# **RESEARCH ARTICLE**

# **BMC** Public Health

# **Open Access**

# Measuring health-related quality of life in elementary and secondary school students using the Chinese version of the EQ-5D-Y in rural China



Chen-Wei Pan<sup>1†</sup>, Hua Zhong<sup>2†</sup>, Jun Li<sup>3</sup>, Chen Suo<sup>4</sup> and Pei Wang<sup>4,5\*</sup>

# Abstract

**Background:** To measure health-related quality of life (HRQOL) of elementary and secondary school students in rural China using the simplified Chinese version of the EQ-5D-Y.

**Method:** Both the samples of students were from a school-based cohort study in a county located in southwestern China. The students self-completed the EQ-5D-Y. Feasibility was evaluated according to the percentages of missing values. Known group validity was assessed by comparing the frequency of reporting EQ-5D-Y problems between groups known to differ in health status.

**Results:** A total of 1728 elementary students and 2116 secondary students were included in the analysis. Their respective mean age was 8.7 (range: 7–15) years and 14.8 (range: 11–18) years, with girls being 45.1 and 50.1%, respectively. The missing values in both samples were quite low. Elementary students were less likely to have problems on 'having pain or discomfort' and 'feeling worried, sad or unhappy' dimensions, but more likely to report problems on the dimensions related to physical functioning. Gender difference in HRQOL was only detected for secondary students in terms of 'doing usual activities', 'having pain or discomfort,' and 'feeling worried, sad or unhappy' (P < 0.05 for all). The significant differences in HRQOL were not observed for the other characteristics.

**Conclusions:** It appears that the EQ-5D-Y is feasible and valid instrument in school-aged children and adolescents in rural China; but it suffers from similar disadvantages to those found in other general populations. The HRQOL distributions measured by the EQ-5D-Y were also provided.

Keywords: EQ-5D-Y, China, rural, Health-related quality of life

# Background

There have been growing interests in the assessment of health-related quality of life (HRQOL) in children and adolescents in recent years. HRQOL instruments

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup>Key Lab of Health Technology Assessment, National Health Commission of the People's Republic of China (Fudan University), Shanghai, China Full list of author information is available at the end of the article



designed for adults may not be suitable for younger respondents as they are in a series of cognitive developmental stages and have different perspectives towards the relative importance of HRQOL dimensions [1, 2]. As a result, a number of instruments considering specific features of children and adolescents have been developed to evaluate generic or disease-specific HRQOL in these age groups [3–9].

The EQ-5D-Y is a generic HRQOL instrument for respondents aged between 8 and 18 years old [8]. It is a

© The Author(s). 2020 **Open Access** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/. The Creative Commons Public Domain Dedication waiver (http://creativecommons.org/publicdomain/zero/1.0/) applies to the data made available in this article, unless otherwise stated in a credit line to the data.

<sup>\*</sup> Correspondence: Wang\_p@fudan.edu.cn

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>†</sup>Chen-Wei Pan and Hua Zhong contributed equally to this work.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup>School of Public Health, Fudan University, 130 Dong An Road, Shanghai 200032, China

child-friendly version of the widely used EQ-5D-3L: its dimensions, wording, and layout were modified from the EQ-5D-3L to ensure relevance and clarity for younger respondents [9]. Compared to other HRQOL instruments for children and adolescents, it has several advantages. First, it keeps the brevity and simplicity of EQ-5D-3L and regarded as easier to complete than other HRQOL instrument, which are important as children's ability to concentrate is weaker than that of adults. Second, changes in HROOL from childhood or adolescence into adulthood can be meaningfully traced if the EQ-5D-Y and EQ-5D-3L are used in respective stages of the life course. Third, research has been carried out to convert EQ-5D-Y responses into a preference-based index score for conducting economic evaluations [10]. This would be of great significance as a growing number of studies have been conducted to assess the cost-effectiveness of health interventions for children and adolescents. To date, only two other instruments for children and adolescents can convert HRQOL data into preference scores [5, 11].

The advantages of EQ-5D-Y described above may make it especially suitable in China. For example, its simplicity facilitates its large-scale application (e.g., nationwide or province-wide) as China has a large population of children and adolescents whose characteristics differ in various aspects. Also, its possibility to have preference scores matches the increasing needs of assessing cost-effectiveness of health interventions for children and adolescents in China.

The EQ-5D-Y has demonstrated feasibility, validity, and reliability in both healthy and unhealthy children and adolescents in many countries [8, 12-20]. A few Asian language versions have been available including simplified and traditional Chinese versions; both of them have been validated recently [12, 21, 22]. On the other hand, no study has yet to test it in either rural population or minority population in mainland China, which could have substantial differences in socioeconomic status, lifestyle, and health status in comparison with those living in urban areas or are Han ethnicity. Hence, the study aimed to evaluate its performance in terms of feasibility and validity in school-aged children and adolescents in a rural area of Yunnan province, China. Yunnan is home to various ethnic minority groups. It could be hypothesized that it is also a feasible and valid instrument for measuring their HRQOL. Nevertheless, it may still present ceiling effects and may not differentiate respondents with and without minor health conditions, which were observed in prior studies in general populations [8, 16–20].

