RESEARCH ARTICLE

BMC Public Health

Open Access

Ethnic variation in prevalence, self-reported barriers and outcome of cataract surgery in a rural population in southwestern China: the Yunnan minority eye study



Wei Shen¹, Qing Cun², Hua Zhong², Chen-Wei Pan³, Jun Li¹ and Qin Chen^{4*}

Abstract

Background: As a part of the Yunnan Minority Eye Studies, the purpose of this study was to determine the prevalence, barriers and visual acuity outcomes of cataract surgery in a multiethnic adult population in rural areas of southwestern China.

Methods: A population-based cross-sectional survey was conducted with participants of Bai, Yi, and Han ethnicity aged ≥50 years in Yunnan. A detailed eye examination was performed. Information on the date, setting, type, and complications of cataract surgery were recorded in the examination of cataract-operated eyes.

Results: Of 6546 subjects (2133 Bai ethnicity, 2208 Yi ethnicity and 2205 Han ethnicity), the prevalence of cataract surgery was 6.0%, with 4.6% in Bai, 7.0% in Yi, and 6.4% in Han ethnicity. Cataract Surgical coverage (CSC) among those with presenting visual acuity (PVA) < 20/200 in both eyes because of cataract was 53.3%, with 52.8% in Bai, 64.4% in Yi, and 45.3% in Han ethnicity. CSC was associated with Yi ethnicity, younger age, and higher education level, while unoperated cataract was associated with Han ethnicity, older age, and illiterate. The main barrier to cataract surgery was lack of awareness and knowledge, cost, and fear. Among the 525 cataract-operated eyes, PVA and best-corrected visual acuity (BCVA) of 20/63 or better was 44.5 and 67.2%, respectively, with 48.1 and 65.9% in Bai, 47.8 and 75.4% in Yi, 39.1 and 59.9% in Han ethnicity. Han ethnicity, aphakia, earlier year of surgery, lower-level surgical hospital and illiterate were associated with that defined by BCVA. The principal causes of postoperative visual impairment were retinal disorders (26.8%), posterior capsule opacification (25.1%), refractive error(22.7%), and glaucoma (9.3%).

Conclusions: Han ethnicity had a lower CSC and relatively poor visual outcomes compared with ethnic minorities. Further effective effort to remove barriers and provide sight restoration is warranted.

Keywords: Epidemiology, Cataract surgical coverage, Visual impairment, Barrier, Multiethnic, China

* Correspondence: chenkmu@163.com

⁴Department of Ophthalmology, the First Affiliated Hospital of Nanjing Medical University, Nanjing 210000, People's Republic of China Full list of author information is available at the end of the article



[©] The Author(s). 2020 **Open Access** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, with http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/. The Creative Commons Public Domain Dedication waiver (http://creativecommons.org/publicdomain/zero/1.0/) applies to the data made available in this article, unless otherwise stated in a credit line to the data.

Background

According to the World Health Organization (WHO) report of 2010, cataract is responsible for 51% of blindness and 33% of moderate and severe visual impairment [1]. We have to sigh that there are still so many people unknown that cataract surgery is the most effective treatment for cataract. As the leading cause of blindness worldwide, cataract is and should be a primary focus for public health ophthalmology. Population-based studies in different regional, racial and ethnic groups have shown significant differences in cataract surgical uptake and visual outcomes of cataract surgery. Recent population-based studies in developing countries show that the surgical uptake continues to remain low because of barriers such as cost, fear, ageism, and lack of awareness and knowledge [2-10]. The vision recovery after cataract surgery is usually not optimistic in most developing countries, and often fails to meet the recommended standards set by WHO [11].

The cataract surgical rate (CSR, cataract operations per million populations per year) in China has increased from 370 in 2000 to 1072 in 2012, but cataract is still the leading cause of blindness in China [12]. Cataract surgical coverage (CSC) and the quality of cataract surgery are also issues that still need to be addressed [2, 10, 12–21]. With the aging of Chinese population, this situation will be even more severe [22].

Yunnan with a multiethnic population including Han ethnicity and other 25 different recognized ethnic minorities is among the ethnically most diverse regions of China. The Han, Yi, and Bai people are the dominant ethnic groups of Yunnan. As an important part of the Yunnan Minority Eye Studies (YMES), the aim of this study was to determine whether ethnicity is associated with the prevalence, barriers and visual acuity outcomes of cataract surgery in a multiethnic adult population residing in the same region in rural areas of southwestern China.

Methods

The YMES are population-based studies in different ethnic groups including the Han ethnicity (major ethnic group) and other ethnic minorities in Yunnan province using the same study program. The detailed method of YMES, as well as the prevalence and outcome of cataract surgery in Bai people, had been reported in the early stage [2]. Now, we have completed the data collection for the other two ethnicities: Yi and Han. We will put together the data of the three ethnic groups for analysis. Same random cluster sampling strategies were adopted to select Bai, Yi and Han people aged \geq 50 years residing in rural areas in Yunnan, which is located in the southwestern China (eastern longitude 99° 58′ and northern latitude 25° 25′). The study was approved by the Kunming Medical University Ethics Review Board and was conducted in accordance with the tenets of the World Medical Association's Declaration of Helsinki.

The study procedures and definition of indicators related to cataract surgery have been described in detail reported previously [2, 22-25]. The qualified subjects were invited to study at the local community facilities. Presenting visual acuity (PVA), best corrected visual acuity (BCVA) was recorded and a comprehensive eye examination was carried out by an experienced ophthalmologist. Height, weight, systolic blood pressure, diastolic blood pressure and pulse rate for all subjects are measured using standard methods. A structured questionnaire was used to obtain information based on demographic data, educational level, socioeconomic status, smoking, alcohol consumption, personal ophthalmic and systemic disease history, and medication intake. Information on the date, setting, type, and complications of cataract surgery were recorded in the examination of cataract-operated eyes. Those with unoperated cataracts were asked why they did not receive cataract surgery. The questionnaire in Chinese provided several alternative common reasons for non-operation, including "unaware of cataract", "no need to have cataract surgery", "fear of surgery", "fear of further loss of vision after surgery", "could not afford to undergo cataract surgery", "not accompanied by others for surgery", "being told that there was a contraindication", "being told to wait until the cataract gets mature", and "undetermined" [2]. Cataract was diagnosed when lens opacity was commensurate with BCVA< 20/25 and there were no other abnormalities that can explain the decrease in VA [2].

Detailed definitions of post-operative cataract presumed severe visual impairment or blindness, unoperated cataract severe visual impairment or blindness, the social burden of cataract-related visual impairment and blindness and CSC among the cataract-related severe visual impairment or blindness are as described elsewhere [2]. We presumed that the cataract-operated eyes were severe visual impairment or blindness in the study because the indications for cataract surgery adopted by many eye care facilities in rural China were cataractinduced severely visual impairment or blindness.

Data management and analysis

Statistical analyses were performed using SPSS 20.0 for Windows (SPSS, Inc., Chicago, IL, USA). Effect estimates were calculated as odds ratio (ORs) and 95% confidence intervals (CIs). A P value < 0.05 levels was considered to be statistically significant.

One-way analysis of variance was used to compare continuous variables, and chi-square test was used to

compare categorical variables across different ethnic groups. The prevalence of cataract surgery and CSC among the cataract-related severely visually impaired or blind population in the study population was tabulated by ethnicity, age, gender, and education. Univariate analysis were used to investigate the association of ethnicity, age, gender, education, and other factors of interest with operated cataract, post-operative cataract with presumed severe visual impairment or blindness, unoperated cataract with severe visual impairment or blindness, the social burden of cataract-related severe visual impairment and blindness, and CSC among the cataractrelated severely visually impaired or blind population. In the multivariate logistic regression analyses models, only factors that were significantly different in univariate analysis (P < 0.10) or factors of scientific importance were retained, such as age, gender, ethnicity.

PVA and BCVA in cataract-operated eyes were listed and compared according to ethnicity, age, gender, education, intraocular lens (IOL) status, year of surgery and surgical setting. VA was classified into four categories: \geq 20/32, normal vision; 20/40 to 20/63, mild visual impairment; <20/63 to 20/200, moderate visual impairment; <20/200, severe visual impairment or blindness. Both univariate analysis and multiple logistic regression were used to determine the association of ethnicity, age, gender, education, IOL status, year of surgery, and surgical setting with PVA and BCVA less than 20/63 in postoperative eyes. Principal causes of visual impairment or blindness in cataract-operated eyes with PVA and BCVA less than 20/63 were identified.

Results

After excluding paticipantints with mental retardation, mental disorder or deafness, the data analysis included 6546 subjects. 2133 (77.8%) Bai ethnicity, 2208 (80.5%) Yi ethnicity and 2205 (82.0%) Han ethnicity were participated in this study, respectively [24]. The response rate of men (65.6%) is significantly lower than that of women (93.5%). Among all age groups, the 50–59 age group (67.4%) had the lowest response rate.

Table 1 shows the differences in demographic and systemic characteristics of the three ethnic groups. Adults of the Han ethnic group were less educated, oldest, had the lowest systolic and diastolic blood pressures; were most likely to smoke and suffer from diabetes. Adults of the Bai ethnic groups were best educated, youngest, heaviest, tallest, had highest systolic and diastolic blood pressures; were least likely to smoke and drink alcohol. Adults of the Yi ethnic group were lightest, shortest; were least likely to suffer from diabetes, and were most likely to smoke and drink alcohol.

