The prevalence of MUNW in the U.S. and South Korean populations was 23.5 and 8.7%, respectively [24]. The prevalence of MHO varied significantly among different ethnicities and even in the same ethnicity, with different diagnostic criteria [25]. Approximately two-fifths of obese individuals in Xinjiang were metabolically healthy, which was higher than that of the domestic (except Xinjiang) Han ethnicity (27.9%) [15]. Furthermore, one-third of the normal weight individuals were metabolically unhealthy, which was lower than that of the domestic (except Xinjiang) Han ethnicity (34.1%) [18]. The reason behind the differences in the prevalence rates may be related to rural residents whose occupational-labor intensity is relatively high.

Many studies suggested that the metabolic status of men and women deteriorated with age, which was unrelated to weight status and the criteria for defining metabolic abnormalities [5]. This was consistent with the results of this study. One study showed that visceral fat tissue accumulates faster with age, eventually leading to metabolic abnormalities [26]. This trend in the female population may also be due to the gradual weakening of the protective effect of female estrogen on the metabolic state. Zhang et al. indicated that premenopause was an independent protective factor for MHO [27]. Our findings showed that among obese individuals, the prevalence of MHO in women was higher than that in men, which was consistent with the results of another study [17]. This may be due to gender differences in fat distribution. Male fat is supposedly more likely to accumulate around internal organs. This fat pattern was considered a high-risk body fat pattern because the hormones in this fat tissue were particularly active and secreted a variety of adipokines, which in turn led to metabolic disorders [28].

This study found that among obese people, more vegetables intake was positively correlated with MHO; among people with normal weight, more vegetables intake was negatively correlated with MUNW. This is consistent with the results of previous studies. Yoo et al. found that increased vegetable intake contributed to a

Table 5 Odds ratios for metabolically unhealthy phenotype associated with demographic and lifestyle factors in normal weight individuals