# Method

# Study design and population

The data of this analysis were from the follow-up survey of the Mojiang Myopia Progression Study. It is a schoolbased cohort study aiming to longitudinally observe the onset and progression of myopia as well as other major childhood ocular diseases in school-aged children in rural China. Although the whole cohort study is a longitudinal cohort design, the simplified Chinese version of EQ-5D-Y was only administered in the follow-up survey as it was not finalized during the baseline survey.

The study site (Mojiang) is a county located in Southwestern China with a population of 0.36 million and an area of 5312 km<sup>2</sup> with a relatively stable demographic structure and similar socioeconomic status to the average of rural China (http://www.stats.gov.cn). The detailed study protocols and some major findings have been described elsewhere [23–26]. Briefly, all the grade 1 students from elementary schools and grade 7 students from secondary schools in Mojiang were invited to participate in the study. The study revealed a lower prevalence of myopia in school students in rural China and found some novel risk factor for myopia. The current analysis focused on the impact of myopia and other factors on generic HRQOL as measured by the EQ-5D-Y among rural Chinese students, which is an extension of our previous work.

In 2016, a total of 2432 elementary students and 2346 secondary students participated in the baseline survey. After 1 year, 2310 (95.0%) elementary students and 2191 (93.4%) secondary students successfully attended the follow-up examination. In the examination, 1728 elementary students (74.8%) and 2114 secondary students (96.5%) attended a self-administered survey including the EQ-5D-Y and demographic questions. The main reason for non-participation was illiteracy given the relatively backward in socioeconomic development of the area. The examination also measured their height, weight, and refractive status.

Ethics committee approval was obtained from the Institutional Review Board of Kunming Medical University. We carried out the study according to the tenets of the Declaration of Helsinki involving human participants and the approved guidelines. Additionally, we obtained written informed consents from at least one parent or legal guardian of each participant.

## EQ-5D-Y

The EQ-5D-Y uses a similar 5-dimenional descriptive system with the EQ-5D but child-friendly wording, referring to mobility ('walking about'), self-care ('looking after myself'), usual activities ('doing usual activities'), pain and discomfort ('having pain or discomfort'), and anxiety and depression ('feeling worried, sad or unhappy'). Each dimension only includes one item which has three functioning levels: no problem, some problems, and a lot of problems, resulting in a total of 243 unique health states which can be coded using a 5-digit number. For example, the health state for a person with no problems in 'walking about' and 'looking after himself (herself)', some problems in 'doing usual activities' and 'having pain or discomfort', and a lot of problems in 'feeling worried, sad or unhappy' can be represented as 11,223.

## Height, weight, and refractive status

Height and weight data were used to calculate BMI. Height was measured to the nearest 0.1 cm by a wallmounted stadiometer. Participants stood straight, barefoot, with relaxed shoulders and their arms hanging freely. Weight was measured to the nearest 0.1 kg by a scale, with minimal clothing and without shoes. The refractive status of the participant was measured after cyclopedia using an auto refractor (RM-8000; Topcon Corp., Tokyo, Japan). Myopia was defined as spherical equivalent (SE) less than – 0.50 diopter (D).

# Data analysis

Descriptive statistics were reported to describe the characteristics of the two samples. The feasibility reflects whether the EQ-5D-Y is acceptable by the respondents [8]. It was assessed by examining the proportion of missing values on the EQ-5D-Y dimensions. A missing value was defined as a respondent completely leaving out an item. The missing responses were excluded from the respective dimensions, resulting in different numbers of responses for the dimensions in the two samples. The valid responses were kept for further analysis.

The distributions of EQ-5D-Y health profiles were explored for the two samples.

The known group validity was tested by comparing the frequency of reporting problems in the EQ-5D-Y dimensions between the two samples as well as genders and races within each sample. We hypothesized that elementary students would encounter more physical but less psychological problems compared to secondary students [27]; secondary school girls would report more psychological problems than the boys [28]; non-Han ethnicity may suffer from more psychological problems than Han ethnicity [29]. We also examined the difference in frequency between groups known to be different in health status. The groups included: 1) those with and without myopia; 2) those who were underweight, normal weight, and overweight according to BMI level [30]. The EQ-5D-Y may not differentiate the differences between the groups given the nature of the two conditions and study samples [8, 16]. Chi-square or Fisher's exact test were performed whenever appropriate to test whether there were statistically significant difference between the groups in the percentage; and the categories 'some' and ' a lot of were collapsed to a single category ('any problem').

All analyses were performed using SAS (version 9.4) at a significance level of 0.05.

## Results

The respective mean age for the elementary school and secondary school sample was 8.7 years and 14.8 years, with girls being 45.1 and 50.1%. The majority of participants in both samples are ethnic minority; while elementary school students had lower BMI levels and less likely to have myopia than secondary school students (Table 1).

The proportion of missing values in both samples was quite low, ranging from 0.75% ('Look after myself' and 'Doing usual activities') to 0.87% ('feeling worried, sad or unhappy') in the elementary students; and 0.28% ('mobility') to 1.3% ('feeling worried, sad or unhappy') in the secondary students, respectively.

