

CORRECTION

Open Access



Correction: A participatory and comprehensive intervention to improve violence prevention in two high-risk occupations: effect and process evaluation of a stepped wedge cluster randomised trial

Lars Peter Andersen^{1*}, S. Jaspers², D. Andersen¹, I. Karlsen² and B. Aust²

Correction: *BMC Public Health* 24, 1043 (2024)
<https://doi.org/10.1186/s12889-024-18527-5>

The original publication of this article [1] contained an incorrect version of Table 5, which was a duplicate of Table 6. The incorrect and correct version of Table 5 are published in this correction article. The original article has been updated.

The original article can be found online at <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12889-024-18527-5>.

*Correspondence:

Lars Peter Andersen
laande@rm.dk

¹ Department of Occupational Medicine, Danish Ramazzini Centre, University Research Clinic, Goedstrup Hospital, Hospitalsparken 15, 7400 Herning, Denmark

² The National Research Centre for the Working Environment, Lersø Parkallé 105, 2100 Copenhagen Ø, Denmark



© The Author(s) 2024. **Open Access** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>. The Creative Commons Public Domain Dedication waiver (<http://creativecommons.org/publicdomain/zero/1.0/>) applies to the data made available in this article, unless otherwise stated in a credit line to the data.

Incorrect table 5

Construct and topics related violence prevention	Measure	Number of observations	Mean control phase	Mean intervention phase	Mean follow-up phase	Mean differences between follow up and control phase (confidence intervals)	P-value
Primary outcome							
Data based continuous violence prevention collaboration	To what extent are our violence prevention efforts continuously adjusted as a result of registrations and shared experiences?	755	5.47	6.01	6.19	0.72 (-0.11;1.53)	0.09
Secondary outcomes							
Cooperation between line managers and employees	To what extent does the line manager and employees cooperate on the prevention of violence and threats?	782	5.70	6.20	6.34	0.64 (-0.19;1.45)	0.13
Attention to violence prevention	To what extent does your line manager prioritize violence prevention	849	7.49	7.49	6.93	-0.57 (-1.88;0.75)	0.34
	To what extent does the working environment group prioritize violence prevention?	779	7.80	8.16	7.69	-0.11 (-1.23;0.10)	0.84
Actions taken to prevent violence and threats	Has there been improvements related to the prevention of violence and threats during the last three months?	723	4.41	5.06	4.97	0.56 (-0.54;1.65)	0.32
Violence prevention practices in your work unit	To what extent are guidelines for the prevention of violence and threats carried out in practice at your workplace by your line manager?	781	7.63	7.45	6.81	-0.82 (-1.10;0.36)	0.76
	To what extent are guidelines for the prevention of violence and threats carried out in practice at your workplace by the employees?	832	7.12	7.48	7.82	0.70(-0.23;1.64)	0.14
Violence prevention climate scale	Violence Prevention Climate Scale	839	25.35	26.17	25.24	-0.11 (-1.69;1.45)	0.88

Construct and topics related violence prevention	Measure	Number of observations	Mean control phase	Mean intervention phase	Mean follow-up phase	Mean differences between follow up and control phase (confidence intervals)	P-value
Additional item about violence prevention climate	We are a unit that continuously makes an effort to prevent violence and threats.	800	4.18	4.72	5.15	0.96 (0.53;1.41)	0.00*
Self-efficacy in violence prevention	To what extent do you have confidence in your colleagues' competences to prevent violence and threats.	851	7.39	7.80	7.98	0.59 (0.01;1.16)	0.04*
Sense of safety at work	Do you feel safe when you are at work?	854	7.63	7.99	8.23	0.60 (0.56;1.15)	0.03*
Prevalence of violence and threats	Exposure to violence during the last three months	843	1.44	1.29	1.28	-0.14 (-0.34;0.6)	0.16
	Exposure to threats during the last three months	845	1.92	1.84	1.88	-0.04 (-0.30;0.23)	0.79

All analyses are adjusted for gender, age and profession

*p<0.05

Correct table 5

Construct and topics related violence prevention	Measure	Number of observations	Mean control phase	Mean intervention phase	Mean follow-up phase	Mean differences between follow up and control phase (confidence intervals)	P-value
Primary outcome							
Data based continuous violence prevention collaboration	To what extent are our violence prevention efforts continuously adjusted as a result of registrations and shared experiences?	847	6.48	6.91	7.16	0.68 (0.07;1.26)	0.03*
Secondary outcomes							
Cooperation between line managers and employees	To what extent does the line manager and employees cooperate on the prevention of violence and threats?	844	7.50	7.63	7.48	-0.02 (-0.61;0.57)	0.95
Attention to violence prevention	To what extent does your line manager prioritize violence prevention	879	8.07	8.27	8.30	0.23 (-0.32;0.78)	0.41
	To what extent does the working environment group prioritize violence prevention?	880	7.90	8.04	8.03	0.13 (-0.39;0.66)	0.63

Construct and topics related violence prevention	Measure	Number of observations	Mean control phase	Mean intervention phase	Mean follow-up phase	Mean differences between follow up and control phase (confidence intervals)	P-value
Actions taken to prevent violence and threats	Has there been improvements related to the prevention of violence and threats during the last three months?	841	6.04	6.54	6.13	0.09 (-0.69;0.87)	0.82
Violence prevention practices in your work unit	To what extent are guidelines for the prevention of violence and threats carried out in practice at your workplace by your line manager?	874	7.44	7.62	7.63	0.19 (-0.39;0.77)	0.52
	To what extent are guidelines for the prevention of violence and threats carried out in practice at your workplace by the employees?	872	7.21	7.50	7.62	0.42 (-0.07)	0.10
Violence prevention climate scale	Violence Prevention Climate Scale	830	27.79	27.72	28.06	0.27 (-0.98;1.50)	0.68
Additional item about violence prevention climate	We are a unit that continuously makes an effort to prevent violence and threats.	827	5.26	5.41	5.43	0.17(-0.11;0.46)	0.22
Self-efficacy in violence prevention	To what extent do you have confidence in your colleagues' competences to prevent violence and threats.	832	7.68	7.69	7.85	0.17 (-0.29;0.63)	0.46
Sense of safety at work	Do you feel safe when you are at work?	834	7.89	7.76	7.80	-0.09(-0.57;0.39)	0.72
Prevalence of violence and threats	Exposure to violence during the last three months	824	1.52	1.40	1.50	-0.02 (-0.22;0.20)	0.92
	Exposure to threats during the last three months	828	2.42	2.33	2.38	-0.04 (-0.33;0.25)	0.79

All analyses are adjusted for gender, age and profession

* $p < 0.05$

Published online: 06 May 2024

Reference

- Andersen, et al. A participatory and comprehensive intervention to improve violence prevention in two high-risk occupations: effect and process evaluation of a stepped wedge cluster randomised trial. BMC Public Health. 2024;24:1043. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12889-024-18527-5>.