| Characteristics | Unadjusted OR (95%CI) | Model 1 OR (95%CI) | Model 2 OR (95%CI) | Model 3 OR (95%CI) |
|--------------------------|-----------------------|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|
| Age (years) | | | | |
| 18–24 | 1.00 | — | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| 25–34 | 1.32 (1.12,1.57) | — | 1.35 (1.13,1.61) | 1.32 (1.11,1.57) |
| 35–44 | 1.78 (1.51,2.10) | — | 1.83 (1.54,2.19) | 1.74 (1.46,2.08) |
| 45–54 | 2.63 (2.22,3.11) | — | 2.72 (2.27,3.27) | 2.50 (2.07,3.01) |
| 55–64 | 3.37 (2.79,4.07) | — | 3.41 (2.78,4.18) | 3.10 (2.52,3.80) |
| ≥ 65 | 3.78 (2.98,4.79) | — | 3.70 (2.86,4.78) | 3.33 (2.57,4.31) |
| Gender | | | | |
| Male | 1.00 | — | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Female | 1.00 (0.91,1.11) | — | 1.08 (0.96,1.22) | 0.96 (0.85,1.09) |
| Ethnicity | | | | |
| Kazakh | 1.00 | — | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Uyghur | 1.00 (0.89,1.12) | — | 1.02 (0.88,1.19) | 1.00 (0.86,1.17) |
| Han | 1.03 (0.90,1.17) | — | 0.91 (0.77,1.09) | 0.97 (0.81,1.15) |
| Educational level | | | | |
| Illiteracy | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Primary school | 0.82 (0.72,0.95) | 0.91 (0.78,1.06) | 0.91 (0.78,1.07) | 0.90 (0.77,1.05) |
| ≥ Junior high school | 0.63 (0.54,0.72) | 0.92 (0.78,1.09) | 0.93 (0.79,1.11) | 0.92 (0.78,1.10) |
| Smoking | | | | |
| No | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Yes | 1.07 (0.94,1.21) | 1.04 (0.91,1.20) | 1.03 (0.89,1.20) | 1.03 (0.89,1.19) |
| Drinking | | | | |
| No | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Yes | 0.99 (0.84,1.17) | 1.08 (0.90,1.29) | 1.04 (0.86,1.26) | 1.04 (0.86,1.26) |
| Fresh milk | | | | |
| no or < 0.5 L per week | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| 0.5 L–1.5 L per week | 0.81 (0.63,1.02) | 0.81 (0.63,1.04) | 0.82 (0.64,1.06) | 0.82 (0.63,1.07) |
| ≥ 1.5 L per week | 0.88 (0.71,1.10) | 0.87 (0.69,1.09) | 0.93 (0.72,1.20) | 0.92 (0.71,1.20) |
| Egg | | | | |
| no or < 1 per week | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| 1–3 per week | 0.87 (0.76,1.00) | 0.90 (0.77,1.05) | 0.90 (0.77,1.06) | 0.90 (0.76,1.05) |
| ≥ 4 per week | 0.82 (0.66,1.01) | 0.85 (0.68,1.08) | 0.87 (0.68,1.11) | 0.86 (0.67,1.10) |
| Fresh meat | | | | |
| no or < 1 kg per week | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| 1–2 kg per week | 0.92 (0.80,1.07) | 0.96 (0.82,1.12) | 1.05 (0.90,1.24) | 1.03 (0.88,1.22) |
| ≥ 2 kg per week | 1.09 (0.95,1.25) | 1.16 (0.99,1.35) | 1.27 (1.07,1.51) | 1.25 (1.05,1.48) |
| Vegetables | | | | |
| no or < 1 plate per week | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| 1–3 plates per week | 0.77 (0.61,0.96) | 0.80 (0.63,1.03) | 0.81 (0.62,1.06) | 0.80 (0.61,1.05) |
| ≥ 4 plates per week | 0.66 (0.53,0.83) | 0.66 (0.51,0.85) | 0.65 (0.49,0.85) | 0.64 (0.48,0.85) |
| Fruits | | | | |
| no or < 1 plate per week | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| 1–3 plates per week | 0.82 (0.72,0.93) | 0.91 (0.80,1.05) | 0.96 (0.82,1.11) | 0.96 (0.82,1.12) |

Table 5 Odds ratios for metabolically unhealthy phenotype associated with demographic and lifestyle factors in normal weight individuals (Continued)

| Characteristics | Unadjusted OR (95%CI) | Model 1 OR (95%CI) | Model 2 OR (95%CI) | Model 3 OR (95%CI) |
|-------------------------------|-----------------------|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|
| ≥ 4 plates per week | 0.83 (0.72,0.96) | 0.95 (0.82,1.11) | 0.97 (0.82,1.13) | 0.95 (0.81,1.12) |
| WC (cm) | | | | |
| < 90 (men) or < 80 (women) | 1.00 | — | — | 1.00 |
| 90–100 (men) or 80–90 (women) | 1.46 (1.30,1.64) | — | — | 1.32 (1.16,1.50) |
| ≥ 100 (men) or ≥ 90 (women) | 2.16 (1.77,2.65) | — | — | 1.72 (1.38,2.14) |

WC waist circumference, Model 1 was adjusted for age, gender and ethnicity, Model 2 = Model 1 + educational level, smoking, drinking, fresh milk, egg, fresh meat, vegetables and fruits, Model 3 = Model 2 + waist circumference.

reduction in the risk of MS and cardiovascular disease [29]. Visioli et al. found that the dietary fiber contained in vegetables may prevent the intestinal absorption of cholesterol and bile acids, hence improving blood lipids [30]. Another study suggested that the antioxidants contained in vegetables can improve the process of inflammation [31]. One study showed that residents in rural areas of Xinjiang consumed less vegetables than other parts of China [32]. This may be related to the fact that the transportation in this area is inconvenient and the weather is cold. This has caused difficulties in the cultivation and transportation of fresh vegetables.