A total of 41 and 72 distinct EQ-5D-Y health states were observed in elementary school and secondary school samples, respectively. The overall ceiling effects (i.e., ceiling effects on all dimensions) were more obvious for the former, with the prevalence of full health state (i.e., 11,111) being approximately 62% in comparison with the prevalence of 41% from the latter sample. Apart from the state, the three most prevalent health states, in order of high to low prevalence, were 11,121 (9.3%), 11, 112 (7.4%), and 11,211 (2.4%) in the former sample, and 11,112 (21.6%), 11,122 (17.7%), and 11,121 (7.6%) in the latter sample (Table 2). The worst health state (i.e. 33, 333) was only observed in one elementary student.

Table 1 Characteristics of the study subjects

|               | Elementary School ( <b>n</b> = 1728) | Secondary school<br>( <b>n</b> = 2114) |
|---------------|--------------------------------------|--|
| Gender, % (n) |                                      |  |
| Boys          | 54.9 (948)                           | 49.9 (1054)                            |
| Girls         | 45.1 (780)                           | 50.1 (1060)                            |
| Age           |                                      |  |
| Mean (SD)     | 8.7 (0.61)                           | 14.8 (0.82)                            |
| Median        | 8.7                                  | 15.0                                   |
| Range         | 7–15                                 | 11-18                                  |
| Ethnicity     |                                      |  |
| Han           | 16.6 (287)                           | 16.6 (351)                             |
| Hani          | 68.2 (1178)                          | 62.5 (1321)                            |
| Yi            | 9.3 (162)                            | 12.0 (253)                             |
| Others        | 5.9 (102)                            | 8.9 (189)                              |
| BMI (SD)      | 16.2 (2.82)                          | 19.7 (2.89)                            |
| Myopia, n(%)  |                                      |  |
| Presence      | 2.5 (43)                             | 30.5 (644)                             |
| Absence       | 97.5 (1685)                          | 69.5 (1470)                            |

SD standard deviation

EO-5D-Y health state Elementaryschool, % (N) Secondary school, % (N) 11,111 61.5 (1052) 47.2 (982) 11,112 7.4 (126) 21.6 (450) 11,121 9.3 (159) 7.6 (159) 11,122 5.5 (94) 17.7 (369) 11,211 2.4 (41)

0.82 (17)

Table 2 Percentage of EQ-5D-Y health profiles by study sample

The proportions of reported problems in the dimensions varied significantly between the two samples (Table 3). Compared to secondary students, elementary students were less likely to have problems on 'having pain or discomfort' and 'feeling worried, sad or unhappy' dimensions, but more likely to report problems on the three dimensions related to physical functioning. The largest difference was observed on 'feeling worried, sad or unhappy' dimension (i.e. 78.8% vs. 56.1%). High proportion of problems was reported on 'having pain or discomfort' and 'feeling worried, sad or unhappy' dimensions in both samples. In contrast, problems were reported less frequently on the other three dimensions.

The differences in reported EQ-5D-Y problems between girls and boys by the samples are shown in Table 4. In elementary school sample, there were no significant divergences on all the dimensions. In secondary school sample, boys experienced significantly more problems on 'doing usual activities', 'but less problems on 'having pain or discomfort' and 'feeling worried, sad or unhappy' dimensions.

The differences on all the dimensions between Han and non-Han did not achieve.

statistical significance in both samples (Table 5). The significant differences between respondents with and without a certain condition were not observed for both samples either (Table 6).

## Discussion

11,222

In the cross-sectional study of school-aged children and adolescents in rural China, we assessed the performance of the newly developed simplified Chinese version of the EQ-5D-Y. In line with prior studies, the study revealed several similarities of the use of EQ-5D-Y in general populations [8, 16–20]. These included suitable for selfcompletion indicating by low rate of missing responses, high ceiling effects, and the tendency of reporting more and less problems on dimensions related to psychological well-being [i.e., 'having pain or discomfort' and 'feeling worried, sad or unhappy'] and physical functioning (i.e., 'walking about', 'looking after myself', and 'doing usual activities'), respectively.

The percentage of missing responses on the EQ-5D-Y dimensions ranged from 0.28 to 1.3%, which is similar

| Table 3 Percentage of r | eported | problems | in | the | EQ-5 | D-Y |
|-------------------------|---------|----------|----|-----|------|-----|
| dimensions by study sar | nple    |          |    |     |      |     |

