RESEARCH



High nutrition literacy linked with low frequency of take-out food consumption in chinese college students



Qi Qi^{1†}, Qing Sun^{1†}, Ling Yang¹, Yan Cui¹, Jing Du¹ and Huaqing Liu^{1*}

Abstract

Background The excessive consumption of take-out food has increased the risk of nutrition-related chronic diseases. Nutrition literacy (NL) is an important influencing factor of food choice. This study aimed to explore the relationship between nutrition literacy and take-out food consumption.

Methods A cross-sectional study was conducted on 2130 college students in Bengbu, China. A self-reported questionnaire that included demographic information, lifestyle behavior, take-out food consumption, and nutrition literacy scale was used. Ordinal logistic regression models were used to analyze the association between nutrition literacy and take-out food consumption.

Results Of the students surveyed, 61.5% consumed take-out food at least once a week. NL was significantly associated with the frequency of take-out food consumption \geq 4 times/week (OR=0.995, 95% CI=0.990-1.000); the difference specifically was discovered for applying skills, interactive skills, and critical skills. Moreover, students with high level NL ate less (Spicy) hot pot (OR=0.996, 95% CI=0.992-1.000), but more vegetable and fruit salad (OR=1.009, 95% CI=1.002-1.015).

Conclusions NL, especially in applying skills, interactive skills, and critical skills, is not only associated with consumption frequency of take-out food among college students, but also links with types of take-out food consumption. Our findings emphasize that targeted interventions on nutritional skills literacy should be needed to improve dietary behaviors for student's good health.

Keywords Nutrition literacy, Healthy literacy, Take-out food, College students, China

[†]Qi Qi, Qing Sun contributed equally to this work.

*Correspondence: Huaqing Liu Ihqbbmc@163.com ¹School of Public Health, Bengbu Medical College, Bengbu, Anhui 233030, China



© The Author(s) 2023. **Open Access** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/. The Creative Commons Public Domain Dedication waiver (http://creativecommons.org/publicdomain/zero/1.0/) applies to the data made available in this article, unless otherwise stated in a credit line to the data.

Introduction

The rapid development of the fast-food industry has changed people's food consumption patterns in the past few decades. Take-out food consumption has become an essential component of people's diet. Studies have displayed the increasing frequency of takeout consumption in western countries. A cross-sectional survey in the United Kingdom found that approximately 21% of the adults and children ate take-away meals at home at least once a week [1]. The Health and Nutrition Examination Survey showed that more than one-third (36.3%) of US residents consumed fast food on a given day during 2015–2018 [2]. A longitudinal study demonstrated that the proportion of Australians eating takeaway meals once or more per week increased from 35.5 to 44.1% over the five years [3]. China has the largest number of consumers who experienced online takeout food globally [4, 5].

Take-out food was defined as food items from fast-food outlets with convenient delivery service, carryout food options, and payment prior to the receipt of food [6, 7]. However, take-out food is energy-dense, nutrient-poor, and rich in salt, sugar, fat [6]. Takeout food consumption had adverse effects on public health, and most previous studies have reported that more frequent consumption of takeaway meals increased the risk of obesity [8-10], hypertension [11], type 2 diabetes, and coronary heart disease [12]. Moreover, people may ingest microplastics through take-out food containers and affect health [13]. Food safety issues related to the preparation process and sanitary conditions of take-out food have also not been fundamentally corrected [13, 14]. As a special eating behavior, take-out food consumption is a concern. It is affected by many factors (personal factors, economic factors and social environmental factors, etc.) [4].

College students who are transitioning from adolescence to young adulthood have greater needs for various nutrients and are at a critical period in which they are forming independent eating habits that can continue throughout life. Facing with academic pressure and employment pressure, college students are more likely to choose convenient take-out food [15, 16]. In a crosssectional study of college students attending Beijing University in China, all participants surveyed consumed take-out food per week; moreover, nearly one-third of them consumed it 9–15 times per week [17].

