

RESEARCH ARTICLE

Open Access

South Africans with recent pregnancy rarely know partner's HIV serostatus: implications for serodiscordant couples interventions

Lynn T Matthews^{1,2*}, Lizzie Moore³, Tamaryn L Crankshaw⁴, Cecilia Milford³, Fortunate N Mosery³, Ross Greener³, Christina Psaros⁵, Steven A Safren⁵, David R Bangsberg¹ and Jennifer A Smit³

Abstract

Background: Implementation of safer conception strategies requires knowledge of partner HIV-serostatus. We recruited women and men in a high HIV-prevalence setting for a study to assess periconception risk behavior among individuals reporting HIV-serodiscordant partnerships. We report screening data from that study with the objective of estimating the proportion of individuals who are aware that they are in an HIV-serodiscordant relationship at the time of conception.

Methods: We screened women and men attending antenatal and antiretroviral clinics in Durban, South Africa for enrollment in a study of periconception risk behavior among individuals with serodiscordant partners. Screening questionnaires assessed for study eligibility including age 18–45 years (for women) or at least 18 years of age (for men), pregnancy in past year (women) or partner pregnancy in the past 3 years (men), HIV status of partner for recent pregnancy, participant's HIV status, and infected partner's HIV status having been known before the referent pregnancy.

Results: Among 2620 women screened, 2344 (90%) met age and pregnancy criteria and knew who fathered the referent pregnancy. Among those women, 963 (41%) did not know the pregnancy partner's HIV serostatus at time of screening. Only 92 (4%) reported knowing of a serodiscordant partnership prior to pregnancy. Among 1166 men screened, 225 (19%) met age and pregnancy criteria. Among those men, 71 (32%) did not know the pregnancy partner's HIV status and only 30 (13%) reported knowing of a serodiscordant partnership prior to pregnancy.

Conclusions: In an HIV-endemic setting, awareness of partner HIV serostatus is rare. Innovative strategies to increase HIV testing and disclosure are required to facilitate HIV prevention interventions for serodiscordant couples.

Keywords: HIV prevention, HIV serodiscordant couples, Safer conception, HIV serostatus disclosure

Background

A large proportion of HIV-infected women and men in sub-Saharan Africa are in stable serodiscordant sexual relationships [1,2]. As many as 30% of stable heterosexual couples in South Africa are HIV-1 serodiscordant [3,4]. Because an estimated 50% of HIV transmission occurs between serodiscordant partners [5], these partnerships represent a priority population for prevention [6-8].

Among serodiscordant couples, antiretroviral treatment (ART) for the infected partner reduces sexual transmission by 96% [7,9,10] and antiretroviral pre-exposure prophylaxis (PrEP) for the uninfected partner may reduce sexual transmission by as much as 75% [11]. The World Health Organization now recommends that HIV-positive individuals with seronegative partners initiate ART to reduce sexual transmission risk [8,12].

In South Africa, many men and women living with HIV desire children [13-15]. In the context of highly prevalent serodiscordance [3,4], high fertility, and an absence of programs that address periconception transmission [16,17], HIV incidence in the context of desired pregnancy is likely

* Correspondence: ltmatthews@partners.org

¹Division of Infectious Disease and Center for Global Health, Massachusetts General Hospital, 100 Cambridge Street, 15th Floor, Boston, MA 02114, USA
²Division of Infectious Disease, Beth Israel Deaconess Medical Center, Boston, USA
Full list of author information is available at the end of the article

substantial. Antenatal clinic prevalence in KwaZulu-Natal, South Africa is estimated at 38% [18]. For serodiscordant couples who want biological children, South African guidelines recommend early ART for the infected partner in combination with other safer conception strategies, such as timed peri-ovulatory intercourse [19]. In order to implement safer conception strategies, individuals need to know their HIV status and that of their partner [20].