Table 2 depicts the prevalence of cataract surgery for each ethnic group by age, gender, and education. 395 people (525 eyes) had undergone cataract surgery in one (265 subjects, 67.1%) or both eyes (130 subjects, 32.9%), representing a cataract surgery prevalence of 6.0% (95% CI, 5.5–6.6%), age- and sex-standardized prevalence 4.9% based on the population data of Yunnan Province in the sixth census of China in 2010 [26]. Bai ethnicity (4.6%) had a lowest cataract surgery prevalence compared with those of Han (6.4%) or Yi (7.0%).

In univariate analysis, cataract surgery prevalence was significantly associated with Yi and Han ethnicity (P = 0.003), increased age (P < 0.001), lower education level (P < 0.001), lower weight (P < 0.001), lower height (P < 0.001), lower levels of diastolic blood pressure (P = 0.003), diabetes history (P = 0.011), no smoking (P < 0.001), and no alcohol intake (P < 0.001).

Table 3 shows the multiple logistic regression which revealed that increasing age, Han and Yi ethnicity, lower educational level, diabetes history, and no alcohol intake were significantly associated with a higher prevalence of cataract surgery in this study.

Table 1 Demographic and systemic factors among the three ethnic groups

	Han ethnicity	Bai ethnicity	Yi ethnicity	P value
Age (years)	65.4 (9.5)	64.6 (9.0)	65.0 (9.2)	0.017
Female gender	1321 (59.9)	1364 (63.9)	1258 (57.0)	< 0.001
No formal education	1188 (53.9)	759 (35.6)	1142 (51.7)	< 0.001
Weight (kg)	52.1 (9.1)	54.8 (9.9)	49.2 (9.5)	< 0.001
Height (cm)	156.2 (8.3)	158.2 (11.5)	155.3 (8.5)	< 0.001
Body mass index (kg/m ²)	21.3 (3.2)	22.0 (5.2)	20.3 (3.2)	< 0.001
Systolic blood pressure (mmHg)	139.8 (24.8)	145.6 (25.6)	143.0 (26.0)	< 0.001
Diastolic blood pressure (mmHg)	78.1 (13.3)	80.2 (14.6)	78.6 (13.9)	< 0.001
Diabetes	57 (2.6)	44 (2.1)	24 (1.1)	0.001
Smoking	583 (26.4)	502 (23.5)	583 (26.4)	< 0.001
Alcohol intake	454 (20.6)	284 (13.7)	593 (26.9)	< 0.001

Continuous variables are expressed as mean (standard deviation). Categorical variables are expressed as frequencies (percentages)