Nutrition literacy (NL) is, regarded as a specific form of health literacy [18], defined as the capability to obtain, process, and understand nutrition information and skills to make suitable nutritional decisions [19–21], which is an important determinant of eating behavior [22]. People with high level of NL would follow the dietary guidelines to choose a healthy diet, while people with low level of NL might not have the ability to eat properly, resulting in poor diet quality [23]. College students with low nutritional knowledge, attitude, and practices scores were more likely to eat fast food [24]. High nutrition knowledge was positively associated with high consumption of fruit and vegetable [23]. Faced with the widespread pseudoscience of nutrition and diet, college students were often confused and skeptical. Moreover, a high level of critical nutrition literacy was required to distinguish scientific and reliable information from the complex nutritional information environment [25]. However, a randomized controlled study showed that traditional nutrition education only increases nutrition knowledge, but failed to change eating behavior, leading to the separation of knowledge and practice among college students [26]. Therefore, Nutrition and health education should not only be directed at improving people's knowledge, but also understanding and capacity to act. In addition, and a recent cross-sectional study reported that there was an inverse association between macronutrient literacy and unhealthy food habits [27], whereas fast food consumption is one of the unhealthy food habits.

Existing studies mainly focused on the relationship between take-out food and disease, and the impact of environmental, demographic, and other factors on takeout consumption [4]. However, to date, the relationship of NL with takeout food consumption is still unclear. The purpose of our study is aimed to investigate the relationship between NL and takeout food consumption among college students.

Methods

Study design

This study is a cross-sectional study, which was conducted from April to June 2021 to explore the association between NL and take-out food consumption in Bengbu, China. All students were gathered in the classroom and a self-designed structured questionnaire was used to collect data by self-administered questionnaires survey in person on the spot. All participants were notified that participation was voluntary, and signed informed consent was obtained. This study was approved by the Ethics Committee of Bengbu Medical College.

Participants

Participants were recruited with the method of stratified cluster sampling according to the type of universities and the levels of education. Firstly, among six universities (one medical and five non-medical) in Bengbu, two universities (medical and non-medical) were selected by convenience sampling, which have equivalent admission scores at national college entrance examination. Secondly, eight classes were randomly selected in each grade, and all students in these classes were asked to participate in the survey. A total of 2,190 students finished the survey at two universities. Finally, a total of 2,130 students were analyzed in this study, after the exclusion of 60 (2.9%) because of invalid responses with missing nutrition literacy data (n=49) and take-out food consumption data (n=11).

Take-out food consumption

Take-out food consumption was identified by selfdesigned questionnaire, including the frequency and types of take-out food consumption. The frequency of take-out food consumption was assessed by the following two questions: "Have you ordered takeout foods in the past month?" and "How often do you order takeout foods?". Response to first question was "yes" or "no", and response to second question included "< 1 time /week", "1~3 times /week", or " \geq 4 times /week". Types of takeout food consumption was assessed by the following question: "What kind of take-out food do you prefer?" Responses included "Chinese dishes with rice", "Western fast food", "(Spicy) hot pot", "Barbecue skewers", "Pastries/ drinks", and "Vegetable and fruit salad".

Nutrition literacy assessment

The NL questionnaire of 43 items, which was developed by Delphi consultation and has been validated in the Chinese adult population and university students with a good validity [28-30], was used to assess the participants' nutrition literacy from two distinctive domains of nutrition cognitive and nutrition skills (the NL questionnaire and scoring manual has been provided in Additional file 2). The nutrition cognitive domain included two dimensions: "knowledge" (7 items) and "understanding" (5 items). The nutrition skills domain consisted of four dimensions: "obtaining skills" (5 items), "applying skills" (11 items), "interactive skills" (9 items), and "critical skills" (6 items). Each item was scored ranging from 1 to 5 on a five-point Likert type scale (for agreement: 1=strongly disagreeable, 2=disagreeable, 3=neutral, 4=agreeable, 5=strongly agreeable; or for match: 1=strongly unmatched, 2=unmatched, 3=neutral, 4=matched, 5=strongly matched). Then the total scores were calculated from the 43 items, with a higher score indicating a higher NL level. The NL levels were classified into four categories according to the interquartile of the scores.