We recruited women and men in a high HIV-prevalence setting for a cross-sectional survey to assess periconception risk behavior among individuals reporting HIV-serodiscordant partnerships. Our study sought to enroll women with recent pregnancy and men with recent partner pregnancy. We report here our screening data with the objective of estimating the proportion of individuals who are aware that they are in a serodiscordant relationship at the time of conception.

Methods

The study was completed in a large suburban township near Durban, South Africa. We recruited participants from antenatal care (ANC) and antiretroviral (ARV) clinics within a large hospital which serves a population of up to two million people.

All women attending ANC were systematically screened for eligibility by research assistants fluent in English and isiZulu. We sought to recruit women who were aged 18–45 and fluent in English or isiZulu; who had been pregnant in the last 12 months and knew the father's identity; and who self-reported either a) known HIV-positive status at the time of conception with a seronegative or unknown-status pregnancy partner, or b) HIV-negative status with a seropositive pregnancy partner whose status was known before conception.

Women were encouraged to invite their male partners to participate, but due to limited recruitment, we also approached men attending the ARV clinic. Men were eligible for enrollment if they were aged 18 or older and fluent in English or isiZulu; were a partner of an enrolled female or reported partner pregnancy in the past 3 years (this longer period was used for men in order to ensure adequate recruitment); and self-reported either a) known HIV-positive status at the time of conception with a seronegative or unknown-status pregnancy partner, or b) HIV-negative status with a seropositive pregnancy partner whose status was known before conception. If men reported multiple recent pregnancy partners, we asked them to refer to the most recent pregnancy; if two or more pregnancies occurred simultaneously, we asked them to refer to the pregnancy from the most stable partnership.

Failure to meet any inclusion criterion halted the screening process. Only enrolled individuals provided identifying information. All HIV serostatus data were based on self-report by the index individual in the partnership. These

screening data were collected with verbal consent; this was a screening procedure which did not collect personal identifiers.

Study data were collected and managed using REDCap electronic data capture tools hosted at Partners Health-Care [21]. All study procedures were approved by The University of the Witwatersrand Human Research Ethics Committee (Johannesburg, South Africa), the Partners (Massachusetts General Hospital) Human Research Committee (Boston, USA), Provincial and District Department of Health (eThekweni District, KwaZulu-Natal), and the local facility.

Results

Between May 2011 and May 2012, 2620 women and 1166 men were screened for eligibility to participate in the study. Only one man was recruited via partner referral, the remainder were recruited through the ARV clinic.

Screening results for the women are shown in Figure 1. Among 2620 women, 90% ($n = 2344$) met age and pregnancy criteria and knew who had fathered the referent pregnancy. Among those women, 963 (41%) did not know her pregnancy partner's current HIV serostatus, 908 (39%) reported that her partner was currently HIV-negative, and 471 (20%) reported an HIV-positive partner.

Among 963 women who did not know their partner's status, 551 (57%) reported her own serostatus as HIV-positive but only 210 (22%) reported knowing prior to pregnancy that she was HIV-positive. 387 (40%) reported HIV-negative serostatus, and 25 (3%) did not know or refused to state her serostatus.

Among 908 women reporting an HIV-negative partner, 106 (12%) reported her own serostatus as HIV-positive but only 56 (6%) reported knowing prior to pregnancy that she was HIV-positive. 799 (88%) reported HIV-negative serostatus and 3 did not know or refused to state her serostatus.

Among 471 women reporting an HIV-positive partner, 224 (48%) reported being unaware of this prior to pregnancy and thus those women were not asked questions about their own serostatus (as in methods, failure to meet any inclusion criterion halted the screening process). Among the remainder, 208 (44% of all women reporting a currently HIV-positive partner) reported being HIV-infected and 36 (8%) reported HIV-negative serostatus.

Thus, only 92 recently pregnant women (4% of women meeting age and pregnancy criteria) reported being in a known serodiscordant partnership prior to pregnancy (depicted by right brackets in Figure 1). An additional 210 (9%) were known HIV-infected prior to pregnancy with an unknown status partner. Finally, 266 (11%) of women who met age and pregnancy criteria knew they were HIV-positive prior to pregnancy with an uninfected or unknown status partner.