	Yi ethnicity		Total		
No. (%) No. (%) No. (%) No. (%) No. (%) No. (%) 9 712 (323) 8 1.1 (0.4-1.9) 715 (33.5) 7 1.0 (0.3-1.7) 690 (31.3) 9 755 (34.2) 43 5.7 (4.0-7.4) 775 (36.3) 21 2.7 (1.6-3.9) 814 (36.9) 9 755 (34.2) 63 11.3 (86-13.9) 525 (24.5) 50 95 (7.0-12.0) 567 (25.7) 178 (8.1) 28 15.7 (10.4-21.1) 118 (5.5) 21 17.8 (10.0-24.7) 137 (6.2) 178 (8.1) 28 6.1 (4.5-77) 769 (36.1) 35 4.6 (3.1-6.0) 950 (43.0) 884 (40.1) 54 6.1 (4.5-77) 769 (36.1) 35 4.6 (3.1-6.0) 950 (43.0) ale 1321 (59.9) 88 6.7 (5.3-8.0) 1364 (63.9) 64 4.7 (3.6-5.8) 1258 (57.0) inn 1321 (59.9) 88 6.7 (5.3-8.0) 1364 (63.9) 64 4.7 (3.6-5.8) 1258 (57.0) inn 1321 (59.9) 88 6.7 (5.3-8.0) 1364 (63.9		Post-operative persons	Examined	Post-operative persons	erative
9 712 (32.3) 8 1.1 (0.4-1.9) 715 (33.5) 7 1.0 (0.3-1.7) 690 (31.3) 9 755 (34.2) 43 5.7 (4.0-7.4) 775 (36.3) 21 2.7 (1.6-3.9) 814 (36.9) 9 556 (25.4) 63 11.3 (86-13.9) 525 (24.5) 50 95 (7.0-12.0) 567 (25.7) 9 560 (25.4) 63 11.3 (8.6-13.9) 525 (24.5) 50 95 (7.0-12.0) 567 (35.7) 178 (8.1) 28 15.7 (10.4-21.1) 118 (5.5) 21 17.8 (10.0-24.7) 137 (6.2) 178 (8.1) 28 6.7 (5.3-8.0) 1364 (63.9) 64 4.7 (3.6-5.8) 1258 (57.0) ale 1321 (59.9) 88 6.7 (5.3-8.0) 1364 (63.9) 64 4.7 (3.6-5.8) 1258 (57.0) int 138 (53.9) 103 8.7 (7.1-10.3) 759 (35.6) 53 3.4 (1.8.4.9) 1142 (51.7) int 1188 (53.9) 103 8.7 (7.1-10.3) 759 (35.6) 53 3.4 (1.8.4.9) 1142 (51.7) int 1188 (53.9) 103 8.7 (7.1-10.3) 759 (35.6) 53 3.4 (1.8.4.9) <th></th> <th>No. %(95% CI)</th> <th>No. (%)</th> <th>No.</th> <th>%(95% CI)</th>		No. %(95% CI)	No. (%)	No.	%(95% CI)
9 712 (32.3) 8 1.1 (0.4-1.9) 715 (33.5) 7 1.0 (0.3-1.7) 690 (31.3) 9 755 (34.2) 43 5.7 (4.0-7.4) 775 (36.3) 21 2.7 (1.6-3.9) 814 (36.9) 9 560 (25.4) 63 11.3 (8.6-13.9) 525 (24.5) 50 9.5 (7.0-12.0) 567 (25.7) 9 560 (25.4) 63 11.3 (8.6-13.9) 525 (24.5) 50 9.5 (7.0-12.0) 567 (25.7) 178 (8.1) 28 15.7 (10.4-21.1) 118 (5.5) 21 17.8 (10.0-24.7) 137 (6.2) 178 (8.1) 54 61 (4.5-7.7) 769 (36.1) 35 4.6 (31-6.0) 950 (43.0) 178 (10.1) 54 61 (4.5-7.7) 769 (36.1) 35 4.6 (31-6.0) 950 (43.0) 1321 (59.9) 88 6.7 (5.3-8.0) 1364 (63.9) 64 4.7 (3.6-5.8) 1258 (57.0) ale 1321 (59.9) 88 6.7 (5.3-8.0) 1364 (63.9) 64 4.7 (3.6-5.8) 1258 (57.0) int 1321 (59.9) 88 6.7 (5.3-8.0) 1364 (63.9) 64 4.7 (3.6-5.8) 1258 (57.0) <tr< th=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></tr<>					
9 755 (34.2) 43 5.7 (4.0-7.4) 775 (36.3) 21 2.7 (1.6-3.9) 814 (36.9) 9 560 (25.4) 63 11.3 (86-13.9) 525 (24.5) 50 9.5 (7.0-12.0) 567 (25.7) 178 (8.1) 28 13.1 (3.66-13.9) 525 (24.5) 50 9.5 (7.0-12.0) 567 (25.7) 178 (8.1) 28 11.3 (8.6-13.9) 18 (5.5) 21 17.8 (10.0-24.7) 137 (6.2) 884 (40.1) 54 6.1 (4.5-7.7) 769 (36.1) 35 4.6 (3.1-6.0) 950 (43.0) ale 1321 (59.9) 88 6.7 (5.3-8.0) 1364 (63.9) 64 4.7 (3.6-5.8) 1258 (57.0) ale 1321 (59.9) 88 6.7 (5.3-8.0) 1364 (63.9) 64 4.7 (3.6-5.8) 1258 (57.0) ion 1321 (59.9) 88 6.7 (5.3-8.0) 1364 (63.9) 64 4.7 (3.6-5.8) 1258 (57.0) ion 1321 (59.9) 88 6.7 (5.3-8.0) 1364 (63.9) 64 4.7 (3.6-5.8) 1258 (57.0) ion 1188 (53.9) 103 8.7 (7.1-10.3) 759 (35.6) 53 3.4 (1.8-4.9)		11 1.6 (0.7–2.5)	2117 (32.3)	26	1.2 (0.8–1.7)
9 560 (25.4) 63 11.3 (86-139) 525 (24.5) 50 95 (70-120) 567 (25.7) 178 (8.1) 28 15.7 (10.4–21.1) 118 (5.5) 21 17.8 (10.0–24.7) 137 (6.2) . 884 (40.1) 54 6.1 (4.5–7.7) 769 (36.1) 35 4.6 (3.1–6.0) 950 (43.0) ale 1321 (59.9) 88 6.7 (5.3–8.0) 1364 (63.9) 64 4.7 (3.6–5.8) 1258 (57.0) ale 1321 (59.9) 88 6.7 (5.3–8.0) 1364 (63.9) 64 4.7 (3.6–5.8) 1258 (57.0) ale 1321 (59.9) 88 6.7 (5.3–8.0) 1364 (63.9) 64 4.7 (3.6–5.8) 1258 (57.0) ion 742 (33.7) 27 3.6 (2.3–5.0) 868 (40.7) 29 3.3 (1.2–4.5) 763 (34.6) 7205 (100) 142 64 (54–7.5) 2133 (100) 99 4.6 (3.8–5.5) 203 (100)		32 3.9 (2.6–5.3)	2344 (35.8)	96	4.1 (3.3–4.9)
178 (8.1) 28 15.7 (10.4-21.1) 118 (5.5) 21 17.8 (10.0-24.7) 137 (6.2) 884 (40.1) 54 6.1 (4.5-7.7) 769 (36.1) 35 4.6 (3.1-6.0) 950 (43.0) ale 1321 (59.9) 88 6.7 (5.3-8.0) 1364 (63.9) 64 4.7 (3.6-5.8) 1258 (57.0) ale 1321 (59.9) 88 6.7 (5.3-8.0) 1364 (63.9) 64 4.7 (3.6-5.8) 1258 (57.0) ale 1321 (59.9) 88 6.7 (5.3-8.0) 1364 (63.9) 64 4.7 (3.6-5.8) 1258 (57.0) ale 1321 (59.9) 88 6.7 (5.3-8.0) 1364 (63.9) 64 4.7 (3.6-5.8) 1258 (57.0) ion 742 (33.7) 27 3.6 (2.3-5.0) 868 (40.7) 29 3.3 (1.8-4.9) 1142 (51.7) 742 (33.7) 27 3.6 (2.3-5.0) 868 (40.7) 29 3.3 (2.2-4.5) 763 (34.6) 7205 (100) 142 64 (54-75) 2133 (100) 99 4.6 (38-5.5) 2038 (100) 2005 (100) 142 64 (54-75) 2133 (100) 99 4.6 (38-5.5) 2038 (100)		83 14.6 (11.7–17.6)	1652 (25.2)	196	11.9 (10.3–13.4)
 884 (40.1) 54 6.1 (4.5-7.7) 769 (36.1) 35 4.6 (3.1-6.0) 950 (43.0) 884 (40.1) 54 6.1 (4.5-7.7) 769 (36.1) 35 4.6 (3.1-6.0) 950 (43.0) ale 1321 (59.9) 88 6.7 (5.3-8.0) 1364 (63.9) 64 4.7 (3.6-5.8) 1258 (57.0) 1188 (53.9) 103 8.7 (7.1-10.3) 759 (35.6) 53 3.4 (1.8-4.9) 1142 (51.7) 1188 (53.9) 103 8.7 (7.1-10.3) 759 (35.6) 53 3.4 (1.8-4.9) 1142 (51.7) 1188 (53.9) 103 8.7 (7.1-10.3) 759 (35.6) 53 3.4 (1.8-4.9) 1142 (51.7) 1188 (53.9) 103 8.7 (7.1-10.3) 759 (35.6) 53 3.4 (1.8-4.9) 1142 (51.7) 1188 (53.9) 103 8.7 (7.1-10.3) 759 (35.6) 53 3.4 (1.8-4.9) 1142 (51.7) 1188 (53.9) 103 8.7 (7.1-10.3) 759 (35.6) 53 3.4 (1.8-4.9) 1142 (51.7) 128 (53.7) 27 3.6 (2.3-5.0) 868 (40.7) 29 3.3 (2.2-4.5) 763 (34.6) 2205 (100) 142 6.4 (5.4-7.5) 2133 (100) 99 4.6 (3.8-5.5) 2208 (100) 		28 20.4 (13.7–27.2)	433 (6.6)	77	17.8 (14.1–21.4)
884 (40.1) 54 6.1 (4.5-7.7) 769 (36.1) 35 4.6 (3.1-6.0) 950 (43.0) ale 1321 (59.9) 88 6.7 (5.3-8.0) 1364 (63.9) 64 4.7 (3.6-5.8) 1258 (57.0) ion 1188 (53.9) 103 8.7 (7.1-10.3) 759 (35.6) 53 3.4 (1.8-4.9) 1142 (51.7) 742 (33.7) 27 3.6 (2.3-5.0) 868 (40.7) 29 3.3 (2.2-4.5) 763 (34.6) 2005 (100) 142 64 (54-7.5) 2133 (100) 99 4.6 (38-5.5) 2208 (100)					
ale 1321 (59.9) 88 6.7 (5.3-8.0) 1364 (63.9) 64 4.7 (3.6-5.8) 1258 (570) ion 1188 (53.9) 103 8.7 (7.1-10.3) 759 (35.6) 53 3.4 (1.8-4.9) 1142 (51.7) 742 (33.7) 27 3.6 (2.3-5.0) 868 (40.7) 29 3.3 (2.2-4.5) 763 (34.6) 275 (12.5) 12 4.4 (2.0-6.8) 506 (23.7) 17 7.0 (5.2-8.8) 303 (13.7) 2205 (100) 142 6.4 (5.4-7.5) 2133 (100) 99 4.6 (3.8-5.5) 2208 (100)		52 5.5 (4.0–6.9)	2603 (39.8)	141	5.4 (4.6–6.3)
ion 1188 (53.9) 103 8.7 (7.1-10.3) 759 (35.6) 53 3.4 (1.8-4.9) 1142 (51.7) 742 (33.7) 27 3.6 (2.3-5.0) 868 (40.7) 29 3.3 (2.2-4.5) 763 (34.6) 275 (12.5) 12 4.4 (2.0-6.8) 506 (23.7) 17 7.0 (5.2-8.8) 303 (13.7) 2205 (100) 142 6.4 (54-7.5) 2133 (100) 99 4.6 (38-5.5) 2208 (100)		102 8.1 (6.6–9.6)	3943 (60.2)	254	6.4 (5.7–7.2)
1188 (53.9) 103 8.7 (7.1-10.3) 759 (35.6) 53 3.4 (1.8-4.9) 1142 (51.7) 5 742 (33.7) 27 3.6 (2.3-5.0) 868 (40.7) 29 3.3 (2.2-4.5) 763 (34.6) 6 275 (12.5) 12 4.4 (2.0-6.8) 506 (23.7) 17 7.0 (5.2-8.8) 303 (13.7) 7205 (100) 142 6.4 (5.4-7.5) 2133 (100) 99 4.6 (3.8-5.5) 2208 (100)					
742 (33.7) 27 3.6 (2.3-5.0) 868 (40.7) 29 3.3 (2.2-4.5) 763 (34.6) 275 (12.5) 12 4.4 (2.0-6.8) 506 (23.7) 17 7.0 (5.2-8.8) 303 (13.7) 2205 (100) 142 6.4 (5.4-7.5) 2133 (100) 99 4.6 (3.8-5.5) 2208 (100)		112 9.8 (8.1–11.5)	3089 (47.2)	268	8.7 (7.7–9.7)
275 (12.5) 12 4.4 (2.0-6.8) 506 (23.7) 17 7.0 (5.2-8.8) 303 (13.7) 2205 (100) 142 6.4 (5.4-7.5) 2133 (100) 99 4.6 (3.8-5.5) 2208 (100)		34 4.5 (3.0–5.9)	2373 (36.3)	06	3.8 (3.0–4.6)
2205 (100) 142 64 (24–75) 2133 (100) 99 46 (38–55) 2208 (100)		8 2.6 (0.8–4.5)	1084 (16.6)	37	3.5 (2.4–4.6)
	5 (3.8–5.5) 2208 (100)	154 7.0 (5.9–8.0)	6546 (100)	395	6.0 (5.5–6.6)

and education
gender, and e
y age,
inic group by age, g
ethnic
ach
y for e
surger
Cataract
2
e

Variables	Regression coefficent	Adjusted OR (95% CI) ^a	P value
Ethnicity			
Bai vs. Han	-0.358	0.70(0.52–0.93)	0.014
Yi vs. Han	0.200	1.22 (0.96–1.56)	0.111
Age (years)			
Per year increase	0.096	1.10 (1.09–1.12)	< 0.001
Gender			
Female vs. male	0.131	1.14 (0.87–1.50)	0.355
Education (years)			
1–6 vs. 0	-0.310	0.73 (0.56–0.96)	0.023
>6 vs. 0	-0.061	0.94 (0.63–1.40)	0.762
Weight (kg)			
Per kg increase	-0.110	0.99(0.98–1.00)	0.087
Height (cm)			
Per cm increase	-0.010	0.99 (0.98–1.00)	0.149
Systolic blood pressure (mmHg)			
Per mmHg increase	-0.003	1.00 (0.99–1.00)	0.164
Diastolic bloodpressure (mmHg)			
Per mmHg increase	-0.005	1.00 (0.99–1.00)	0.253
Diabetes			
No vs. Yes	-0.922	0.40 (0.22–0.72)	0.002
Smoking			
Ever vs. never	-0.020	0.98 (0.72–1.33)	0.899
Alcohol intake			
Ever vs. never	-0.438	0.65 (0.47–0.88)	0.006

Table 3 Multivariate analysis on the associated factors for cataract surgery

^aAdjusted for ethnicity, age, gender, education, diabetes history, and alcohol intake

Table 4 demonstrates postoperative and unoperated persons with cataract-related severe visual impairment or blindness (< 20/200) by ethnicity, age, gender, and education. Of the 395 postoperative persons, 276 were presumed to have been bilaterally severely visually impaired or blind (PVA < 20/200) at the time of initial surgery, including 130 bilaterally postoperative persons and 146 unilaterally postoperative persons with a severely visually impaired or blind fellow eye. Another 242 subjects were unoperated persons with cataract-related severe visual impairment or blindness, who were bilaterally severely visually impaired or blind (PVA < 20/200) because of cataract in one or both eyes. The social burden of cataract-related severe visual impairment or blindness (PVA < 20/200) included 518 (7.9%) of the study subjects. CSC among cataract-related severe visual impairment or blindness (PVA < 20/200) was 53.3%. Adults of Han ethnicity (45.3%) had a lowest CSC compared with those of Bai (52.8%) or Yi (64.4%).