Adjustment covariates

Given demographic characteristics and lifestyle behaviors were associated with take-out food consumption in previous studies [4, 17] and could be potential confounding factors, we adjusted these covariates. Demographic characteristics were gender (0=male, 1=female), major (0=medical students, 1=non-medical students), academic year (0=freshman, 1=sophomore, 2=junior, 3=senior), birthplace (0=urban,1=rural), monthly expenses (RMB) (0=<1000, 1=1000~, 2=1500~) and formal education in nutrition (0=no, 1=yes). Lifestyle behaviors were Sitting time (h/day) (0=<4, 1=4~, 2=≥6), physical activity (0=rare, 1=occasional, 2=frequent) and online time (h/day) (0=<5, 1=5~, 2=≥7).

Statistical analysis

Descriptive statistics were expressed as mean±standard deviation for continuous variable, and were expressed as frequencies and percentages for categorical variables. Chi-square test was applied to compare differences in groups for categorical variables. Multinomial logistic regression models were used to access the associations of NL and the frequencies of take-out food consumption by calculating the odds ratios (OR) and 95% confidence intervals (CIs), and multivariate logistic regression models were used to access the associations of NL and types of take-out food consumption. All analysis was conducted using Stata16.0. P<0.05 (two-sided) was considered statistically significant.

Results

Basic characteristics

The demographic characteristics and lifestyle behavior variables are shown in Table 1. There were 2,130 undergraduate students (mean [SD] age: 20.9 [1.6] years) enrolled in the study. Among them, 34.6% (n=736) were male, 47.0% (n=1002) were medical, 27.9% (n=594) were freshmen, 30.4% (n=647) were urban, 44.6% (n=949) of the students rarely participated in physical activity, 25.2% (n=536) of the students' monthly expenses were more than 1500 RMB. In addition, more than a quarter of the students (25.1%, n=534) reported sitting time of 4 h or less, and over one-third (34.9%, n=743) online time of 5 h or less. The score of total nutritional literacy was 151.3 ± 24.8 , with 29.3 ± 5.8 in knowledge, 18.5 ± 3.9 in understanding, 17.2 ± 3.7 in obtaining skills, 36.1 ± 7.5 in applying skills, 30.3 ± 6.2 in interactive skills, 20.0 ± 4.5 in critical skills.

Overall, 61.5% of the students consumed take-out food at least once a week. As shown in Table 1, the prevalence of take-out food consumption for <1time, 1–3 times, and ≥ 4 times per week were 38.5%, 37.0%, and 24.5%, respectively. The frequency of take-out food consumption among males, medical students, and urban residents group was significantly higher than their counterpart. Moreover, participants with higher monthly expenses, less physical activity or longer online time had higher take-out consumption, and those with the lowest sitting time (<4 h/d) had lower take-out consumption. In addition, freshmen and seniors had higher prevalence rates on take-out food consumption, compared to sophomores and juniors.