Figure 1 Partner and personal HIV serostatus by self-report among 2344 women with recent pregnancy. Legend: The 3 main bars show the proportion of women reporting recent pregnancy partners of unknown, HIV-negative, and HIV-positive serostatus. Within the bars, the shaded sections represent the proportion of women reporting specific HIV serostatus within those partnerships. Women in known serodiscordant relationships prior to pregnancy represent just 4% of the 2344 women screened: this group is indicated by right brackets.

Screening results for the men are shown in Figure 2. Among 1166 men screened, the majority (89%) met the age criterion, but only 225 (22% of those aged 18 and above) reported partner pregnancy in the past 3 years. We initially attempted to recruit men with partner pregnancy in the past year, but had to alter this inclusion criterion due to low recruitment (either due to low prevalence of recent partner pregnancy or low reporting thereof). Of men who met age and pregnancy criteria, 71 (32%) did not know his partner's current HIV status, 40 (18%) reported an HIV-negative partner, and 114 (51%) reported an HIV-positive partner.

Among 71 men reporting an unknown status partner, 68 (96%) reported his own serostatus as HIV-positive and 61 (86%) reported knowing he was HIV-positive prior to pregnancy. One reported negative serostatus and 2 did not know or refused to state his HIV serostatus.

Among 40 men reporting an HIV-negative partner, 35 (88%) reported his own serostatus as HIV-positive and 25 (63%) reported knowing he was HIV-positive prior to pregnancy. Three reported HIV-negative serostatus and 2 did not know or refused to state his HIV serostatus.

Among 114 men reporting an HIV-positive partner, 71 (62%) did not know her status prior to pregnancy, thus




Figure 2 Partner and personal HIV serostatus by self-report among 225 men with recent partner pregnancy. Legend: The 3 main bars show the proportion of men reporting recent pregnancy partners of unknown, HIV-negative, and HIV-positive serostatus. Within the bars, the shaded sections represent the proportion of men reporting specific HIV serostatus within those partnerships. Men in known serodiscordant relationships prior to pregnancy represent just 13% of the 225 men screened: this group is indicated by right brackets.

those men were not asked questions about their own serostatus. Among the remainder, 38 (33% of all men reporting a currently HIV-positive partner) reported being HIV-infected and 5 (4%) reported HIV-negative serostatus.

Thus, a total of 30 men with recent partner pregnancy (13% of men meeting age and pregnancy criteria) reported being in a known serodiscordant partnership prior to pregnancy (depicted by right brackets in Figure 2) and an additional 61 (27%) were known HIV-infected prior to pregnancy with an unknown status partner. Finally, 86 (38%) of men who met age and pregnancy criteria, knew they were HIV-positive prior to a pregnancy with an uninfected or unknown-serostatus partner.

Discussion

In an HIV-endemic setting, a large proportion of women (41%) and men (32%) with recent (partner) pregnancy did not know their partner's HIV serostatus. Just 4% of 2344 women and 13% of 255 men who met age and pregnancy criteria knew that they were in a serodiscordant relationship prior to pregnancy. HIV prevalence for adults aged 15–49 years in KwaZulu-Natal is estimated at 28% [22] and the prevalence of serodiscordant couples is likely between 20 and 30% [3,4]. Thus, our data are unlikely to reflect low prevalence of serodiscordant couples, rather they indicate the low prevalence of HIV serostatus disclosure and/or knowledge of personal HIV status. HIV prevention strategies targeting HIV-serodiscordant couples, such as early ART or PrEP, may have limited impact in South Africa without innovative solutions to increase testing and mutual disclosure between sexual partners.