Table 5 shows the association of ethnicity, age, gender, and education with cataract-related severe visual impairment or blindness (< 20/200) by univariate analysis.

Post-operative cataract with presumed severe visual impairment or blindness associated with increased age (P < 0.001), female gender (P = 0.035), and illiteracy (P < 0.001). Unoperated cataract with severe visual impairment or blindness associated with Han ethnicity (P < 0.001), increased age (P < 0.001) and little or no education (P < 0.001). The burden of cataract-related severe visual impairment or blindness was significantly associated with Han ethnicity (P < 0.001), increased age (P < 0.001), female gender (P = 0.012), and little or no education (P < 0.001). CSC was significantly associated with Yi ethnicity (P = 0.001) and younger age (P = 0.002). Table 6 further shows the results of multiple logistic regression analysis. Post-operative cataract with presumed severe visual impairment or blindness associated with increased age and illiteracy. Unoperated cataract with severe visual impairment or blindness associated with Han ethnicity, increased age and little or no education. The burden of cataract-related severe visual impairment or blindness was significantly associated with Han ethnicity, increased age, female gender, and little or

Variables	Examined	Cata	ract-operated	persons		Unopreted	persons		ract social	Cataract
		All c	operated	Presumed sev blindness ^{ac}	ere visual impairment or	Cataract sev blindness ^a	vere visual impairment or	burc	lon	surgical coverage _(%)
	No.	No.	Prevalence ^b	No.	Prevalence ^b	No.	Prevalence ^b	No	Prevalence ^b	_(70)
Ethnicity										
Han	2205	142	6.4	97	4.4	117	5.3	214	9.7	45.3
Bai	2133	99	4.6	76	3.6	68	3.2	144	6.8	52.8
Yi	2208	154	7.0	103	4.7	57	2.6	160	7.2	64.4
Age(years)									
50–59	2117	26	1.2	15	0.7	8	0.4	23	1.1	65.2
60–69	2344	96	4.1	63	2.7	36	1.5	99	4.2	63.6
70–79	1652	196	11.9	133	8.1	123	7.4	256	15.5	52.0
80+	433	77	17.8	65	15.0	75	17.3	140	32.3	46.4
Gender										
Male	2603	141	5.4	93	3.6	86	3.3	179	6.9	52.0
Female	3943	254	6.4	183	4.6	156	4.0	339	8.6	54.0
Education	(years)									
0	3089	268	8.7	197	6.4	169	5.5	366	11.8	53.8
1–6	2373	90	3.8	59	2.5	65	2.7	124	5.2	47.6
>6	1084	37	3.4	20	1.8	8	0.7	28	2.6	71.4
Total	6546	395	6.0	276	4.2	242	3.7	518	7.9	53.3

Table 4 Postoperative and unoperated persons with cataract-related severe visual impairment or blindness by ethnicity, age, gender, and education

^aVisual acuity < 20/200

^bPrevalence per 100 examined participants

^cIncludes all bilaterally operated persons and unilaterally operated persons with a blind fellow eye

no education. The lower CSC was significantly associated with Han ethnicity, increased age and less education level.

Subjects with severely visually impaired or blind because of cataract at least one eye mentioned that the most common reason for not receiving cataract surgery was that they were "unaware of cataract" (215 person, 62.0%), followed by "being told to wait until the cataract gets mature" (55 persons, 15.9%), "could not afford to undergo cataract surgery" (27 persons, 7.8%), "fear of further loss of vision after surgery" and "fear of surgery" (18 persons, 5.2%), "being told that there was a contraindication" (13 person, 3.7%),"no need to have cataract surgery" (11 persons, 3.2%), "not accompanied by others for surgery" (1 person, 0.3%), and "undetermined" (7 person, 2.0%).The constituent ratio of self-report barriers to cataract surgery was somewhat different among three ethnicities.

The percentage of eyes that were pseudophakic was 86.7% of 525 cataract-operated eyes, with 92.5% in Yi, 85.3% in Han, and 79.8% in Bai ethnicity. Multivariate logistic regression models revealed that there was no statistically significant difference between ethnic groups after adjusted for the year of surgery (Bai vs. Han OR 0.955, P = 0.898; Yi vs. Han OR 1.477, P = 0.291). Of 525 cataract-operated eyes, 84% were operated after 2001, 14.3% were operated before 2000, and the others (1.7%) were uncertain. The percentage of pseudophakic after 2000 was 93.7%, compared with 50.7% during or before 2000. Both univariate analysis (P < 0.001) and multivariate logistic regression (OR 0.070, P < 0.001)

Table 5 Association of ethnicity, age, gender, and education with cataract-related severe visual impairment or blindness (< 20/200) by univariate analysis

Variables	Post-operative presur impaired or blind	ned severely visually	Unoperated catarac impaired or blind	t severely visually	Cataract socia burdon	I	Surgical cover	age
	Test statistics	P value	Test statistics	P value	Test statistics	P value	Test statistics	P value
Ethnicity	3.536	0.171	25.306	< 0.001	15.018	< 0.001	13.365	0.001
Age(years)	253,654	< 0.001	351.527	< 0.001	654.945	< 0.001	10.159	0.002
Gender	4.431	0.035	1.875	0.171	6.372	0.012	0.193	0.660
Education(years)	68.404	< 0.001	60.080	< 0.001	131.436	< 0.001	5.367	0.068

Tression Adjusted OR P value Regression Adjusted OR P value Regression Adjusted OR P value Regression efficent (95% CI) ^a 0.792 -0.361 (0.70 (0.51-0.96) 0.027 -0.265 0.77 (0.60-0.97) 0.029 0.294 36 1.15 (0.86-1.53) 0.361 -0.700 0.50 (0.36-0.69) 0.001 -0.265 0.77 (0.61-0.97) 0.024 0.784 36 1.15 (0.86-1.53) 0.361 -0.700 0.50 (0.36-0.69) <0.001 -0.265 0.77 (0.61-0.97) 0.024 0.784 37 1.15 (0.86-1.53) 0.361 -0.700 0.50 (0.36-0.69) <0.001 -0.263 0.77 (0.61-0.97) 0.024 0.784 33 1.26 (0.96-1.67) 0.100 0.114 (1.12-1.16) <0.001 0.122 1.13 (1.12-1.15) <0.001 =0.043 470 0.63 (0.46-0.85) 0.03 -0.167 0.166 0.275 1.32 (1.06-1.07) 0.163 0.043 433 0.65 (0.40-1.05) 0.080 -0.945	Variables		Post-operatives severely visuor blind	Post-operative presumed severely visually impaired or blind		Unoperated visually impi	Unoperated cataract severely visually impaired or blind		Cataract social burdon	ial burdon		Surgical coverage	erage	
In -0.043 0.96 (0.70-1.32) 0.792 -0.361 0.70 (0.51-0.96) 0.027 -0.265 0.77 (0.60-0.97) 0.029 0.294 In 0.136 1.15 (0.86-1.53) 0.361 -0.700 0.50 (0.36-0.69) <0.001 -0.263 0.77 (0.61-0.97) 0.024 0.784 Increase 0.099 1.10 (1.09-1.12) <0.001 0.134 1.14 (1.12-1.16) <0.001 0.127 1.13 (1.12-1.15) <0.001 =0.043 Increase 0.033 1.26 (0.96-1.67) 0.100 0.211 1.24 (0.92-1.67) 0.166 0.275 1.32 (1.06-1.63) 0.012 0.063 Increase 0.233 1.26 (0.96-1.67) 0.010 0.211 1.24 (0.92-1.67) 0.166 0.275 1.32 (1.06-1.63) 0.012 0.063 -0.470 0.63 (0.46-0.85) 0.030 -0.027 0.97 (0.71-1.33) 0.867 -0.170 0.84 (0.66-1.07) 0.012 0.063 -0.433 0.65 (0.40-1.05) 0.080 -0.945 0.39 (0.19-0.81) 0.012 -0.456 0.63 (0.41-0.9			Regression coefficent	Adjusted OR (95% CI) ^a	<i>P</i> value	Regression coefficent	Adjusted OR (95% CI) ^b	<i>P</i> value		Adjusted OR (95% Cl) ^c	<i>P</i> value		Adjusted OR (95% CI) ^b	P value
0.136 1.15 (0.86-1.53) 0.361 -0.700 0.50 (0.36-0.69) < 0.001 -0.263 0.77 (0.61-0.97) 0.024 0.784 ncrease 0099 1.10 (1.09-1.12) < 0.001	Ethnicity	Bai vs. Han	-0.043	0.96 (0.70-1.32)	0.792	-0.361	0.70 (0.51–0.96)	0.027	- 0.265	0.77 (0.60-0.97)	0.029	0.294		0.187
Increase 0.099 1.10 (1.09-1.12) < 0.001 0.134 1.14 (1.12-1.16) < 0.001 0.127 1.13 (1.12-1.15) < 0.001 =0.043 i. Male 0.233 1.26 (0.96-1.67) 0.100 0.211 1.24 (0.92-1.67) 0.166 0.275 1.32 (1.06-1.63) 0.012 0.063 -0.470 0.63 (0.46-0.85) 0.003 -0.027 0.97 (0.71-1.33) 0.867 -0.170 0.84 (0.66-1.07) 0.168 -0.443 -0.433 0.65 (0.40-1.05) 0.080 -0.945 0.39 (0.19-0.81) 0.012 -0.146 0.63 (0.41-0.97) 0.037 0.559		Yi vs. Han	0.136	1.15 (0.86–1.53)	0.361	-0.700	0.50 (0.36–0.69)	< 0.001	- 0.263	0.77 (0.61–0.97)	0.024	0.784	2.19 (1.43–3.36) < 0.001	< 0.001
. Male 0.233 1.26 (0.96–1.67) 0.100 0.211 1.24 (0.92–1.67) 0.166 0.275 1.32 (1.06–1.63) 0.012 0.063 -0.470 0.63 (0.46–0.85) 0.003 -0.027 0.97 (0.71–1.33) 0.867 -0.170 0.84 (0.66–1.07) 0.168 -0.443 -0.433 0.65 (0.40–1.05) 0.080 -0.945 0.39 (0.19–0.81) 0.012 -0.456 0.63 (0.41–0.97) 0.037 0.559 the interval	Age(years)	Per year increase	660.0	1.10 (1.09–1.12)	< 0.001	0.134	1.14 (1.12–1.16)	< 0.001	0.127		< 0.001	=0.043	0.96 (0.93–0.99)	0.001
-0.470 0.63 (0.46-0.85) 0.003 -0.027 0.97 (0.71-1.33) 0.867 -0.170 0.84 (0.66-1.07) 0.168 -0.443 -0.433 0.65 (0.40-1.05) 0.080 -0.945 0.39 (0.19-0.81) 0.012 -0.456 0.63 (0.41-0.97) 0.037 0.559 the interval	Gender	Female vs. Male	0.233	1.26 (0.96–1.67)	0.100	0.211	1.24 (0.92–1.67)	0.166	0.275	1.32 (1.06–1.63)	0.012	0.063	1.07 (0.71-1.60)	0.761
-0.433 0.65 (0.40-1.05) 0.080 -0.945 0.39 (0.19-0.81) 0.012 -0.456 0.63 (0.41-0.97) 0.037 0.559	Education	1–6 vs. 0	-0.470	0.63 (0.46–0.85)	0.003	-0.027	0.97 (0.71–1.33)	0.867	-0.170		0.168	-0.443	0.64 (0.41–1.00) 0.049	0.049
OR odds ratios, C/ Confidence Interval	(years)	> 6 vs.0	-0.433	0.65 (0.40-1.05)	0:080	-0.945	0.39 (0.19–0.81)	0.012	-0.456		0.037	0.559	1.75 (0.73–4.21) 0.212	0.212
	OR odds rational for	DR odds ratios, C/ Confidence Interval	al											