Our study found that in obese individuals, more meat intake was negatively associated with MHO; in people with normal weight, more meat intake was positively associated with MUNW. Previous studies also suggested that increased meat (red and processed) intake was associated with an increased risk of MS and type 2 diabetes [33, 34]. This may be related to the large amount of heme iron in meat. One study showed that reducing the intake of heme iron will help prevent IR and type 2 diabetes [35]. Furthermore, meat contains a large amount of saturated fat. Consuming a large amount of saturated fat from meat increased plasma lipoprotein and blood pressure levels [36]. The fresh meat consumed by residents in Xinjiang is red meat, mainly beef and mutton. Our study confirmed that the proportion of residents who consumed ≥2 kg of fresh meat per week was as high as 50.6%. This may be mainly because Xinjiang is located in a livestock area and the local residents have a simple diet. Further, the meat they consume is mainly beef and mutton.

This study showed that in obese subjects, the larger WC was negatively associated with MHO; in subjects with normal weight, the larger WC was positively correlated with MUNW. This was consistent with many studies. One study from China indicated that abdominal obesity was significantly positively associated with metabolic risk factors [37]. Generally, with abdominal obesity there is higher visceral fat content. Visceral adipose tissue expressed a variety of inflammatory cytokines that damaged the insulin sensitivity [38]. Visceral adipose

tissue was related to increased production of adipocytokines, changes in blood lipid levels, and reduced HDL-C [39]. Our results showed that the prevalence of abdominal obesity among rural adults in Xinjiang was significantly higher than that among Han adults in China during the same period (61.0% vs. 37.4%) [40]. It reminds the local government and health institutions to increase the frequency of health promotions and physical examinations in this area.

The main advantage of this study is that the participants were multi-ethnic adults from rural areas of Xinjiang. Additionally, epidemiological data were collected by well-trained health personnel. Furthermore, biochemical measurements were performed using standard protocols. However, this study had several limitations. First, the study lacked physical activity indicators. Second, the study lacked information on the duration of obesity, which might be tightly associated with age. Finally, this study was a cross-sectional design, and the inference of causality cannot be made. Therefore, prospective studies are needed to confirm these causal relationships.

Conclusions

The prevalence of MHO among obese adults in Xinjiang is higher than that of Han adults, while the prevalence of MUNW among normal weight adults is lower than that among Han adults. Among obese and normal weight individuals, higher age, more red meat intake, and larger WC increase the risk of metabolic abnormalities. Furthermore, more vegetable intake reduces the risk of metabolic abnormalities. Females have lower risk of metabolic abnormalities only among obese individuals.

Abbreviations

MHO: Metabolically healthy obesity; MUNW: Metabolically unhealthy normal weight; WC: Waist circumference; IR: Insulin resistance; TG: Triglyceride; HDL-C: High-density lipoprotein cholesterol; MS: Metabolic syndrome; MUO: Metabolically unhealthy obesity; MHNW: Metabolically healthy normal weight; BMI: Body mass index; SBP: Systolic blood pressure; DBP: Diastolic blood pressure; FPG: Fasting plasma glucose; SD: Standard deviation; OR: Odds ratio; CI: Confidence interval

Acknowledgments

We sincerely thank these rural multi-ethnic residents for their willingness to participate in the study. We are grateful to Nalati Township Hospital and Jiangbazi Township Hospital for providing study facilities and other assistance.

Authors' contributions

WWQ, HJ and GSX designed the study. WWQ and WB drafted the manuscript. WWQ, WB and SYP participated in data analysis. HJ, SYP, GH, ZXH, WXP, YYZ, KM and MRL participated in data collection. HJ, GSX, MJL, WK and ZJY participated in reviewing and editing the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final draft of the manuscript for publication.

Funding

This research was funded by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 81560551), the Shihezi University Innovation Outstanding Young Talents Program (Natural Science) (No. CXPY202004) and the Non-profit Central Research Institute Fund of Chinese Academy of Medical Sciences (2020-PT330-003).