Disclosure is a complex process affected by fear of stigma and discrimination [23–25], level of engagement with HIV care [26,27], concepts of masculinity [28], communication within the relationship [29], and pregnancy itself [23,28,30]. Although mutual disclosure can facilitate informed decision-making and safer sex practices [26,27], non-disclosure to sexual partners is common in South Africa [24,26,27,31]. Moreover, knowledge of HIV serostatus – a first step towards serostatus disclosure – remains inadequate, especially among men [22,32]. In our sample, 29% of HIV-positive men and 47% of HIV-positive women reporting HIV-negative partners were unaware of their own status prior to the referent pregnancy. Evidence suggests that stigma and negative attitudes towards HIV counseling and testing (HCT), as well as the physical spaces in which this is offered, continue to influence poor uptake [33–35].

To address this problem, current national [36,37] and international [8] guidelines advocate couples-based HIV counseling and testing (CHCT). This has been associated with increased disclosure to sexual partners, enhanced adherence to interventions to reduce perinatal

HIV transmission, and reductions in sexual risk behavior [38]. Yet little published data exists on CHCT in South Africa [24,30,39]. In a Western Cape study to promote CHCT in an ANC setting, 35% of men whose pregnant partners were given invitations for them to attend completed HCT, versus 11% of men whose partners received pregnancy education alone [40]. A behavioral intervention for pregnant women and their partners in Mpumalanga (Partnersplus) resulted in a small increase in male HIV testing and disclosure [41]. Anecdotal evidence suggests that some South African men and women use CHCT as a means of disclosure [34,39]; however, access to and uptake of CHCT services nationally is undetermined.

Further research into CHCT is of great importance in South Africa. However, limited recruitment for couples-based interventions may forecast challenges to more widespread implementation of CHCT in South Africa: 40% of screened pregnant women could not recruit partners to the Partnersplus project [41], (D. Jones, personal communication, May 2013) and the Project Accept team screened more than three times the number of index individuals in order to interview 20 couples [42]. In our study, among 248 enrolled women, only one recruited her male partner. A 'couple-oriented' approach to HCT [43], which includes counseling on strategies for disclosure and to encourage partner HCT, may therefore be an important alternative in this context. This approach has demonstrated success in a recent multisite study (including one site in sub-Saharan Africa) but awaits further exploration in South Africa [43].

Although HIV prevention interventions for pregnant couples target perinatal rather than periconception transmission, their relative successes demonstrate that the desire for a healthy child can act as a powerful lever for HIV prevention. Incorporating messages on testing and mutual disclosure into PMTCT education may reduce periconception HIV transmission by moving the HIV prevention time frame 'for a healthy baby' up to pre-conception.

Our data suggest a high level of periconception HIV risk behavior among known HIV-positive individuals: of participants who met age and pregnancy criteria, 38% of men and 11% of women knew they were HIV-positive prior to pregnancy with an at-risk partner (pooled results for those reporting HIV-negative or unknown serostatus partners). While we do not dispute the right of these individuals to have children, many of these pregnancies were likely unintended [44–47]. There is a clear need for safer conception programs for those who choose to conceive and improved access to contraception for those who do not want to conceive [20].

These screening data represent a large sample of women and men in an HIV-endemic area with recent pregnancy. Limitations to interpretation include social desirability bias: men and women who knew their HIV status prior to pregnancy may have been reluctant to report this given

counseling messages that persons living with HIV should not have sex without condoms; individuals may also have been reluctant to report partner serostatus. In addition, serodiscordance status is based on the report from just one partner. While most women were pregnant at time of screening, men were asked to reflect on a partner pregnancy in the past three years, thus recall bias may have affected responses. Additionally, since limited partner recruitment required us to enroll men from ARV clinic, male and female participants likely had very different experiences of HIV, with men more likely than women to be HIV-infected, and if so, to be engaged with treatment and support. Our screening tool stopped when any inclusion criterion was not met, thus the data are incomplete for the full sample of screened individuals.