<u>0</u>	
SS	
re	
eg	
L.	
tiC	
ist	
g	
<u> </u>	
le	
tip	
n	
Е	
\geq	
þ	
()	
20	
./(
2(
\checkmark	
S	
es	
É	
лC	
olii	
Ľ	
Ō	
Ę	
ē	
E	
air	
d	
E	
ua	
'i SI	
>	
ere	
>	
Se	
$\overline{\mathbf{n}}$	
te	
a	
re	
ť	
a(
tai	
at	
22	
n cat	
ith că	
with că	
n with câ	
tion with ca	
tion with	
ucation with ca	
ducation with	
education with	
d education with	
and education with	
and education with	
and education with	
nder, and education with	
and education with	
, gender, and education with	
iender, and education with	
e, gender, and education with	
e, gender, and education with	
e, gender, and education with	
e, gender, and education with	
e, gender, and education with	
e, gender, and education with	
e, gender, and education with	
e, gender, and education with	
e, gender, and education with	
e, gender, and education with	
e, gender, and education with	
iation of ethnicity, age, gender, and education with	
iation of ethnicity, age, gender, and education with	
iation of ethnicity, age, gender, and education with	
iation of ethnicity, age, gender, and education with	
iation of ethnicity, age, gender, and education with	

were show that higher percentage of pseudophakic was significantly associated with cataract surgeries in recent years.

Table 7 demonstrates PVA and BCVA of the 525 cataract-operated eyes by ethnicity, age, gender, education, intraocular lens status, year of surgery, and surgical setting. On the whole, we found a poor vision outcome (VA < 20/63) in 55.5% of eyes with PVA and in 32.8% with BCVA. In univariate analysis, higher prevalence of postoperative visual impairment (VA < 20/63) by both PVA and BCVA was significantly associated with Han ethnicity (P = 0.001), older age (P = 0.022), female (P = 0.001), illiteracy (P < 0.001), aphakia (P < 0.001), and year of surgery (P < 0.001). In multivariate logistic regression (Table 8), Han ethnicity, illiteracy, aphakia, earlier surgery year, and lower level surgical setting was significantly associated with postoperative visual impairment by PVA, while Han ethnicity, illiteracy, aphakia was significantly associated with postoperative visual impairment by BCVA.

Table 9 reveals the principal cause of presenting and best-corrected visual acuity< 20/63 in cataract-operated eyes. The leading causes of postoperative visual impairment by PVA were retinal disorders (78/291), posterior capsule opacification (PCO) (73/291) and refractive error (66/291), while those of postoperative visual impairment by BCVA were retinal disorders (65/172), PCO (28/172) and glaucoma (25/172). The main causes of postoperative visual impairment in each ethnic group have their own characteristics. The causes of visual impairment were somewhat different between aphakic and pseudophakic eyes. Avoidable visual impairment caused by refractive error, PCO, and pterygium accounted for 50.2% of cataract-operated eyes with visual impairment, in which Yi 58.7%, Bai 46.3%, and Han 45.0%.

Table 7 Presenting and best-corrected visual acuity of cataract-operated eyes by ethnicity, age, gender, education, intraocular lensstatus, year of surgery, and surgical setting

Variables	NO.(%) of	NO.(%) by	/ presenting vis	sual acuity		NO.(%) bes	st-corrected vis	ual acuity	
	eyes	≥20/32	20/40-20/63	< 20/63-20/200	< 20/200	≥20/32	20/40-20/63	< 20/63-20/200	< 20/200
Ethnicity									
Han	197 (37.5)	24 (12.2)	53(26.9)	60 (30.5)	60 (30.5)	63 (32.0)	55 (27.9)	34 (17.3)	45 (22.8)
Bai	129 (24.6)	29 (22.5)	33 (25.6)	30 (23.3)	37 (28.7)	78 (39.2)	72 (36.2)	30 (15.1)	19 (9.5)
Yi	199 (37.9)	27 (13.6)	68 (34.2)	72 (36.2)	32 (16.1)	55 (42.6)	30 (23.3)	14 (10.9)	30 (23.3)
Age(years)									
50–59	31 (5.9)	10 (32.3)	6 (19.4)	7 (22.6)	8 (25.8)	18 (58.1)	7 (22.6)	3 (9.7)	3 (9.7)
60–69	124 (23.6)	25 (20.2)	42 (33.9)	31 (25.0)	26 (21.0)	56 (45.2)	36 (29.0)	11 (8.9)	21 (16.9)
70–79	263 (50.1)	35 (13.3)	76 (28.9)	91 (34.6)	61 (23.2)	94 (35.7)	80 (30.4)	49 (18.6)	40 (15.2)
80+	107 (20.4)	10 (15.2)	30 (28.0)	33 (30.8)	34 (31.8)	28 (26.2)	34 (31.8)	15 (14.0)	30 (28.0)
Gender									
Male	193 (36.8)	43 (22.3)	62 (32.1)	54 (28.0)	34 (17.6)	88 (45.6)	60 (31.1)	21 (10.9)	24 (12.4)
Female	332 (63.2)	37 (11.1)	92 (27.7)	108 (32.5)	95 (28.5)	108 (32.5)	97 (29.2)	57 (17.2)	70 (21.1)
Education(years)									
0	355 (67.6)	38 (10.7)	96 (27.0)	123 (34.6)	98 (27.6)	109 (30.7)	106 (29.9)	71 (20.0)	69 (19.4)
1–6	124 (23.6)	23 (18.5)	45 (36.3)	33 (26.6)	23 (18.5)	56 (45.2)	43 (34.7)	6 (4.8)	19 (15.3)
> 6	46 (8.8)	19 (15.2)	13 (28.3)	6 (13.0)	8(17.4)	31 (67.4)	8 (17.4)	1 (2.2)	6 (13.0)
IOL status									
Pseudophakic	455 (86.7)	79 (17.4)	151 (33.2)	150 (33.0)	75 (16.5)	195 (42.9)	140 (30.8)	61 (13.4)	59 (13.0)
Aphakic	70 (13.3)	1 (1.4)	3 (4.3)	12 (17.1)	54 (77.1)	1 (1.4)	17 (24.3)	17 (24.3)	35 (50.0)
Year of surgery									
≥ 2001	441(84.0)	77 (17.5)	144 (32.7)	139 (31.5)	81 (18.4)	187 (42.4)	133 (30.2)	62 (14.1)	59 (13.0)
≤2000	75 (14.3)	3 (4.0)	10 (13.3)	21 (28.0)	41 (54.7)	8 (10.7)	24 (32.0)	15 (20.0)	28 (37.3)
Missing	9 (1.7)	0 (0)	0 (0)	2 (22.2)	7 (77.8)	1 (11.1)	0 (0)	1 (11.1)	7 (77.8)
Surgical setting									
County/ township	432 (82.3)	64 (14.8)	120 (27.8)	143 (33.1)	105 (24.3)	158 (36.6)	130 (30.1)	67 (15.5)	77 (17.8)
Provincial/ municipal	93 (17.7)	16 (17.2)	34 (36.6)	19 (20.4)	24 (25.8)	38 (40.9)	27 (29.0)	11 (11.8)	17 (18.3)
Total	525 (100.0)	80 (15.2)	154 (29.3)	162 (30.9)	129 (24.6)	196 (37.3)	157 (29.9)	78 (14.9)	94 (17.9)