|                              | Elementary School<br>( <b>n</b> = 1728), % (n) | Secondary school<br>( <b>n</b> = 2114), % (n) |
|------------------------------|--|---|
| Mobility (walking about      | :)   |   |
| No problems                  | 96.7 (1657)                                    | 98.9 (2085)                                   |
| Some problems                | 2.4 (41)                                       | 1.0 (21)                                      |
| A lot of problems            | 0.93 (16)                                      | 0.09 (2)                                      |
| Total                        | 100 (1714)                                     | 100 (2108)                                    |
| <i>p</i> -value <sup>a</sup> | < 0.0001                                       |   |
| Missing values <sup>b</sup>  | 0.81 (14)                                      | 0.28 (6)                                      |
| Looking after myself         |  |   |
| No problems                  | 95.6 (1640)                                    | 99.1 (2089)                                   |
| Some problems                | 3.9 (66)                                       | 0.76 (16)                                     |
| A lot of problems            | 0.52 (9)                                       | 0.14 (3)                                      |
| Total                        | 100 (1715)                                     | 100 (2108)                                    |
| <i>p</i> -value <sup>a</sup> | < 0.0001                                       |   |
| Missing values <sup>b</sup>  | 0.75 (13)                                      | 0.28 (6)                                      |
| Doing usual activities       |  |   |
| No problems                  | 90.3 (1549)                                    | 97.2 (2046)                                   |
| Some problems                | 7.8 (134)                                      | 2.4 (51)                                      |
| A lot of problems            | 1.9 (32)                                       | 0.43 (9)                                      |
| Total                        | 100  | 100   |
| <i>p</i> -value <sup>a</sup> | < 0.0001                                       |   |
| Missing values <sup>b</sup>  | 0.75 (13)                                      | 0.38 (8)                                      |
| Having pain or discomf       | ort  |   |
| No problems                  | 77.4 (1326)                                    | 71.3 (1491)                                   |
| Some problems                | 20.5 (351)                                     | 28.0 (585)                                    |
| A lot of problems            | 2.2 (37)                                       | 0.72 (15)                                     |
| Total                        | 100 (1714)                                     | 100 (2091)                                    |
| <i>p</i> -value <sup>a</sup> | < 0.0001                                       |   |
| Missing values <sup>b</sup>  | 0.81 (14)                                      | 1.1 (23)                                      |
| Feeling worried, sad or      | unhappy  |   |
| No problems                  | 78.8 (1349)                                    | 56.1 (1171)                                   |
| Some problems                | 17.6 (301)                                     | 42.2 (881)                                    |
| A lot of problems            | 3.7 (63)                                       | 1.6 (34)                                      |
| Total                        | 100 (1713)                                     | 100 (2086)                                    |
| <i>p</i> -value <sup>a</sup> | < 0.0001                                       |   |
| Missing values <sup>b</sup>  | 0.87 (15)                                      | 1.3 (28)                                      |

<sup>a</sup>P-value was based on Chi-square tests/Fisher-exact tests; <sup>b</sup>Misssing responses were excluded from the analysis

with those in previous studies (generally lower than 1%) [8, 16–20]. We also found that the percentage across the dimensions was stable for elementary students but variant for secondary students. Nevertheless, the quite low missing rates favors the feasibility of the Chinese version of the EQ-5D-Y.

# Table 4 Percentage of reported problems in the EQ-5D-Y dimensions by gender, by sample

|                                 | Elementary Sch | ool, %(n)   |                 | Secondary schoo | ol %(n)      |                 |
|---------------------------------|----------------|-------------|-----------------|-----------------|--------------|-----------------|
|                                 | Boys (948)     | Girls (780) | <b>p</b> -value | Boys (1054)     | Girls (1060) | <b>p</b> -value |
| Mobility (walking about)        |                |             | 0.196           |                 |              | 0.136           |
| No problems                     | 96.2 (903)     | 97.3 (754)  |                 | 98.6 (1034)     | 99.2 (1057)  |                 |
| Some problems                   | 3.0 (28)       | 1.7 (13)    |                 | 1.3 (14)        | 0.66 (7)     |                 |
| A lot of problems               | 0.85 (8)       | 1.0 (8)     |                 | 0.1 (1)         | 0.09 (1)     |                 |
| Looking after myself            |                |             | 0.980           |                 |              | 0.476           |
| No problems                     | 95.6 (899)     | 95.6 (741)  |                 | 99.0 (1038)     | 99.2 (1051)  |                 |
| Some problems                   | 3.5 (33)       | 4.3 (33)    |                 | 0.76 (8)        | 0.76 (8)     |                 |
| A lot of problems               | 0.85 (8)       | 0.13 (1)    |                 | 0.29 (3)        | 0            |                 |
| Doing usual activities          |                |             | 0.324           |                 |              | 0.008           |
| No problems                     | 89.7 (843)     | 91.1 (706)  |                 | 96.2 (1008)     | 98.1 (1038)  |                 |
| Some problems                   | 8.4 (79)       | 7.1 (55)    |                 | 3.2 (33)        | 1.7 (18)     |                 |
| A lot of problems               | 1.9 (1.8)      | 1.8 (14)    |                 | 0.67 (7)        | 0.19 (2)     |                 |
| Having pain or discomfort       |                |             | 0.766           |                 |              | 0.001           |
| No problems                     | 77.6 (729)     | 77.0 (597)  |                 | 74.5 (774)      | 68.2 (717)   |                 |
| Some problems                   | 19.7 (185)     | 21.4 (166)  |                 | 24.5 (255)      | 31.4 (330)   |                 |
| A lot of problems               | 2.7 (25)       | 1.6 (12)    |                 | 0.96 (10)       | 0.24 (5)     |                 |
| Feeling worried, sad or unhappy |                |             | 0.876           |                 |              | < 0.001         |
| No problems                     | 78.9 (740)     | 78.6 (609)  |                 | 60.4 (626)      | 52.0 (545)   |                 |
| Some problems                   | 17.8 (167)     | 17.3 (134)  |                 | 38.2 (396)      | 46.2 (485)   |                 |
| A lot of problems               | 3.3 (31)       | 4.1 (32)    |                 | 1.5 (15)        | 1.8 (19)     |                 |