Conclusions

In an HIV-endemic setting, a large proportion of women (41%) and men (32%) did not know their recent pregnancy partner's HIV serostatus. Safer conception and general HIV prevention strategies for HIV-serodiscordant couples require innovative solutions to increase testing and mutual disclosure between sexual partners. In addition, a harm-reduction approach to reducing periconception transmission should address couples as well as individuals who cannot engage their partners.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Authors' contributions

LTM, DRB, and JAS contributed to study conception, design, data acquisition, analysis, interpretation, drafting, revising of the article. SAS and CP contributed to study conception, design, and revising the article. LM and TLC contributed to analysis, interpretation, drafting, and revision of the article. CM, FNM, RG contributed to data acquisition and interpretation. All authors approved the final manuscript.

Acknowledgements

The authors wish to thank the study participants and staff for valuable contributions.

Lynn Matthews received funding for this project through a K23 award (NIMH 095655), the Harvard University CFAR (P30 AI060354), Harvard Global Health Institute, and the BurroughsWellcome-American Society for Tropical Medicine and Hygiene Postdoctoral Fellowship in Tropical Infectious Diseases. David Bangsberg and Steven Safren are supported by K24 awards (NIMH 87227 and 094214). Christina Psaros is supported by a K23 award (NIMH 096651). The content is the responsibility of the authors and does not necessarily represent the official views of the National Institutes of Health.

Author details

¹Division of Infectious Disease and Center for Global Health, Massachusetts General Hospital, 100 Cambridge Street, 15th Floor, Boston, MA 02114, USA. ²Division of Infectious Disease, Beth Israel Deaconess Medical Center, Boston, USA. ³Maternal, Adolescent and Child Health (MatCH), Faculty of Health Sciences, University of the Witwatersrand, Durban, South Africa. ⁴Health Economics and HIV and AIDS Research Division, University of Kwazulu-Natal, Durban, South Africa. ⁵Department of Psychiatry, Massachusetts General Hospital, Boston, USA.