Variables	Presenting visual acuity	/		Best-corrected visual ad	cuity	
	Regression coefficent	Adjusted OR (95% CL) ^a	P value	Regression coefficent	Adjusted OR (95% CL) ^a	P value
Ethnicity						
Han	Reference			Reference		
Bai	-0.584	0.56 (0.33–0.94)	0.029	-0.205	0.81 (0.48–1.38)	0.443
Yi	- 0.243	0.78 (0.51–1.21)	0.268	-0.631	0.53 (0.34–0.84)	0.007
Age(years)						
50–59	Reference			Reference		
60–69	-0.383	0.68 (0.27-1.70)	0.412	0.006	1.01 (0.34–2.96)	0.991
70–79	0.20	1.02 (0.43–2.45)	0.965	0.439	1.55 (0.55–4.34)	0.404
80+	-0.130	0.88 (0.34–2.26)	0.787	0.645	1.91 (0.65–5.61)	0.241
Gender						
Male	Reference			Reference		
Female	0.319	1.38 (0.89–2.12)	0.150	0.426	1.53 (0.95–2.46)	0.079
Education(years)						
0	Reference			Reference		
1–6	-0.728	0.48 (0.31–0.76)	0.002	-0.994	0.37 (0.22–0.63)	< 0.001
> 6	-1.348	0.26 (0.12–0.55)	< 0.001	-1.343	0.26 (0.11–0.63)	0.003
IOL status						
Pseudophakic	Reference			Reference		
Aphakic	2.470	11.82 (4.02–34.76)	< 0.001	2.056	7.82 (4.28–14.28)	< 0.001
Year of surgery						
≥ 2001	Reference			Reference		
≤ 2000	1.115	3.05 (1.49–6.26)	0.002	0.603	1.83 (0.98–3.40)	0.057
Surgical setting						
County/ township	Reference			Reference		
Provincial/ municipal	-0.581	0.56 (0.34–0.92)	0.021	-0.143	0.87 (0.51–1.47)	0.597

Table 8 Effect of variables on presenting and best-corrected visual acuity< 20/63 in cataract-operated eyes by multiple logistic regression analysis</th>

^aAdjusted for all other variable in table

Discussion

This current multiethnic study provided vital epidemiological data for accurate comparison of three ethnic differences in the prevalence, surgical coverage, barriers and visual acuity outcomes of cataract surgery in adults aged \geq 50 years in rural areas of southwest China.

A striking finding of this study is that people of different ethnicity have different cataract surgery uptake and visual outcomes, even if they reside in the same environment. One of the surprised things about our study is that CSC was the lowest in Han ethnicity among three ethnicities. The prevalence of cataract surgery in Han ethnicity was not low, but Han ethnicity was particularly affected by severe visual impairment or blindness because of unoperated cataract, as reflected in a greater total cataract social burden. To make matters worse, we found Han ethnicity was more likely to be associated with a poor outcome than Yi and Bai ethnicity. The prevalence of cataract surgery and cataract social burden in Bai ethnicity was the lowest, but CSC was not the lowest among three ethnics. CSC and better BCVA outcomes significantly differentially favored Yi ethnicity. The ethnic disparity in cataract social burden reflects the ethnic disparity in the prevalence of cataract-related severe visual impairment or blindness. The ethnic disparity in CSC reflects the ethnic disparity in the degree of cataract surgical services to meet the needs of people. Ethnic covers a number of factors including genetics, history, culture, language, religion or behavior. These factors could not be captured by current study. Since Chinese government's preference policies, ethnic minorities got more opportunities for cataract operations compared with Han ethnicity. The sixth national census data show that Yunnan Han population accounts for 66.63% of the total population [26]. One of the pressing problems confronting us today is that large Han population increased the difficulty of prevention of blindness. Free cataract surgery is a benefiting people's measure in

Principal cause	Presenting visual acuity	isual acuity					Best-correc	Best-corrected visual acuity	lity			
	Ethnicity			IOL status		Total	Ethnicity			IOL status		Total
	Han	Bai	Ϋ́	Aphakic	Pseudophakic		Han	Bai	Ξ	Aphakic	Pseudophakic	
Refractive error/uncorrected aphakia	22 (18.3)	22 (32.8)	22 (21.2)	18 (27.3)	48 (21.3)	66 (22.7)	8 (10.1)	(0) 0	2 (4.1)	8 (15.4)	2 (1.7)	10 (5.8)
Retinal disorder	35 (29.2)	15 (22.4)	29 (27.1)	15 (22.7)	63 (28.0)	78 (26.8)	28 (35.4)	14 (31.8)	23 (46.9)	13 (25.0)	52 (43.4)	65 (37.8)
Age-related macular degeneration	20 (16.7)	8 (11.9)	16 (15.4)	6 (9.1)	38 (16.9)	44 (15.1)	14 (17.7)	8 (18.2)	12 (24.5)	6 (11.5)	28 (23.3)	34 (19.8)
Macular epiretinal membrane	2 (1.7)	(0) 0	1 (1.0)	(0) 0	3 (1.3)	3 (1.0)	1 (1.3)	(0) 0	1 (2.0)	(0) 0	2 (1.7)	2 (1.2)
Macular hole	(0) 0	1 (1.5)	(0) 0	(0) 0	1 (0.4)	1 (0.3)	(0) 0	1 (2.3)	(0) 0	(0) 0	1 (0.8)	1 (0.6)
Retinal detachment	3 (2.5)	1 (1.5)	3 (2.9)	2 (3.0)	5 (2.2)	7 (2.4)	3 (3.8)	1 (2.3)	3 (6.1)	2 (3.8)	5 (4.2)	7 (4.1)
Angiogenic retinopathy	1 (0.8)	(0) 0	(0) 0	(0) 0	1 (0.4)	1 (0.3)	1 (1.3)	(0) 0	(0) 0	(0) 0	1 (0.8)	1 (0.6)
Diabetic retinopathy	1 (0.8)	(0) 0	(0) 0	(0) 0	1 (0.4)	1 (0.3)	1 (1.3)	(0) 0	(0) 0	(0) 0	1 (0.8)	1 (0.6)
Proliferative vitreoretinopathy	(0) 0	(0) 0	2 (1.9)	2 (3.0)	(0) 0	2 (0.7)	(0) 0	(0) 0	1 (2.0)	1 (1.9)	(0) 0	1 (0.6)
Retinitis pigmentosa	2 (1.7)	(0) 0	1 (1.0)	(0) 0	3 (1.3)	3 (1.0)	2 (2.5)	(0) 0	1 (2.0)	(0) 0	3 (2.5)	3 (1.7)
Vitreous haze	0 (0)	2 (3.0)	(0) 0	2 (3.0)	(0) 0	2 (0.7)	(0) 0	2 (4.5)	(0) 0	2 (3.8)	(0) 0	2 (1.2)
High myopic retinopathy	6 (5.0)	3 (4.5)	6 (5.0)	3 (4.5)	11 (4.9)	14 (4.8)	6 (7.6)	2 (4.5)	5 (10.2)	2 (3.8)	11 (9.2)	13 (7.6)
Posterior capsule opacification	30 (25.0)	5 (7.5)	38 (36.5)	4 (6.1)	69 (30.7)	73 (25.1)	12 (15.2)	5 (11.4)	11 (22.4)	3 (5.8)	25 (20.8)	28 (16.3)
Glaucoma	12 (10.0)	10 (14.9)	5 (4.8)	8 (12.1)	19 (8.4)	27 (9.3)	11 (13.9)	10 (22.7)	4 (8.2)	8 (15.4)	17 (14.2)	25 (14.5)
Optic atrophy	10 (8.3)	8 (11.9)	5 (4.8)	13 (19.7)	10 (4.4)	23 (7.9)	9 (11.4)	8 (18.2)	4 (8.2)	12 (23.1)	9 (7.5)	21 (12.2)
Corneal opacity/scar	5 (4.2)	1 (1.5)	3 (2.9)	4 (6.1)	5 (2.2)	9 (3.1)	5 (6.3)	1 (2.3)	3 (6.1)	4 (7.7)	5 (4.2)	9 (5.2)
Pterygium	2 (1.7)	4 (6.0)	1 (1.0)	2 (3.0)	5 (2.2)	7 (2.4)	2 (2.5)	4 (9.1)	(0) 0	2 (3.8)	4 (3.3)	6 (3.5)
Uveitis	2 (1.7)	(0) 0	1 (1.0)	1 (1.5)	2 (0.9)	3 (1.0)	2 (2.5)	(0) 0	1 (2.0)	1 (1.9)	2 (1.7)	3 (1.7)
amblyopia	1 (0.8)	(0) 0	(0) 0	(0) 0	1 (0.4)	1 (0.3)	1 (1.3)	(0) 0	(0) 0	(0) 0	1 (0.8)	1 (0.6)
Other cause	1 (0.8)	1 (1.5)	(0) 0	1 (1.5)	1 (0.4)	2 (0.7)	1 (1.3)	1 (2.3)	(0) 0	1 (1.9)	1 (0.8)	2 (1.2)
Undetermined	(0) 0	1 (1.5)	1 (1.0)	(0) 0	2 (0.9)	2 (0.7)	(0) 0	1 (2.3)	1 (2.0)	(0) 0	2 (1.7)	2 (1.2)
Total	120 (100.0)	67 (100.0)	104 (100.0)	66 (100.0)	225 (100.0)	291 (100.0)	79 (100.0)	44 (100.0)	49 (100.0)	52 (100.0)	120 (100.0)	172 (100.0)