| Variables | Ν | <1 time /week | 1~3 times /week | ≥4 times /week | X ² | Р |
|------------------------|-------------|---------------|-----------------|----------------|-----------------------|---------|
| Total | 2130(100.0) | 819 (38.5) | 790 (37.0) | 521 (24.5) | | |
| Age group(years) | | | | | | |
| 16–21 | 1590(74.6) | 621(39.1) | 594(37.4) | 375(23.6) | 2.685 | 0.261 |
| 22–27 | 540(25.4) | 198(36.7) | 196(36.3) | 146(27.0) | | |
| Gender | | | | | | |
| Male | 736 (34.6) | 246 (33.4) | 228 (31.0) | 262 (35.6) | 75.747 | < 0.001 |
| Female | 1394 (65.4) | 573 (41.1) | 562 (40.3) | 259 (18.6) | | |
| Major | | | | | | |
| Medical students | 1002 (47.0) | 387 (38.6) | 328 (32.7) | 287 (28.6) | 23.221 | < 0.001 |
| Non-medical students | 1128 (53.0) | 432 (38.3) | 462 (41.0) | 234 (20.7) | | |
| Academic year | | | | | | |
| Freshman | 594 (27.9) | 183 (30.8) | 244 (41.1) | 167 (28.1) | 54.867 | < 0.001 |
| Sophomore | 474 (22.3) | 225 (47.5) | 170 (35.9) | 79 (16.7) | | |
| Junior | 491 (23.1) | 219 (44.6) | 167 (34.0) | 105 (21.4) | | |
| Senior | 571 (26.8) | 192 (33.6) | 209 (36.6) | 170 (29.8) | | |
| Birthplace | | | | | | |
| Urban | 647 (30.4) | 229 (35.4) | 237 (36.6) | 181 (28.0) | 7.005 | 0.030 |
| Rural | 1483 (69.6) | 590 (39.8) | 553 (37.3) | 340 (22.9) | | |
| Monthly expenses (RMB) | | | | | | |
| < 1000 | 275 (12.9) | 143 (52.0) | 75 (27.3) | 57 (20.7) | 36.957 | < 0.001 |
| 1000~ | 1319 (61.9) | 512 (38.8) | 497 (37.7) | 310 (23.5) | | |
| 1500~ | 536 (25.2) | 164 (30.6) | 218 (40.7) | 154 (28.7) | | |
| Physical activity | | | | | | |
| Rare | 949(44.6) | 371(39.1) | 320(33.7) | 258(27.2) | 13.150 | 0.011 |
| Occasional | 787(36.9) | 287(36.5) | 324(41.2) | 176(22.4) | | |
| Frequent | 394(18.5) | 161(40.9) | 146(37.1) | 87(22.1) | | |
| Sitting time (h/day) | | | | | | |
| <4 | 534 (25.1) | 217 (40.6) | 172 (32.2) | 145 (27.2) | 13.041 | 0.011 |
| 4~ | 597 (28.0) | 212 (35.5) | 253 (42.4) | 132 (22.1) | | |
| ≥6 | 999 (46.9) | 390 (39.0) | 365 (36.5) | 244 (24.4) | | |
| Online time (h/day) | | | | | | |
| <5 | 743 (34.9) | 359 (48.3) | 250 (33.6) | 134 (18.0) | 56.720 | < 0.001 |
| 5~ | 715 (33.6) | 257 (35.9) | 264 (36.9) | 194 (27.1) | | |
| ≥7 | 672 (31.5) | 203 (30.2) | 276 (41.1)) | 193 (28.7) | | |

Table 1 Frequency of take-out food consumption among different characteristics

Frequency of take-out food consumption according to NL Table 2 demonstrated the results of the frequency of take-out food consumption according to NL in different dimensions. The fourth quartile with the highest NL showed a significantly lowest frequency of take-out food consumption (P < 0.05). The third quartile and fourth quartile with higher nutrition skills domain showed a significantly lower take-out food consumption than the first quartile with the lowest nutrition skills domain (P < 0.05), while the nutrition cognitive domain was not associated with takeout consumption. When compared to those with lowest nutrition literacy in different dimensions of nutrition skills, those who had higher nutrition literacy (i.e., those below 25th compared with above 25th percentile) were less likely to consume take-out food (P < 0.05), except for obtaining skills (P > 0.05). In the two dimensions of nutrition cognitive domain, the first quartile with the lowest knowledge NL demonstrated a significantly

higher frequency of take-out consumption than the fourth quartile with the highest knowledge NL (P<0.05), while the understanding NL was not significantly associated with take-out food consumption (P>0.05).

Association of NL with the frequency of take-out food consumption

Overall, adjusting for age group, gender, major, academic year, birthplace, monthly expenses, physical activity, sitting time, and online time, NL was significantly associated with the frequency of take-out food consumption ≥ 4 times/week (OR=0.995, 95% CI=0.990-1.000). In addition, this relationship was observed in nutrition skills domain (OR=0.990, 95% CI=0.984–0.996) including applying skills (OR=0.962, 95% CI=0.946–0.978), interactive skills (OR=0.975, 95% CI=0.943–0.994) and critical skills (OR=0.968, 95% CI=0.943–0.994), but not for obtaining skills (OR=1.005, 95% CI=0.974–1.037).