Received: 16 August 2013 Accepted: 24 July 2014
Published: 14 August 2014

References

1. Eyawo O, de Walque D, Ford N, Gakii G, Lester RT, Mills EJ: **HIV status in discordant couples in sub-Saharan Africa: a systematic review and meta-analysis.** *Lancet Infect Dis* 2010, **10**(11):770–777.
2. Guthrie BL, de Bruyn G, Farquhar C: **HIV-1 discordant couples in sub-Saharan Africa: explanations and implications for high rates of discordancy.** *Curr HIV Res* 2007, **5**(4):416–429.
3. Lurie MN, Williams BG, Zuma K, Mkaya-Mwamburi D, Garnett GP, Sweat MD, Gittelsohn J, Karim SA: **Who infects whom? HIV-1 concordance and discordance among migrant and non-migrant couples in South Africa.** *AIDS* 2003, **17**(15):2245–2252.
4. Lingappa JR, Lambdin B, Bukusi EA, Ngure K, Kavuma L, Inambao M, Kanweka W, Allen S, Kiarie JN, Makhema J, Were E, Manongi R, Coetzee D, de Bruyn G, Delany-Moretlwe S, Margaret A, Mugo N, Mujugira A, Ndase P, Celum C, Partners in Prevention, HSV-HIV Transmission Study Group: **Regional differences in prevalence of HIV-1 discordance in Africa and enrollment of HIV-1 discordant couples into an HIV-1 prevention trial.** *PLoS One* 2008, **3**(1):e1411.
5. Dunkle KL, Stephenson R, Karita E, Chomba E, Kayitenkore K, Vwalika C, Greenberg L, Allen S: **New heterosexually transmitted HIV infections in married or cohabiting couples in urban Zambia and Rwanda: an analysis of survey and clinical data.** *Lancet* 2008, **371**(9631):2183–2191.
6. Coburn BJ, Gerberry DJ, Blower S: **Quantification of the role of discordant couples in driving incidence of HIV in sub-Saharan Africa.** *Lancet Infect Dis* 2011, **11**(4):263–264.
7. Cohen MS, Chen YQ, McCauley M, Gamble T, Hosseinipour MC, Kumarasamy N, Hakim JG, Kumwenda J, Grinsztejn B, Pilotto JH, Godbole SV, Mehendale S, Chariyalertsak S, Santos BR, Mayer KH, Hoffman IF, Eshleman SH, Piwovar-Manning E, Wang L, Makhema J, Mills LA, de Bruyn G, Sanne I, Eron J, Gallant J, Havlir D, Swindells S, Ribaudo H, Elharrar V, Burns D, et al: **Prevention of HIV-1 infection with early antiretroviral therapy.** *N Engl J Med* 2011, **365**(6):493–505.
8. World Health Organization: *Guidance on couples HIV testing and counselling including antiretroviral therapy for treatment and prevention in serodiscordant couples.* Geneva: WHO; 2012.
9. Donnell D, Baeten JM, Kiarie J, Thomas KK, Stevens W, Cohen CR, McIntyre J, Lingappa JR, Celum C: **Heterosexual HIV-1 transmission after initiation of antiretroviral therapy: a prospective cohort analysis.** *Lancet* 2010, **375**(9731):2092–2098.
10. Attia S, Egger M, Muller M, Zwahlen M, Low N: **Sexual transmission of HIV according to viral load and antiretroviral therapy: systematic review and meta-analysis.** *AIDS* 2009, **23**(11):1397–1404.
11. Baeten JM, Donnell D, Ndase P, Mugo NR, Campbell JD, Wangisi J, Tappero JW, Bukusi EA, Cohen CR, Katabira E, Ronald A, Tumwesigye E, Were E, Fife KH, Kiarie J, Farquhar C, John-Stewart G, Kania A, Odoyo J, Mucunguzi A, Nakku-Joloba E, Twesigye R, Ngure K, Apaka C, Tamoooh H, Gabona F, Mujugira A, Panteleeff D, Thomas KK, Kidoguchi L, et al: **Antiretroviral Prophylaxis for HIV Prevention in Heterosexual Men and Women.** *N Engl J Med* 2012, **367**(5):399–410.
12. World Health Organization: *Consolidated guidelines on the use of antiretroviral drugs for treating and preventing HIV infection: recommendations for a public health approach.* Geneva: WHO; 2013.
13. Kaida A, Laher F, Strathdee SA, Janssen PA, Money D, Hogg RS, Gray G: **Childbearing intentions of HIV-positive women of reproductive age in Soweto, South Africa: the influence of expanding access to HAART in an HIV hyperendemic setting.** *Am J Public Health* 2011, **101**(2):350–358.
14. Cooper D, Harries J, Myer L, Orner P, Bracken H, Zweigenthal V: **"Life is still going on": reproductive intentions among HIV-positive women and men in South Africa.** *Soc Sci Med* 2007, **65**(2):274–283.
15. Matthews LT, Crankshaw T, Giddy J, Kaida A, Smit JA, Ware NC, Bangsberg DR: **Reproductive Decision-Making and Periconception Practices Among HIV-Positive Men and Women Attending HIV Services in Durban, South Africa.** *AIDS Behav* 2013, **17**(2):461–470.
16. Cooper D, Moodley J, Zweigenthal V, Bekker LG, Shah I, Myer L: **Fertility intentions and reproductive health care needs of people living with HIV in Cape Town, South Africa: implications for integrating reproductive health and HIV care services.** *AIDS Behav* 2009, **13**(Suppl 1):38–46.
17. Myer L, Rebe K, Morroni C: **Missed opportunities to address reproductive health care needs among HIV-infected women in antiretroviral therapy programmes.** *Trop Med Int Health* 2007, **12**(12):1484–1489.