Table 5 Percentage of reported problems in the EQ-5D-Y dimensions by race, by sample

|                                 | Primary Scho | ool, %(n)      |                 | Secondary schoo       | l, %(n)        |                 |
|---------------------------------|--------------|----------------|-----------------|-----------------------|----------------|-----------------|
|                                 | Han (287)    | Non-Han (1441) | <b>p</b> -value | Han ( <b>n</b> = 351) | Non-Han (1793) | <b>p</b> -value |
| Mobility (walking about)        |              |                | 0.157           |                       |                | 0.838           |
| No problems                     | 97.2 (276)   | 96.6 (1381)    |                 | 98.9 (345)            | 98.9 (1740)    |                 |
| Some problems                   | 2.8 (8)      | 2.3 (33)       |                 | 1.2 (4)               | 0.97 (17)      |                 |
| A lot of problems               | 0            | 1.1 (16)       |                 | 0                     | 0.11 (2)       |                 |
| Looking after myself            |              |                | 0.852           |                       |                | 0.848           |
| No problems                     | 95.4 (271)   | 95.7 (1369)    |                 | 99.1 (346)            | 99.1 (1743)    |                 |
| Some problems                   | 4.2 (12)     | 3.8 (54)       |                 | 0.86 (3)              | 0.74 (13)      |                 |
| A lot of problems               | 0.35 (1)     | 0.56 (8)       |                 | 0                     | 0.17 (3)       |                 |
| Doing usual activities          |              |                | 0.910           |                       |                | 0.394           |
| No problems                     | 90.5 (257)   | 90.3 (1292)    |                 | 96.9 (338)            | 97.2 (1708)    |                 |
| Some problems                   | 7.4 (21)     | 7.9 (113)      |                 | 2.3 (8)               | 2.5 (43)       |                 |
| A lot of problems               | 2.1 (6)      | 1.8 (26)       |                 | 0.86 (3)              | 0.34 (6)       |                 |
| Having pain or discomfort       |              |                | 0.524           |                       |                | 0.146           |
| No problems                     | 76.3 (216)   | 77.6 (1110)    |                 | 75.2 (261)            | 70.5 (1230)    |                 |
| Some problems                   | 22.3 (63)    | 20.1 (288)     |                 | 23.9 (83)             | 28.8 (502)     |                 |
| A lot of problems               | 1.4 (4)      | 2.3 (33)       |                 | 0.86 (3)              | 0.69 (12)      |                 |
| Feeling worried, sad or unhappy |              |                | 0.476           |                       |                | 0.235           |
| No problems                     | 80.3 (228)   | 78.5 (1121)    |                 | 60.3 (208)            | 55.3 (963)     |                 |
| Some problems                   | 17.4 (49)    | 17.6 (252)     |                 | 38.3 (132)            | 43.2 (749)     |                 |
| A lot of problems               | 2.5 (7)      | 3.9 (56)       |                 | 1.5 (5)               | 1.7 (29)       |                 |