China, but it only targets the poor, and is not the best way to solve the problem of cataract blindness. It is imperative to establish a new type of cooperative medical system in rural areas. Both the previous new rural cooperative medical insurance and the integrated urban and rural residents' basic medical insurance have significantly reduced the proportion of farmers paying for cataract surgery. There is also a more preferential medical bill settlement policy for poor farmers. Eye care services can be made affordable by both the government and farmer.

Population-based studies have reported a wide range of prevalence of cataract surgery across different ethnic groups in different countries. For example, the prevalence of cataract surgery was reported to be 3.9% in Sri Lanka [4], 6.28% in Brazil [27], 7.0% in Nepal [28], 17.6% in India [29]. The prevalence of cataract surgery of 6.0% as found among the multiethnic people in this study was considerably higher than that found earlier in China [9, 10, 14–17]. The result indicates a striking increase in the prevalence of cataract surgery in rural China in recent years. The reasons may be as follows: First, the Chinese government attaches importance to the prevention of blindness in ethnic minority areas and has given preferential policies, financial subsidies and technical support. Second, with the rapid development of local economy, sustained effort on combating cataract blindness is performed in Yunnan in recent years. Furthermore, more and more non-governmental organizations are involved in the prevention and treatment of cataract blindness.

In this study, the CSC of the multiethnic population was 53.3%, which is at a medium level compared with previous studies in China [9, 10, 14–17] or other developing countries [4-8, 27-31]. For whatever reason, nearly half of Yunnan people with bilateral visual impairment or blindness because of cataract did not receive cataract surgery. The great social burden of cataractrelated severe visual impairment or blindness of 7.9% as found among the multiethnic people in the study was remarkably higher than that found in the China Nine-Province Survey (3.83%) [17] and Beijing (3.48%) [16]. In this study, the social burden of cataract -related severe visual impairment or blindness was used as an index to estimate the severity of cataract. In the actual calculation, due to the lack of preoperative visual acuity data, we use the same method of the above two studies to make the necessary assumptions when identifying the cataract severe visual impairment or blind who have undergone cataract surgery. This is a fact that the magnitude of cataract blindness problem is high and the demand for service is obvious in rural Yunnan. This could be explained by multiple reasons. First of all, Yunnan is located in the Yunnan-Guizhou Plateau at high altitude and low latitude, with long sunshine time and high ultraviolet intensity. Moreover, farmers were exposed to high-intensity plateau ultraviolet radiation, working outdoors with low degree of agricultural mechanization and automation. Last but not least, other factors such as hypoxia, vitamin and micronutrient deficiencies may also play a role.

With regard to other risk factors, our study confirms the association of increased age, lower educational level, diabetes history, and no alcohol intake with higher prevalence of cataract surgery. As shown in Table 4 and Table 6, the Han ethnicity, the elderly and those with little or no education were particularly affected by a greater cataract social burden. Although with higher prevalence of cataract surgery, the elderly were particularly affected by severe visual impairment or blindness because of both post-operative and unoperated cataract. However, CSC significantly differentially favored younger people. The rapidly ageing population in China may be one of the reasons for the higher burden of visual impairment or blindness due to cataract. Although there was no significant association between gender and CSC, the overall risk of cataract-related severe impairment or blindness was higher in women, which was reflected in a larger total burden, as reflected in a greater total burden, as shown in Table 4. The barrier to surgery was lack of awareness and knowledge, cost, and fear.

Our findings indicate the main self-reported barriers to cataract surgery in multiethnic population were lack of awareness and knowledge, cost, and fear, similar to other previous studies [2-10]. First, knowledge and awareness was the main barrier for cataract surgery uptake. Most cataract blind people did not know what cataracts are and did not know that cataracts can be cured before their eyes were examined by us. They would not take the initiative to seek help from eye doctors, did not know how to get treatment and could not get timely, convenient medical treatment. Public health education should start at a young age. Second, cost was the main barrier for unwillingness to pay for cataract surgery. In recent years, the continued development of cataract blindness prevention programs has been popular in China. These projects address the massive backlog of cataract blindness. Most post-operative subjects in this study received free surgery. New cataract patients are determined to wait for the next free cataract operations and are very reluctant to undergo any form of paid surgery. However, no government, organization, or individual can afford to provide free cataract services permanently. Government should use limited funds make the treatment more cataract patients with severe visual impairment or blindness. Some cataract patients without severe visual impairment or blindness may be told to wait until the cataract gets mature. Third, fear was due to inadequate knowledge and awareness, and low quality

of surgical outcome. We need to consider education, cost and quality of surgery to find a long-lasting and effective solution to improve cataract surgery uptake.

A good vision outcome (VA $\ge 20/63$) was found in 44.5% of postoperative eyes with PVA and in 67.2% with BCVA in multiethnic population. According to the standards of World Health Organization [32], visual acuity outcomes of cataract surgery in multiethnic population in the study were generally poor except for the Yi people. Numerous factors come into play as to postoperative visual impairment in multiethnic population, including aphakia, Han ethnicity, earlier surgery year, illiteracy, and lower level surgical setting. The survey highlighted important aphakia associations with unsatisfactory cataract surgical outcomes. Visual outcome was better with IOL implantation than without it, as has been observed in many other studies [2, 7–9, 17–19, 29, 30]. Aphakic is more prone to surgical complications, and it is more difficult to obtain satisfactory refractive correction. The proportion of IOL implantation in multiethnic population was 86.7%. The proportion is similar to other economically developed areas, such as Liwan (87.8%) and Hong Kong (86.9%), is much higher than that in Tibet (40%) [9], Kunming (64.8%) [10], Shunyi (39.7%) [14], Doumen (5.9%) [15], Harbin (61.8%) [18], and the China Nine-Province Survey (78.4%) [17], and is much lower than those in Beijing (92.5%) [16]. The proportion of IOL implantation associated with ethnicity and year of surgery in our study. Yi ethnicity has the highest implantation rate, followed by Han ethnicity and Bai ethnicity. The visual outcomes of the eyes operated on after 2000 tended to be better than that of those operated on during or before 2000, due to improved surgical technique and higher proportion of pseudophakic. Illiteracies tended to be relatively poor visual outcomes with untreated surgical complications or concomitant diseases. Cataract surgeries performed on subjects in lower level surgical settings were more likely to be associated with a poor outcome than those in provincial or municipal hospitals, and there was a higher likelihood of free surgery in rural areas. There is a continued need for the advanced technology and trained surgeons to reduce surgical complications.

This study underscores the importance of retinal disorders, refractive error or uncorrected aphakia, PCO, and glaucoma as main causes of postoperative visual impairment in multiethnic population, similar to the findings in Liwan [19] and Hong Kong [20]. Some retinal diseases and glaucoma may exist before surgery, the other one may be gradually emerged with age after surgery, and others may be surgical complications. The tractable causes of impairment and blindness, such as refractive error, PCO, and pterygium, accounted for 50.2% of cataract-operated eyes with visual impairment in the study. The cause of refractive error is aphakic, excessive surgical incision, deviation of intraocular lens power measurement, and absence of optometry. The high prevalence of PCO is alarming due to the fact that there are very few Nd:YAG laser facilities outside tertiary hospitals in Yunnan. These findings emphasize the importance of strengthening preoperative screening, maintaining quality of surgery with IOL, postoperative follow-up, and providing corrective glasses if needed when increasing the number of surgeries.

The principal strengths of the study include multiethnic participants, a large sample size, and reasonable response rates. There were some limitations in this study. Firstly, the relatively low response rate of the youngest group (67.4%) and male (65.9%) are responsible for the 20% non-response rate, which was may lead to an overestimation of the true burden of cataract-related severe visual impairment and blindness. Those absent younger men may be healthier, who were working in urban cities. Secondly, when analyzing risk factors, the ability to assess causality is limited by cross-sectional design.

Conclusions

Ethnicity was significantly associated with surgical uptake and visual outcomes of cataract surgery in a multiethnic adult population in rural China, with Han ethnicity having a lower CSC and relatively poor visual outcomes of cataract surgery compared with ethnic minorities. Knowledge and awareness, cost, fear barriers in this population impede access to surgery. Further effort to remove these barriers and provide sight restoration is warranted among multiethnic individuals living in rural south-western China. The sound data will be used during the prioritization of resources and planning of eye care programs in the region.