| Variables | N | <1time /week | 1~3 times /week | ≥4times /week | x ² | Р |
|---------------------|------------|--------------|-----------------|---------------|-----------------------|---------|
| NL | | | | | | |
| Q1 | 567(26.6) | 214(37.7) | 202(35.6) | 151(26.6) | 19.365 | 0.004 |
| Q2 | 522(24.5) | 172(33.0) | 207(39.7) | 143(27.4) | | |
| Q3 | 512(24.0) | 195(38.1) | 199(38.9) | 118(23.0) | | |
| Q4 | 529(24.8) | 238(45.0) | 182(34.4) | 109(20.6) | | |
| Nutrition cognition | | | | | | |
| Q1 | 604(28.4) | 227(37.6) | 215(35.6) | 162(26.8) | 8.852 | 0.182 |
| Q2 | 560(26.3) | 214(38.2) | 218(38.9) | 128(22.9) | | |
| Q3 | 453(21.3) | 181(40.0) | 179(39.5) | 93(20.5) | | |
| Q4 | 513(24.1) | 197(38.4) | 178(34.7) | 138(26.9) | | |
| Nutrition skills | | | | | | |
| Q1 | 647(30.4) | 233(36.0) | 236(36.5) | 178(27.5) | 16.922 | 0.010 |
| Q2 | 424(19.9) | 141(33.3) | 170(40.1) | 113(26.7) | | |
| Q3 | 537(25.2) | 217(40.4) | 196(36.5) | 124(23.1) | | |
| Q4 | 522(24.5) | 228(43.7) | 188(36.0) | 106(20.3) | | |
| Knowledge | | | | | | |
| Q1 | 1016(47.7) | 384(37.8) | 372(36.6) | 260(25.6) | 20.413 | 0.002 |
| Q2 | 72(3.4) | 29(40.3) | 29(40.3) | 14(19.4) | | |
| Q3 | 524(24.6) | 191(36.5) | 228(43.5) | 105(20.0) | | |
| Q4 | 518(24.3) | 215(41.5) | 161(31.1) | 142(27.4) | | |
| Understanding | | | | | | |
| Q1 | 561(26.3) | 226(40.3) | 196(34.9) | 139(24.8) | 7.063 | 0.315 |
| Q2 | 616(28.9) | 242(39.3) | 243(39.4) | 131(21.3) | | |
| Q3 | 510(23.9) | 191(37.5) | 185(36.3) | 134(26.3) | | |
| Q4 | 443(20.8) | 160(36.1) | 166(37.5) | 117(26.4) | | |
| Obtaining skills | | | | | | |
| Q1 | 754(35.4) | 293(38.9) | 273(36.2) | 188(24.9) | 0.568 | 0.997 |
| Q2 | 451(21.2) | 172(38.1) | 172(38.1) | 107(23.7) | | |
| Q3 | 643(30.2) | 246(38.3) | 241(37.5) | 156(24.3) | | |
| Q4 | 282(13.2) | 108(38.3) | 104(36.9) | 70(24.8) | | |
| Applying skills | | | | | | |
| Q1 | 595(27.9) | 191(32.1) | 221(37.1) | 183(30.8) | 38.953 | < 0.001 |
| Q2 | 504(23.7) | 185(36.7) | 197(39.1) | 122(24.2) | | |
| Q3 | 523(24.6) | 200(38.2) | 197(37.7) | 126(24.1) | | |
| Q4 | 508(23.8) | 243(47.8) | 175(34.4) | 90(17.7) | | |
| Interactive skills | | | | | | |
| Q1 | 794(37.3) | 262(33.0) | 304(38.3) | 228(28.7) | 21.324 | 0.002 |
| Q2 | 277(13.0) | 109(39.4) | 102(36.8) | 66(23.8) | | |
| Q3 | 561(26.3) | 231(41.2) | 207(36.9) | 123(21.9) | | |
| Q4 | 498(23.4) | 217(43.6) | 177(35.5) | 104(20.9) | | |
| Critical skills | | | | | | |
| Q1 | 955(44.8) | 332(34.8) | 371(38.8) | 252(26.4) | 15.289 | 0.018 |
| Q2 | 191(9.0) | 82(42.9) | 67(35.1) | 42(22.0) | | |
| Q3 | 483(22.7) | 182(37.7) | 182(37.7) | 119(24.6) | | |
| Q4 | 501(23.5) | 223(44.5) | 170(33.9) | 108(21.6) | | |