|                           | Elementary Sch                    | ool ( <b>n</b> = 1728), %(n)         |                                  |                 | Secondary scho                    | ol ( <b>n</b> = 2144), %(n)          |                                  |                 | Secondary sc<br>( <b>n</b> = 2144), % | hool<br>(n)           |                 |
|---------------------------|-----------------------------------|--------------------------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------|-----------------------------------|--------------------------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------|---------------------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------|
|                           | Under weight $(\mathbf{n} = 147)$ | Normal weight<br>*( <b>n</b> = 1435) | Over weight $(\mathbf{n} = 146)$ | <b>p</b> -value | Under weight<br>( <b>n</b> = 198) | Normal weight* $(\mathbf{n} = 1713)$ | Over weight $(\mathbf{n} = 203)$ | <b>p</b> -value | Myopia,<br>no (644)                   | Myopia,<br>yes (1470) | <b>p</b> -value |
| Mobility (walking about)  |                                   |                                      |                                  | 0.362           |                                   |                                      |                                  | 0.569           |                                       |                       | 0.825           |
| No problems               | 94.4 (135)                        | 96.9 (1381)                          | 96.6 (141)                       |                 | 99.5 (197)                        | 98.7 (1686)                          | 100 (202)                        |                 | 98.9 (1449)                           | 98.9 (636)            |                 |
| Some problems             | 4.2 (6)                           | 2.3 (32)                             | 2.1 (3)                          |                 | 0.51 (1)                          | 1.2 (20)                             | 0                                |                 | 1.0 (15)                              | 0.93 (6)              |                 |
| A lot of problems         | 1.4 (2)                           | 0.84 (12)                            | 1.4 (2)                          |                 | 0                                 | 0.12 (2)                             | 0                                |                 | 0.07 (1)                              | 0.16 (1)              |                 |
| Looking after myself      |                                   |                                      |                                  | 0.223           |                                   |                                      |                                  | 0.889           |                                       |                       | 0.543           |
| No problems               | 93.7 (134)                        | 95.5 (1362)                          | 98.6 (144)                       |                 | 96.0 (196)                        | 99.1 (1692)                          | 99.5 (201)                       |                 | 99.1 (1452)                           | 99.1 (637)            |                 |
| Some problems             | 6.3 (9)                           | 3.9 (55)                             | 1.4 (2)                          |                 | 1.0 (2)                           | 0.76 (13)                            | 0.50 (1)                         |                 | 0.68 (10)                             | 0.93 (6)              |                 |
| A lot of problems         | 0                                 | 0.63 (9)                             | 0                                |                 | 0                                 | 0.18 (3)                             | 0                                |                 | 0.2 (3)                               | 0                     |                 |
| Doing usual activities    |                                   |                                      |                                  | 0.830           |                                   |                                      |                                  | 0.754           |                                       |                       | 0.770           |
| No problems               | 90.9 (130)                        | 90.4 (1289)                          | 89.0 (130)                       |                 | 97.0 (192)                        | 97.3 (1659)                          | 96.5 (195)                       |                 | 97.0 (1420)                           | 97.5 (626)            |                 |
| Some problems             | 8.4 (12)                          | 7.6 (109)                            | 8.9 (13)                         |                 | 3.0 (6)                           | 2.3 (39)                             | 3.0 (6)                          |                 | 2.5 (37)                              | 2.2 (14)              |                 |
| A lot of problems         | 0.70 (1)                          | 2.0 (28)                             | 2.1 (3)                          |                 | 0                                 | 0.47 (8)                             | 0.50 (1)                         |                 | 0.48 (7)                              | 0.31 (2)              |                 |
| Having pain or discomfort |                                   |                                      |                                  | 0.225           |                                   |                                      |                                  | 0.493           |                                       |                       | 0.465           |
| No problems               | 79.0 (113)                        | 77.3 (1102)                          | 76.0 (111)                       |                 | 76.0 (149)                        | 71.1 (1207)                          | 68.2 (135)                       |                 | 72.0 (1046)                           | 69.8 (445)            |                 |
| Some problems             | 17.5 (25)                         | 20.8 (297)                           | 19.9 (29)                        |                 | 23.5(46)                          | 28.1 (477)                           | 31.3 (62)                        |                 | 27.4(398)                             | 29.3(187)             |                 |
| A lot of problems         | 3.5 (5)                           | 1.8 (26)                             | 4.1(6)                           |                 | 0.51 (1)                          | 0.77 (13)                            | 0.51 (1)                         |                 | 0.62 (9)                              | 0.94 (6)              |                 |

The overall ceiling effects were high in both samples, which is expected. This could be due to two reasons. First, all participants were able to attend school implying healthy enough. Second, the EQ-5D-Y has limited ability to differentiate small and (or) moderate health impairments in general population samples because it only offers three functional levels for response choice [8]. Hence, the development of the EQ-5D-Y with five levels response options (EQ-5D-5LY) is supported [21, 22]. Meanwhile, the ceiling effects was less evident in the secondary school sample compared to the elementary school sample and it also had more health states, indicating a large variability of health status in the sample.

Despite of the high ceiling effects, the EQ-5D-Y was able to discriminate between elementary and secondary students, and between girls and boys in secondary school. Secondary students were more likely to have 'feeling worried, sad or unhappy problems' as secondary school is more stressful and students have increased awareness of the stress. While, elementary students tended to encounter more problems on the three physical-related dimensions as they are more vulnerable and incline to have incidents such as bump, scratch, and sprain. Secondary school girls also reported more problems on the two psychological well-being related dimensions than did the boys, which is comparable with those in other studies [28, 31]. Teenager girls generally are more sensitive than teenager boys. The phenomenon could also be explained by an integrated model consisting of several factors [28].

The differences in HRQOL were not detected in terms of race and between respondents with and without a condition. The possible differences between Han and non-Han ethnicity may be masked in the analysis as the majority of participants are ethnic minority. Although the symptoms incurred from myopia or abnormal weight might be minor compared to those from severe conditions such as acute lymphoblastic leukemia, this yet implies the EQ-5D-Y may not be sensitive to them, which is similar to the findings reported in previous studies [8, 16]. Indeed, studies using the PedsQL 4.0 in China found that the two conditions did have significant lower HRQOL scores than healthy children and adolescents in one or several aspects [24, 30]. Hence, it has to be used with caution in children and adolescents with minor or no health conditions.

The study has several limitations. First, the study participants were recruited in the general population locally from Mojiang County. The HRQOL distribution may not represent other rural regions in China such as areas with less proportion of non-Han ethnicity. Second, the feasibility was tested only by counting the missing rate. Thus, the time taken to finish the EQ-5D-Y cannot be recorded and compared to the recommended time. Third, no other valid HRQOL instruments were used in our study, making it impossible to comprehensively test the divergence/convergence validity of EQ-5D-Y. Hence, future studies are warranted to systematically evaluate the property in Chinese children and adolescents. Fourth, the reliability (e.g., internal consistency reliability and test-retest reliability) and responsiveness were not assessed due to the cross-sectional design.