Availability of data and materials

The datasets used or analyzed during the current study are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

Declarations

Ethics approval and consent to participate

The study was approved by the Institutional Ethics Review Board (IERB) at the First Affiliated Hospital of Shihezi University School of Medicine (IERB No. SHZ2010LL01). All participants provided written informed consent to participate in the study. All the experiment protocol for involving human data was in accordance to Declaration of Helsinki.

Consent for publication

Not applicable.

Competing interests

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

Author details

¹Department of Public Health, Shihezi University School of Medicine, North 2th Road, Shihezi 832000, Xinjiang, China. ²The First Affiliated Hospital of Shihezi University Medical College, Shihezi 832000, Xinjiang, China. ³NHC Key Laboratory of Prevention and Treatment of Central Asia High Incidence Diseases (First Affiliated Hospital, School of Medicine, Shihezi University), Shihezi 832000, Xinjiang, China.

Received: 26 March 2021 Accepted: 13 October 2021

Published online: 26 October 2021

References

- NCD Risk Factor Collaboration. Worldwide trends in body-mass index, underweight, overweight, and obesity from 1975 to 2016: a pooled analysis of 2416 population-based measurement studies in 128.9 million children, adolescents, and adults. *Lancet*. 2017;390(10113):2627–42. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736\(17\)32129-3](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(17)32129-3).
- Afshin A, Forouzanfar MH, Reitsma MB, Sur P, Estep K, Lee A, et al. Health effects of overweight and obesity in 195 countries over 25 years. *N Engl J Med*. 2017;377(1):13–27. <https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMoa1614362>.
- Klein S, Wadden T, Sugerman HJ. AGA technical review on obesity. *Gastroenterology*. 2002;123(3):882–932. <https://doi.org/10.1053/gast.2002.35514>.
- Fabbrini E, Sullivan S, Klein S. Obesity and nonalcoholic fatty liver disease: biochemical, metabolic, and clinical implications. *Hepatology*. 2010;51(2):679–89. <https://doi.org/10.1002/hep.23280>.
- Phillips CM. Metabolically healthy obesity: definitions, determinants and clinical implications. *Rev Endocr Metab Disord*. 2013;14(3):219–27. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11154-013-9252-x>.
- Hamer M, Stamatakis E. Metabolically healthy obesity and risk of all-cause and cardiovascular disease mortality. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab*. 2012;97(7):2482–8. <https://doi.org/10.1210/jc.2011-3475>.
- Karelis AD, St-Pierre DH, Conus F, Rabasa-Lhoret R, Poehlman ET. Metabolic and body composition factors in subgroups of obesity: what do we know? *J Clin Endocrinol Metab*. 2004;89(6):2569–75. <https://doi.org/10.1210/jc.2004-0165>.
- Ding C, Chan Z, Magkos F. Lean, but not healthy: the 'metabolically obese, normal-weight' phenotype. *Curr Opin Clin Nutr Metab Care*. 2016;19(6):408–17. <https://doi.org/10.1097/MCO.0000000000000317>.
- Hinnouho GM, Czernichow S, Dugravot A, Nabi H, Brunner EJ, Kivimaki M, et al. Metabolically healthy obesity and the risk of cardiovascular disease and type 2 diabetes: the Whitehall II cohort study. *Eur Heart J*. 2015;36(9):551–9. <https://doi.org/10.1093/eurheartj/ehu123>.
- Stefan N, Schick F, Häring HU. Causes, characteristics, and consequences of metabolically unhealthy Normal weight in humans. *Cell Metab*. 2017;26(2):292–300. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cmet.2017.07.008>.
- Chooi YC, Ding C, Chan Z, Choo J, Sadanathan SA, Michael N, et al. Moderate weight loss improves body composition and metabolic function in metabolically unhealthy lean subjects. *Obesity (Silver Spring)*. 2018;26(6):1000–7. <https://doi.org/10.1002/oby.22185>.