Table 2 Frequency of take-out food consumption according to NL

| Variables | 1~3 times/w | eek | ≥4times/week | |
|------------------------|------------------------|-------|---------------------|---------|
| | OR(95% CI) | Р | OR(95% CI) | Р |
| NL | 0.998(0.994– 1.003) | 0.480 | 0.995(0.990-1.000) | 0.043 |
| Nutrition cognitive | 1.007(0.995– 1.019) | 0.280 | 1.009(0.995–1.023) | 0.214 |
| Nutrition skills | 0.996(0.991– 1.002) | 0.161 | 0.990(0.984–0.996) | 0.002 |
| Knowledge | 1.005(0.987– 1.023) | 0.590 | 1.005(0.985–1.026) | 0.593 |
| Understanding | 1.022(0.995– 1.050) | 0.118 | 1.031(0.999–1.063) | 0.054 |
| Obtaining skills | 1.012(0.985– 1.041) | 0.383 | 1.005 (0.974–1.037) | 0.751 |
| Applying skills | 0.979(0.965– 0.993) | 0.004 | 0.962(0.946–0.978) | < 0.001 |
| Interactive skills | 0.994(0.977– 1.011) | 0.465 | 0.975(0.956–0.994) | 0.009 |
| Critical skills | 0.989(0.967– 1.013) | 0.365 | 0.968(0.943–0.994) | 0.016 |

Table 3 Association between nutritional literacy and the frequency of take-out food consumption

The OR (95% CI) was calculated by the multinomial logistic regression adjusting for age group, gender, major, academic year, birthplace, monthly expenses, physical activity, sitting time and online time. The frequency of take-out food consumption < 1 time /week is referred as the reference group

Table 4 Association between NL and types of take-out food consumption by multivariate logistic regression

| Variables | OR(95% CI) | Р |
|---------------------------|--------------------|-------|
| Chinese dishes with rice | 1.000(0.996-1.004) | 0.946 |
| Western fast food | 1.000(0.996-1.005) | 0.874 |
| (Spicy) hot pot | 0.996(0.992-1.000) | 0.031 |
| Barbecue skewers | 0.997(0.992-1.002) | 0.314 |
| Pastries/ drinks | 0.999(0.995-1.004) | 0.708 |
| Vegetable and fruit salad | 1.009(1.002-1.015) | 0.007 |

The OR (95% CI) was calculated by the multivariate logistic regression adjusting for age group, gender, major, academic year, birthplace, monthly expenses, physical activity, sitting time and online time

Take-out food consumption was not associated with the nutrition cognitive domain containing knowledge and understanding (Table 3).

Association of total NL with types of take-out food consumption

Types of take-out food consumption were displayed in Additional file 1. Chinese dishes with rice were the most common type of take-out food consumption (53.1%), followed by (spicy) hot pot (33.1%), pastries/ drinks (25.1%), and western fast food (22.7%). In addition, only 9.9% of the students consumed vegetable and fruit salad.

We further investigated the association between NL and types of take-out food consumption, as shown in Table 4. After adjusting for potential confounders, a mixed association was observed between NL and types of take-out food consumption. High level NL ate less (Spicy) hot pot (OR=0.996, 95% CI=0.992-1.000), but more

vegetable and fruit salad (OR=1.009, 95% CI=1.002-1.015). However, no significant association was observed between NL and Chinese dishes with rice, western fast food, barbecue skewers and pastries/drinks.

Discussion

This study is the first time to examine the association between NL and takeout food consumption among students in China. The findings showed that take-out food is very popular for college students, with about two in three students consuming take-out food at least once a week and NL is associated with takeout food consumption.

In this study, we found that some factors were associated with take-out food consumption. Males ate take-out food more frequently than females. Similarly, a crosssectional survey reported that males had higher fast-food consumption compared with females [31]. Although other study showed that medical students ate take-out food less frequently than non-medical students [17], we found that medical students consumed more take-out food than non-medical students. This may be associated with the fact that medical students are more likely to consume take-out food to save the time facing with heavy school workload. Our study showed that takeaway consumption was found to be higher among participants with higher monthly expenditures. Similar to the Australian study in which disadvantaged socioeconomic groups did not consume takeaway as much as advantaged groups [6, 32]. In Scotland, UK, consumption of takeaway food was significantly higher in the most deprived quintile [33]. This may be because the cost of take-out food varies from country to country, and the cost of eating takeout food in China may be higher than eating in the school cafeteria, and participants with higher monthly expenses have a higher economic level to consume take-out food. This study showed that participants who were less physically active consumed take-out food more frequently, physical activity promotes good health awareness among students, which may be a potential factor in reducing takeaway food consumption [34].