# Conclusions

In conclusion, it appears that the EQ-5D-Y is a feasible and valid instrument for measuring HRQOL in schoolaged children and adolescents in rural China; but it also suffers from similar disadvantages to those detected in other general populations. The HRQOL information of the participants may also be useful in future studies comparing HRQOL and its factors among different regions in China.

#### Abbreviations

BMI: Body mass index; HRQOL: Health-related quality of life; SE: Spherical equivalent

#### Acknowledgements

Not applicable.

## Authors' contributions

PW had full access to all of the data in the study and takes responsibility for the integrity of the data and the accuracy of the data analysis. PW designed the study and was major contributor in writing the manuscript. CWP, HZ, and JL collected the data. CS interpreted data. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

## Funding

This study was funded by the National Natural Science Foundation of China under grant no. 81773449 and no. 81560169; and Shanghai Pujiang Program under grant no. 18PJC016. They were not involved in the design of the study, collection, analysis, and interpretation of data, and in writing the manuscript.

#### Availability of data and materials

Please contact author for data requests.

#### Ethics approval and consent to participate

Ethics committee approval was obtained from the Institutional Review Board of Kunming Medical University. We carried out the study according to the tenets of the Declaration of Helsinki involving human participants and the approved guidelines. Additionally, we obtained written informed consents from at least one parent or legal guardian of each participant.

#### Consent for publication

No individual's personal data is included.

#### **Competing interests**

The authors declare that they have no competing interests in this work.

#### Author details

<sup>1</sup>School of Public Health, Medical College of Soochow University, Suzhou, China. <sup>2</sup>Department of Ophthalmology, the First Affiliated Hospital of Kunming Medical University, Kunming, China. <sup>3</sup>Department of Ophthalmology, the Second People's Hospital of Yunnan Province, Kunming, China. <sup>4</sup>School of Public Health, Fudan University, 130 Dong An Road, Shanghai 200032, China. <sup>5</sup>Key Lab of Health Technology Assessment, National Health Commission of the People's Republic of China (Fudan University), Shanghai, China.

# Received: 1 April 2020 Accepted: 15 June 2020 Published online: 22 June 2020

#### References

- Matza LS, Swensen AR, Flood EM, Secnik K, Leidy NK. Assessment of healthrelated quality of life in children: a review of conceptual, methodological, and regulatory issues. Value Health. 2004;7:79–92.
- Kreimeier S, Oppe M, Ramos-Goñi JM, Cole A, Devlin N, Herdman M, Mulhern B, Shah KK, Stolk E, Rivero-Arias O, Greiner W. Valuation of EuroQol five-dimensional questionnaire, youth version (EQ-5D-Y) and EuroQol fivedimensional questionnaire, three-level version (EQ-5D-3L) health states: the impact of wording and perspective. Value Health. 2018;21:1291–8.
- Rajmil L, Alonso J, Berra S, Ravens-Sieberer U, Gosch A, Simeoni MC, Auquier P, KIDSCREEN group. Use of a children questionnaire of health-related quality of life (KIDSCREEN) as a measure of needs for health care services. J Adolesc Health. 2006;38:511–8.
- Varni JW, Limbers CA. The pediatric quality of life inventory: measuring pediatric health-related quality of life from the perspective of children and their parents. Pediatr Clin N Am. 2009;56:843–63.
- Ratcliffe J, Flynn T, Terlich F, Stevens K, Brazier J, Sawyer M. Developing adolescent-specific health state values for economic evaluation: an application of profile case best-worst scaling to the child health utility 9D. Pharmacoeconomics. 2012;30:713–27.
- Raat H, Mangunkusumo RT, Landgraf JM, Kloek G, Brug J. Feasibility, reliability, and validity of adolescent health status measurement by the child health questionnaire child form (CHQ-CF): internet administration compared with the standard paper version. Qual Life Res. 2007;16:675–85.
- Simeoni MC, Schmidt S, Muehlan H, Debensason D, Bullinger M, DISABKIDS Group. Field testing of a European quality of life instrument for children and adolescents with chronic conditions: the 37-item DISABKIDS chronic generic module. Qual Life Res. 2007;16:881–93.
- Ravens-Sieberer U, Wille N, Badia X, Bonsel G, Burström K, Cavrini G, Devlin N, Egmar AC, Gusi N, Herdman M, Jelsma J, Kind P, Olivares PR, Scalone L, Greiner W. Feasibility, reliability, and validity of the EQ-5D-Y: results from a multinational study. Qual Life Res. 2010;19:887–97.
- Wille N, Badia X, Bonsel G, Burström K, Cavrini G, Devlin N, Egmar AC, Greiner W, Gusi N, Herdman M, Jelsma J, Kind P, Scalone L, Ravens-Sieberer U. Development of the EQ-5D-Y: a child-friendly version of the EQ-5D. Qual Life Res. 2010;19:875–86.
- 10. Craig BM, Greiner W, Brown DS, Reeve BB. Valuation of child health-related quality of life in the United States. Health Econ. 2016;25:768–77.
- Chiou CF, Weaver MR, Bell MA, Lee TA, Krieger JW. Development of the multi-attribute pediatric asthma health outcome measure (PAHOM). Int J Qual Health Care. 2005;17:23–30.
- 12. Hsu CN, Lin HW, Pickard AS, Tain YL. EQ-5D-Y for the assessment of healthrelated quality of life among Taiwanese youth with mild-to-moderate chronic kidney disease. Int J Qual Health Care. 2018;30:298–305.
- Burström K, Bartonek Å, Broström EW, Sun S, Egmar AC. EQ-5D-Y as a health-related quality of life measure in children and adolescents with functional disability in Sweden: testing feasibility and validity. Acta Paediatr. 2014;103:426–35.
- Bergfors S, Åström M, Burström K, Egmar AC. Measuring health-related quality of life with the EQ-5D-Y instrument in children and adolescents with asthma. Acta Paediatrica. 2015;104(2):167–73.
- Eidt-Koch D, Mittendorf T, Greiner W. Cross-sectional validity of the EQ-5D-Y as a generic health outcome instrument in children and adolescents with cystic fibrosis in Germany. BMC Pediatr. 2009;9:55.
- Scott D, Ferguson GD, Jelsma J. The use of the EQ-5D-Y health related quality of life outcome measure in children in the Western cape, South Africa: psychometric properties, feasibility and usefulness - a longitudinal, analytical study. Health Qual Life Outcomes. 2017;15:12.
- Robles N, Rajmil L, Rodriguez-Arjona D, Azuara M, Codina F, Raat H, Ravens-Sieberer U, Herdman M. Development of the web-based Spanish and Catalan versions of the Euroqol 5D-Y (EQ-5D-Y) and comparison of results with the paper version. Health Qual Life Outcomes. 2015;13:72.
- Scalone L, Tomasetto C, Matteucci MC, Steller P, Broccoli S, Pacelli B, Cavrini G. Assessing quality of life in children and adolescents: development and validation of the Italian version of the EQ-5D-Y. Italian J Public Health. 2011;8:331–41.
- Canaway AG, Frew EJ. Measuring preference-based quality of life in children aged 6-7 years: a comparison of the performance of the CHU-9D and EQ-5D-Y--the WAVES pilot study. Qual Life Res. 2013;22:173–83.