18. National Department of Health SA: *National Antenatal Sentinel HIV and Syphilis Prevalence Survey in South Africa, 2011*. Pretoria: National Department of Health; 2012.
19. Bekker LG, Black V, Myer L, Rees H, Cooper D, Mall S, Mnyami C, Conradie H, Mahabber I, Gilbert L, Schwartz S: **Guideline on safer conception in fertile HIV-infected individuals and couples**. *South Afr J HIV Med* 2011, **12**(2):31–44.
20. Crankshaw TL, Matthews LT, Giddy J, Kaida A, Ware NC, Smit JA, Bangsberg DR: **A conceptual framework for periconception HIV transmission risk reduction among HIV serodiscordant couples**. *Reprod Health Matters* 2012, **20**(39):50–60.
21. Harris PA, Taylor R, Thielke R, Payne J, Gonzalez N, Conde JG: **Research electronic data capture (REDCap)—a metadata-driven methodology and workflow process for providing translational research informatics support**. *J Biomed Inform* 2009, **42**(2):377–381.
22. Shishana O, Rehle T, Simbayi L, Zuma K, Jooste S, Zungu N, Labadarios D, Onoya D, et al: *South African National HIV Prevalence, Incidence and Behaviour Survey, 2012*. Cape Town, South Africa: HSRC Press; 2014.
23. Vu L, Andrinopoulos K, Mathews C, Chopra M, Kendall C, Eisele TP: **Disclosure of HIV status to sex partners among HIV-infected men and women in Cape Town, South Africa**. *AIDS Behav* 2012, **16**(1):132–138.
24. Mlambo M, Peltzer K: **HIV sero-status disclosure and sexual behaviour among HIV positive patients who are on antiretroviral treatment (ART) in Mpumalanga, South Africa**. *J Hum Ecol* 2011, **35**(1):29–41.
25. Crankshaw TL, Voce A, King RL, Giddy J, Sheon NM, Butler LM: *Double Disclosure Bind: Complexities of Communicating an HIV Diagnosis in the Context of Unintended Pregnancy in Durban*. *AIDS Behav*: South Africa; 2013.
26. Bearnot B, Werner L, Kharsany AB, Abdool Karim SS, Frohlich J, Abdool Karim Q: **Impact of antiretroviral therapy on HIV-positive status disclosure in rural South Africa**. Abstract TUAC0104. In *19th IAS Conference/AIDS 2012: 2012; Washington, D.C.*; 2012.
27. Skogmar S, Shakely D, Lans M, Danell J, Andersson R, Tshandu N, Oden A, Roberts S, Francois Venter WD: **Effect of antiretroviral treatment and counselling on disclosure of HIV-serostatus in Johannesburg, South Africa**. *AIDS Care* 2006, **18**(7):725–730.
28. Dageid W, Govender K, Gordon SF: **Masculinity and HIV disclosure among heterosexual South African men: implications for HIV/AIDS intervention**. *Cult Health Sex* 2012, **14**(8):925–940.
29. Osinde MO, Kakaire O, Kaye DK: **Factors associated with disclosure of HIV serostatus to sexual partners of patients receiving HIV care in Kabale, Uganda**. *Int J Gynaecol Obstet* 2012, **118**(1):61–64.
30. Rosenberg NE, Pettifor AE, De Bruyn G, Westreich D, Delany-Moretlwe S, Behets F, Maman S, Coetzee D, Kamupira M, Miller WC: **HIV testing and counseling leads to immediate consistent condom use among South African stable HIV-discordant couples**. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr* 2013, **62**(2):226–233.
31. Shishana O, Rehle T, Simbayi L, Zuma K, Jooste S, Pillay-van-Wyk V, Mbelle N, Van Zyl J, Parker W, Zungu N, Pezi S & the SABSSM III Implementation Team: *South African national HIV prevalence, HIV incidence, behavior and communication survey 2008: A turning tide among teenagers?* Health Sciences Research Council: Cape Town; 2009.
32. Villar-Loubet OM, Bruscantini L, Shikwane ME, Weiss S, Peltzer K, Jones DL: **HIV disclosure, sexual negotiation and male involvement in prevention-of-mother-to-child-transmission in South Africa**. *Cult Health Sex* 2013, **15**(3):253–268.
33. Joint United Nations Programme on HIV/AIDS (UNAIDS): *Global report: UNAIDS report on the global AIDS epidemic 2012*. Geneva: WHO; 2012.