Our study used a comprehensive NL tool to examine a range of literacy cognition and skills. The results also demonstrated that poor NL had higher takeout food consumption. Moreover, the low nutrition skills domain was significantly associated with the high frequency of take-out food consumption, while the nutrition cognitive domain was not associated with takeout consumption. This may be due to the fact that take-out food consumption was associated with applying skills, interactive skills, and critical skills in the nutrition skills domain but no association with knowledge and understanding in the nutrition cognitive domain. Namdar et al. found that nutrition label reading skills and health decision-making ability were associated with fast food intake [35]. College students who regularly read nutrition labels were more likely to eat less fast food and added sugar [36]. Although prior studies showed that nutrition education increased nutrition knowledge, reduced the frequency of snacks and fried food [37], and had a positive effect on making healthier fast-food choices [38]. However, another study reported that nutrition education only increases nutrition knowledge, but failed to change eating behavior, which bring out the separation of knowledge and practice among college students [26]. These findings indicated that basic nutrition cognition could not directly change students' health-related behavior such as take-out food consumption, but nutrition skills could do.

Several studies have shown the relationship between NL and dietary behavior, although adolescents had high dietary guidelines awareness or nutrition knowledge scale scores, they did not choose healthy foods or follow a Mediterranean diet [39, 40]. This suggests an urgent challenge for moving nutrition knowledge to the skills related to behavior changes, including making meal choices. College students are in a critical period of developing healthy eating behaviors [41]. Faced with misinformation about nutrition from the media, negative peer influence, and an unhealthy eating environment, college students' perceptions of healthy behaviors are likely to be influenced by a lack of ability to identify scientific nutrition information and drive them to over-consume take-out foods [42]. Hence, college students must have adequate nutritional skills literacy, not only nutrition knowledge which is not enough to keep healthy diet.

NL is a crucial component for influencing food habits, Studies [43–45] reported that food literacy affected an individual's ability to choose healthy food. Our study showed that participants with higher NL chose to eat more vegetable and fruit salads and less (Spicy) hot pots. Although eating take-out food once per week or more significantly lower mean fruit and vegetable consumption [3], unfortunately, take-out food is forming an increasingly important component of the diet among college students due to its selectivity and efficient delivery, even though it may not be as healthy as home-made and cafeteria food [6, 17]. But high NL might improve take-out food consumption and increase the probability of vegetable and fruit salads choice. This finding indicates that high NL is helpful to select health-friendly foods.

Our findings stressed that it was necessary to strengthen the multi-dimensional NL to achieve better nutrition education outcomes and further reduce takeout food consumption. There are some limitations in this study. First, it was not possible to establish the causal inference due to the cross-sectional study. Second, the study participants were from the city in the northern region of China only. Thus, our results could not represent the national college student population. Third, potential recall bias may be present due to the selfreported questionnaires, precise measurement on takeout food should be considered in future study.

Conclusion

NL, especially in applying skills, interactive skills, and critical skills, is associated with consumption of take-out foods among college students. In addition, it also has an influence on types of take-out food consumption. Our findings emphasize that targeted interventions on nutritional skills literacy should be needed to improve dietary behaviors for student's good health.

Abbreviations

NL Nutrition literacy

Supplementary Information

The online version contains supplementary material available at https://doi.org/10.1186/s12889-023-16078-9.

Supplementary Material 1

Supplementary Material 2

Acknowledgements

We thank the participants for their important contributions in the present study.

Author contributions

QS and QQ conducted data collection and analysis, and drafted the manuscript. LY, YC and JD collated data, carried out the initial analyses. HL conceptualized and designed the study, and critically reviewed the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript submitted for publication.

Funding

This study was supported by the 512 Talent training Project of Bengbu Medical College (BY51201203) and the Natural Science Research Project of Anhui Educational Committee (KJ2019A0302, 2022AH040217).

Data availability

The datasets analysed during the current study is available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

Declarations

Competing interests

The authors declare no competing interests.