- Hermans MP, Amoussou-Guenou KD, Bouenizabila E, Sadikot SS, Ahn SA, Rousseau MF. The normal-weight type 2 diabetes phenotype revisited. *Diabetes Metab Syndr*. 2016;102(Suppl 1):S82–8.
- Ortega FB, Lee DC, Katzmarzyk PT, Ruiz JR, Sui X, Church TS, et al. The intriguing metabolically healthy but obese phenotype: cardiovascular prognosis and role of fitness. *Eur Heart J*. 2013;34(5):389–97. <https://doi.org/10.1093/eurheartj/ehs174>.
- Lopez-Garcia E, Guallar-Castillon P, Leon-Muñoz L, Rodriguez-Artalejo F. Prevalence and determinants of metabolically healthy obesity in Spain. *Atherosclerosis*. 2013;231(1):152–7. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atherosclerosis.2013.09.003>.
- Zheng R, Yang M, Bao Y, Li H, Shan Z, Zhang B, et al. Prevalence and determinants of metabolic health in subjects with obesity in Chinese population. *Int J Environ Res Public Health*. 2015;12(11):13662–77. <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph121113662>.
- Zhang Y, Fu J, Yang S, Yang M, Liu A, Wang L, et al. Prevalence of metabolically obese but normal weight (MONW) and metabolically healthy but obese (MHO) in Chinese Beijing urban subjects. *Biosci trends*. 2017;11(4):418–26. <https://doi.org/10.5582/bst.2017.01016>.
- Hajian-Tilaki K, Heidari B. Metabolically healthy obese and unhealthy normal weight in Iranian adult population: prevalence and the associated factors. *Diabetes Metab Syndr*. 2018;12(2):129–34. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dsx.2017.11.005>.
- Zheng Q, Lin W, Liu C, Zhou Y, Chen T, Zhang L, et al. Prevalence and epidemiological determinants of metabolically obese but normal-weight in Chinese population. *BMC Public Health*. 2020;20(1):487. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12889-020-08630-8>.
- He J, Guo S, Liu J, Zhang M, Ding Y, Zhang J, et al. Ethnic differences in prevalence of general obesity and abdominal obesity among low-income rural Kazakh and Uyghur adults in far western China and implications in preventive public health. *PLoS One*. 2014;9(9):e106723. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0106723>.
- Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Cigarette smoking among adults—United States, 1992, and changes in definition of smoking. *JAMA*. 1994;272(1):14–6.
- Sun K, Ren M, Liu D, Wang C, Yang C, Yan L. Alcohol consumption and risk of metabolic syndrome: a meta-analysis of prospective studies. *Clin Nutr*. 2014;33(4):596–602. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.clnu.2013.10.003>.
- Zhou BF. Predictive values of body mass index and waist circumference for risk factors of certain related diseases in Chinese adults—study on optimal cut-off points of body mass index and waist circumference in Chinese adults. *Biomed Environ Sci*. 2002;15(1):83–96.
- Grundy SM, Cleeman JI, Daniels SR, Donato KA, Eckel RH, Franklin BA, et al. Diagnosis and management of the metabolic syndrome: an American Heart Association/National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute scientific statement. *Circulation*. 2005;112(17):2735–52. <https://doi.org/10.1161/CIRCULATIONHA.105.169404>.
- Mathew H, Farr OM, Mantzoros CS. Metabolic health and weight: understanding metabolically unhealthy normal weight or metabolically healthy obese patients. *Metabolism*. 2016;65(1):73–80. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.metabol.2015.10.019>.
- Liu C, Wang C, Guan S, Liu H, Wu X, Zhang Z, et al. The prevalence of metabolically healthy and unhealthy obesity according to different criteria. *Obes Facts*. 2019;12(1):78–90. <https://doi.org/10.1159/000495852>.