34. Pettifor A, MacPhail C, Suchindran S, Delany-Moretlwe S: **Factors associated with HIV testing among public sector clinic attendees in Johannesburg, South Africa**. *AIDS Behav* 2010, **14**(4):913–921.
35. Mlay R, Lugina H, Becker S: **Couple counselling and testing for HIV at antenatal clinics: views from men, women and counsellors**. *AIDS Care* 2008, **20**(3):356–360.
36. National Department of Health Republic of South Africa: *National contraception and fertility planning policy and service delivery guidelines*. Pretoria: 2012.
37. National Department of Health, Republic of South Africa: *HIV Counselling and Testing (HCT) Policy Guidelines*. Pretoria: 2010.
38. Kelley AL, Karita E, Sullivan PS, Katangulia F, Chomba E, Carael M, Telfair J, Dunham SM, Vwalika CM, Kautzman MG, Wall KM, Allen SA: **Knowledge and perceptions of couples' voluntary counseling and testing in urban Rwanda and Zambia: a cross-sectional household survey**. *PLoS One* 2011, **6**(5):e19573.
39. de Bruyn G, Bandezi N, Dladla S, Gray G: **HIV-discordant couples: An emerging issue in prevention and treatment**. *South Afr J HIV Med* 2006, **7**(2):25–28.
40. Mohlala BK, Boily MC, Gregson S: **The forgotten half of the equation: randomized controlled trial of a male invitation to attend couple voluntary counselling and testing**. *AIDS* 2011, **25**(12):1535–1541.
41. Villar-Loubet OM, Cook R, Chakhtoura N, Peltzer K, Weiss SM, Shikwane ME, Jones DL: **HIV knowledge and sexual risk behavior among pregnant couples in South Africa: the PartnerPlus project**. *AIDS Behav* 2013, **17**(2):479–487.
42. McGrath N, Hosegood V, Chirowodza A, Joseph P, Darbes L, Boettiger M, van Rooyen H: **Recruiting heterosexual couples from the general population for studies in rural South Africa—challenges and lessons (Project Accept, HPTN 043)**. *S Afr Med J* 2010, **100**(10):658–660.
43. Orne-Gliemann J, Balestre E, Tchendjou P, Miric M, Darak S, Butsashvili M, Perez-Then E, Eboko F, Plazy M, Kulkarni S, Desgrees du Lou A, Dabis F, and the ANRS Study Group: **Increasing HIV testing among male partners**. *AIDS* 2013, **27**(7):1167–1177.
44. Department of Health South Africa, Medical Research Council, OrcMacro: *South Africa Demographic and Health Survey 2003*. Pretoria: Department of Health; 2007.
45. Schwartz SR, Rees H, Mehta S, Venter WD, Taha TE, Black V: **High incidence of unplanned pregnancy after antiretroviral therapy initiation: findings from a prospective cohort study in South Africa**. *PLoS One* 2012, **7**(4):e36039.
46. Matthews LT, Bangsberg DR, Kaida A, Milford C, Greener R, Mosery FN, Psaros C, Safren S, Smit JA: *Periconception HIV risk-behavior among men and women reporting serodiscordant partners in KwaZulu-Natal, South Africa, Abstract #895*. Atlanta: CROI; 2013.
47. Crede S, Hoke T, Constant D, Green MS, Moodley J, Harries J: **Factors impacting knowledge and use of long acting and permanent contraceptive methods by postpartum HIV positive and negative women in Cape Town, South Africa: a cross-sectional study**. *BMC Public Health* 2012, **12**:197.

doi:10.1186/1471-2458-14-843

Cite this article as: Matthews et al: South Africans with recent pregnancy rarely know partner's HIV serostatus: implications for serodiscordant couples interventions. *BMC Public Health* 2014 **14**:843.

Submit your next manuscript to BioMed Central and take full advantage of:

- Convenient online submission
- Thorough peer review
- No space constraints or color figure charges
- Immediate publication on acceptance
- Inclusion in PubMed, CAS, Scopus and Google Scholar
- Research which is freely available for redistribution

Submit your manuscript at
www.biomedcentral.com/submit