- Kim SK, Jo MW, Kim SH. A cross sectional survey on health-related quality of life of elementary school students using the Korean version of the EQ-5D-Y. PeerJ. 2017;5:e3115.
- Shen AL, Zhang SG, Luo N, Wu B. Feasibility and Validity of EQ-5D-Y Used in Evaluating Health-related Quality of Life in Children with Hematological Malignancies. China Pharmacist. 2020;23:665-70.
- Wong CKH, Cheung PWH, Luo N, Cheung JPY. A head-to-head comparison of five-level (EQ-5D-5L-Y) and three-level EQ-5D-Y questionnaires in paediatric patients. Eur J Health Econ. 2019. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10198-018-1026-7 [Epub ahead of print].
- 23. Pan CW, Wu RK, Li J, Zhong H. Low prevalence of myopia among school children in rural China. BMC Ophthalmol. 2018;18:140.
- Pan CW, Wu RK, Wang P, Li J, Zhong H. Reduced vision, refractive errors and health-related quality of life among adolescents in rural China. Clin Exp Optom. 2018;101:758–63.
- Qian DJ, Zhong H, Li J, Liu H, Pan CW. Spectacles utilization and its impact on health-related quality of life among rural Chinese adolescents. Eye (Lond). 2018;32:1879–85.
- Li L, Zhong H, Li J, Li CR, Pan CW. Incidence of myopia and biometric characteristics of premyopic eyes among Chinese children and adolescents. BMC Ophthalmol. 2018;18(1):178.
- Jelsma J. A comparison of the performance of the EQ-5D and the EQ-5D-Y health-related quality of life instruments in south African children. Int J Rehabil Res. 2010;33:172–7.
- Hyde JS, Mezulis AH, Abramson LY. The ABCs of depression: integrating affective, biological, and cognitive models to explain the emergence of the gender difference in depression. Psychol Rev. 2008;115:291–313.
- Wang C, Li H, Xu D, Kane RL, Meng Q. Health literacy and ethnic disparities in health-related quality of life among rural women: results from a Chinese poor minority area. Health Qual Life Outcomes. 2013;11:153.
- Liu W, Lin R, Liu W, Guo Z, Xiong L, Li B, Cheng KK. Relationship between weight status and health-related quality of life in Chinese primary school children in Guangzhou: a cross-sectional study. Health Qual Life Outcomes. 2016;14:66.
- Schulte-Körne G. Mental health problems in school setting in children and adolescents. Deutsches Arzteblatt Int. 2016;113:183–90.

# **Publisher's Note**

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

#### Ready to submit your research? Choose BMC and benefit from:

- fast, convenient online submission
- thorough peer review by experienced researchers in your field
- rapid publication on acceptance
- support for research data, including large and complex data types
- gold Open Access which fosters wider collaboration and increased citations
- maximum visibility for your research: over 100M website views per year

#### At BMC, research is always in progress.

Learn more biomedcentral.com/submissions