Abbreviations

WHO: World Health Organization; CSR: cataract surgical rate; CSC: Cataract surgical coverage; YMES: Yunnan Minority Eye Studies; PVA: Presenting visual acuity; BCVA: best corrected visual acuity; CIs: Confidence intervals; OR: odds ratios; PCO: posterior capsule opacification; IOL: intraocular len

Acknowledgments

The authors thank Min Luo, Kunming Medical University for paper revises.

Authors' contributions

WS, QC1, HZ, JL, CWP, and QC2 contributed to the study design; data acquisition, analysis, and interpretation; and manuscript preparation. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Funding

Supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China under grant No.81760170 and No.81260153, Yunnan Province Medical and Health Internal Research Institution Project under grant No. 2017NS132, the Association Foundation Program of Yunnan Provincial Science and Technology Department and Kunming Medical University under grant No. 2018FE001(– 079), Provincial Innovation Team for Cataract and Ocular Fundus Disease, the Second People's Hospital of Yunnan Province under grant No. 2017HC010, and Expert Workstation of Yao Ke under grant No. 2017IC064. The founders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

Availability of data and materials

The datasets used and/or analysed during the current study are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

Ethics approval and consent to participate

Ethical approval was obtained from the Kunming Medical University Ethics Review Board. Consent to participate in the study was provided in written format. For participants with cognitive impairment, consent was provided by their family members or proxies.

Consent for publication

Not applicable.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests. Chen-Wei Pan is currently acting as an Editorial Board Member for BMC Public Health.

Author details

¹Department of Ophthalmology, the Fourth Affiliated Hospital of Kunming Medical University (the Second People's Hospital of Yunnan Province), Yunnan Eye Institute, Key Laboratory of Yunnan Province for the Prevention and Treatment of Ophthalmology, Kunming 650032, People's Republic of China. ²Department of Ophthalmology, the First Affiliated Hospital of Kunming Medical University, Kunming, China. ³School of Public Health, Medical College of Soochow University, Suzhou, China. ⁴Department of Ophthalmology, the First Affiliated Hospital of Nanjing Medical University, Nanjing 210000, People's Republic of China.

Received: 6 June 2019 Accepted: 28 May 2020 Published online: 09 June 2020

References

- World Health Organization. Global data on visual impairments 2010, Available: http://www.who.int/blindness/GLOBALDATAFINALforweb.pdf. Accessed 25 Dec 2014.
- Shen W, Yang Y, Yu M, Li J, Wei T, Li X, et al. Prevalence and outcomes of cataract surgery in adult rural Chinese populations of the Bai nationality in Dali: the Yunnan minority eye study. PLoS One. 2013;8(4):e60236. https://doi. org/10.1371/journal.pone.0060236.
- Sapkota YD, Pokharel GP, Dulal S, Byanju RN, Maharjan IM. Barriers to up take cataract surgery in Gandaki Zone, Nepal. Kathmandu Univ Med J (KUMJ). 2004;2:103–12.
- Athanasiov PA, Edussuriya K, Senaratne T, Sennanayake S, Selva D, Casson RJ. Cataract in Central Sri Lanka: cataract surgical coverage and self-reported barriers to cataract surgery. Clin Exp Ophthalmol. 2009;37:780–4.
- Jadoon Z, Shah SP, Bourne R, Dineen B, Khan MA, Gilbert CE, et al. Cataract prevalence, cataract surgical coverage and barriers to uptake of cataract surgical services in Pakistan: the Pakistan National Blindness and visual impairment survey. Br J Ophthalmol. 2007;91:1269–73.
- Athanasiov PA, Casson RJ, Newland HS, Shein WK, Muecke JS, Selva D, et al. Cataract surgical coverage and self-reported barriers to cataract surgery in a rural Myanmar population. Clin Exp Ophthalmol. 2008;36:521–5.
- Wadud Z, Kuper H, Polack S, Lindfield R, Akm MR, Choudhury KA, et al. Rapid assessment of avoidable blindness and needs assessment of cataract surgical services in Satkhira District, Bangladesh. Br J Ophthalmol. 2006;90:1225–9.
- Rabiu MM, Muhammed N. Rapid assessment of cataract surgical services in Birnin-Kebbi local government area of Kebbi state. Ophthalmic Epidemiol. 2008;15:359–65.
- Bassett KL, Noertjojo K, Liu L, Wang FS, Tenzing C, Wilkie A, et al. Cataract surgical coverage and outcome in the Tibet autonomous region of China. Br J Ophthalmol. 2005;89:5–9.
- 10. Wu M, Yip JL, Kuper H. Rapid assessment of avoidable blindness in Kunming, China. Ophthalmology. 2008;115:969–74.
- 11. Johnson GJ. Improving outcome of cataract surgery in developing countries. Lancet. 2000;355:158–9.
- Zhao JL. [To increase cataract surgical rate is a task of top priority in prevention of blindness in China]. [article in Chinese] Zhonghua Yi Xue Za Zhi 2013; 93: 3729–3730.

- China Disabled Person's Federation. China statistical yearbook on the works for persons with disabilities-2012. 1st ed. Beijing: China Statistic Press; 2012. p. 26-7.
- 14. Zhao JL, Jia L, Sui R, Ellwein LB. Prevalence of blindness and cataract surgery in Shunyi County, China Am J Ophthalmol1998; 126: 506–514.
- Li S, Xu J, He M, Wu K, Munoz SR, Ellwein LB. A survey of blindness and cataract surgery in Doumen County, China. Ophthalmology. 1999;106:1602–8.
- Liu B, Xu L, Wang YX, Jonas JB. Prevalence of cataract surgery and postoperative visual outcome in greater Beijing: the Beijing eye study. Ophthalmology. 2009;116:1322–31.
- Zhao J, Ellwein LB, Cui H, Ge J, Guan H, Lv J, et al. Prevalence and outcomes of cataract surgery in rural China the China nine-province survey. Ophthalmology. 2010;117:2120–8.
- Li Z, Cui H, Zhang L, Liu P, Yang H. Cataract blindness and surgery among the elderly in rural southern Harbin, China. Ophthalmic Epidemiol. 2009;16: 78–83.
- Huang W, Huang G, Wang D, Yin Q, Foster PJ, He M. Outcomes of cataract surgery in urban southern China: the Liwan eye study. Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci. 2011;52:16–20.
- Lau J, Michon JJ, Chan WS, Ellwein LB. Visual acuity and quality of life outcomes in cataract surgery patients in Hong Kong. Br J Ophthalmol. 2002; 86:12–7.
- Hsu WM, Cheng CY, Liu JH, Tsai SY, Chou P. Prevalence and causes of visual impairment in an elderly Chinese population in Taiwan: the Shihpai eye study. Ophthalmology. 2004;111:62–9.
- World Health Organization. Vision 2020 launched in the Western Pacific. Available: http://www.who.int/inf-pr-1999/en/pr99-46.html. Accessed 16 May 2012.
- Li J, Zhong H, Cai N, Luo TH, Li JJ, Su X, et al. The prevalence and causes of visual impairment in an elderly Chinese Bai ethnic rural population: the Yunnan minority eye study. Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci. 2012;53:4498–504.
- 24. Pan CW, Zhao CH, Yu MB, Cun Q, Chen Q, Shen W, et al. Prevalence, types and awareness of glaucoma in a multi-ethnic population in rural China: the Yunnan minority eye study. Ophthalmic Physiol Opt. 2016;36:664–70.
- Chen LX, Zong Y, Wei T, Sheng X, Shen W, Li J, et al. Prevalence, awareness, medication, control, and risk factors associated with hypertension in Yi ethnic group. BMC Public Health. 2015;15:383.
- Population Census Office under the State Council, Department of population and employment statistics of National Bureau of Statistics. Tabulation on the 2010 population census of the People's Republic of China. 1st ed. Beijing: China Statistics Press; 2012. p. 35.
- Salomão SR, Soares FS, Berezovsky A, Araújo-Filho A, Mitsuhiro MR, Watanabe SE, et al. Prevalence and outcomes of cataract surgery in Brazil: the São Paulo eye study. Am J Ophthalmol. 2009;148:199–206.
- Sherchan A, Kandel RP, Sharma MK, Sapkota YD, Aghajanian J, Bassett KL. Blindness prevalence and cataract surgical coverage in Lumbini zone and Chetwan District of Nepal. Br J Ophthalmol. 2010;94:161–6.
- Murthy GV, Vashist P, John N, Pokharel G, Ellwein LB. Prevalence and visionrelated outcomes of cataract surgery in Gujarat, India. Ophthalmic Epidemiol. 2009;16:400–9.
- Limburg H, Silva JC, Foster A. Cataract in Latin America: findings from nine recent surveys. Rev Panam Salud Publica. 2009;25:449–55.
- Bourne R, Dineen B, Jadoon Z, Lee PS, Khan A, Johnson GJ, et al. Outcomes of cataract surgery in Pakistan: results from the Pakistan National Blindness and visual impairment survey. Br J Ophthalmol. 2007;91:420–6.
- World Health Oganization (1998) Informal consultation on analysis of blindness prevention outcomes. WHO. 1998: WHO/PBL/98.68.Geneva: WHO.

Publisher's Note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.