26. Shen W, Punyanitya M, Silva AM, Chen J, Gallagher D, Sardinha LB, et al. Sexual dimorphism of adipose tissue distribution across the lifespan: a cross-sectional whole-body magnetic resonance imaging study. *Nutr Metab (Lond)*. 2009;6:17.
27. Zhang N, Chen Y, Guo X, Sun G, Sun Y. The prevalence and predictors of metabolically healthy obesity in obese rural population of China: a cross-sectional study. *Psychol Health Med*. 2017;22(3):303–9. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13548506.2016.1220596>.
28. Ben-Yacov L, Ainembabazi P, Stark AH, Kizito S, Bahendeka S. Prevalence and sex-specific patterns of metabolic syndrome in rural Uganda. *BMJ Nutr Prev Health*. 2020;3(1):11–7. <https://doi.org/10.1136/bmjnp-2019-000050>.
29. Yoo S, Nicklas T, Baranowski T, Zakeri IF, Yang SJ, Srinivasan SR, et al. Comparison of dietary intakes associated with metabolic syndrome risk factors in young adults: the Bogalusa heart study. *Am J Clin Nutr*. 2004;80(4):841–8. <https://doi.org/10.1093/ajcn/80.4.841>.
30. Visioli F. Nutritional support in the pharmacological treatment of metabolic syndrome. *Eur J Pharmacol*. 2011;668(Suppl 1):S43–9. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejphar.2011.05.083>.
31. Lee Y, Kang D, Lee SA. Effect of dietary patterns on serum C-reactive protein level. *Nutr Metab Cardiovasc Dis*. 2014;24(9):1004–11. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.numecd.2014.05.001>.
32. Zhai F, He Y, Wang Z, Hu Y. Status and characteristic of dietary intake of 12 minority nationalities in China. *Wei Sheng Yan Jiu*. 2007;36(5):539–41.
33. Barnard N, Levin S, Trapp C. Meat consumption as a risk factor for type 2 diabetes. *Nutrients*. 2014;6(2):897–910. <https://doi.org/10.3390/nu6020897>.
34. Yuzbashian E, Nosrati-Oskouie M, Asghari G, Chan CB, Mirmiran P, Azizi F. Associations of dairy intake with risk of incident metabolic syndrome in children and adolescents: Tehran lipid and glucose study. *Acta Diabetol*. 2021;58(4):447–57. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00592-020-01651-0>.
35. Liu Q, Sun L, Tan Y, Wang G, Lin X, Cai L. Role of iron deficiency and overload in the pathogenesis of diabetes and diabetic complications. *Curr Med Chem*. 2009;16(1):113–29. <https://doi.org/10.2174/092986709787002862>.
36. Woo HD, Shin A, Kim J. Dietary patterns of Korean adults and the prevalence of metabolic syndrome: a cross-sectional study. *PLoS One*. 2014;9(11):e111593. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0111593>.
37. Dong J, Ni YQ, Chu X, Liu YQ, Liu GX, Zhao J, et al. Association between the abdominal obesity anthropometric indicators and metabolic disorders in a Chinese population. *Public Health*. 2016;131:3–10. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.puhe.2015.08.001>.
38. Jennings CL, Lambert EV, Collins M, Joffe Y, Levitt NS, Goedecke JH. Determinants of insulin-resistant phenotypes in normal-weight and obese black African women. *Obesity (Silver Spring)*. 2008;16(7):1602–9. <https://doi.org/10.1038/oby.2008.233>.
39. Tchernof A, Després JP. Pathophysiology of human visceral obesity: an update. *Physiol Rev*. 2013;93(1):359–404. <https://doi.org/10.1152/physrev.00033.2011>.
40. Xi B, Liang Y, He T, Reilly KH, Hu Y, Wang Q, et al. Secular trends in the prevalence of general and abdominal obesity among Chinese adults, 1993–2009. *Obes Rev*. 2012;13(3):287–96. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-789X.2011.00944.x>.

Publisher's Note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Ready to submit your research? Choose BMC and benefit from:

- fast, convenient online submission
- thorough peer review by experienced researchers in your field
- rapid publication on acceptance
- support for research data, including large and complex data types
- gold Open Access which fosters wider collaboration and increased citations
- maximum visibility for your research: over 100M website views per year

At BMC, research is always in progress.

Learn more biomedcentral.com/submissions