Ethics approval and consent to participate

The study procedures were approved by the ethics committee of Bengbu Medical College (No. 2019002). Informed consent was obtained from all study participants. The research was performed in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki. All procedures were performed in accordance with relevant guidelines.

Consent for publication

Not applicable.

Received: 20 February 2023 / Accepted: 8 June 2023 Published online: 13 June 2023

- Adams J, Goffe L, Brown T, Lake AA, Summerbell C, White M, et al. Frequency and socio-demographic correlates of eating meals out and take-away meals at home: cross-sectional analysis of the UK national diet and nutrition survey, waves 1–4 (2008-12). Int J Behav Nutr Phys Act. 2015;12:51.
- Fryar CD, Carroll MD, Ahluwalia N, Ogden CL. Fast food intake among children and adolescents in the United States, 2015–2018. NCHS Data Brief. 2020;(375):1–8.
- Gopinath B, Flood VM, Burlutsky G, Louie JC, Baur LA, Mitchell P. Frequency of takeaway food consumption and its association with major food group consumption, anthropometric measures and blood pressure during adolescence. Br J Nutr. 2016;115(11):2025–30.
- Janssen HG, Davies IG, Richardson LD, Stevenson L. Determinants of takeaway and fast food consumption: a narrative review. Nutr Res Rev. 2018;31(1):16–34.
- Zhang Y, Hou F, Yang S, Li J, Zha X, Shen G. Beyond emotion: online takeaway food consumption is associated with emotional overeating among chinese college students. Eat Weight Disord. 2022;27(2):781–90.
- Miura K, Giskes K, Turrell G. Socioeconomic differences in takeaway food consumption and their contribution to inequalities in dietary intakes. J Epidemiol Community Health. 2009;63(10):820–6.
- Burgoine T, Forouhi NG, Griffin SJ, Brage S, Wareham NJ, Monsivais P. Does neighborhood fast-food outlet exposure amplify inequalities in diet and obesity? A cross-sectional study. Am J Clin Nutr. 2016;103(6):1540–7.
- Smith KJ, McNaughton SA, Gall SL, Blizzard L, Dwyer T, Venn AJ. Takeaway food consumption and its associations with diet quality and abdominal obesity: a cross-sectional study of young adults. Int J Behav Nutr Phys Act. 2009;6:29.
- Patterson R, Risby A, Chan MY. Consumption of takeaway and fast food in a deprived inner London Borough: are they associated with childhood obesity? BMJ Open. 2012;2(3):e000402.
- Wang Y, Wang L, Xue H, Qu W. A review of the growth of the fast Food Industry in China and its potential impact on obesity. Int J Environ Res Public Health. 2016;13(11):1112.
- Zhao Y, Wang L, Xue H, Wang H, Wang Y. Fast food consumption and its associations with obesity and hypertension among children: results from the baseline data of the childhood obesity study in China mega-cities. BMC Public Health. 2017;17(1):933.
- 12. Donin AS, Nightingale CM, Owen CG, Rudnicka AR, Cook DG, Whincup PH. Takeaway meal consumption and risk markers for coronary heart disease, type 2 diabetes and obesity in children aged 9–10 years: a cross-sectional study. Arch Dis Child. 2018;103(5):431–6.
- Du F, Cai H, Zhang Q, Chen Q, Shi H. Microplastics in take-out food containers. J Hazard Mater. 2020;399:122969.
- Zha H, Lv J, Lou Y, Wo W, Xia J, Li S, et al. Alterations of gut and oral microbiota in the individuals consuming take-away food in disposable plastic containers. J Hazard Mater. 2023;441:129903.
- AlJaber MI, Alwehaibi AI, Algaeed HA, Arafah AM, Binsebayel OA. Effect of academic stressors on eating habits among medical students in Riyadh, Saudi Arabia. J Family Med Prim Care. 2019;8(2):390–400.
- Marquis M. Exploring convenience orientation as a food motivation for college students living in residence halls. Int J Consum Stud. 2005;29:55–63.
- 17. Jiang Y, Wang J, Wu S, Li N, Wang Y, Liu J, et al. Association between take-out food consumption and obesity among chinese University students: a cross-sectional study. Int J Environ Res Public Health. 2019;16(6):1071.
- Blitstein JL, Evans WD. Use of nutrition facts panels among adults who make household food purchasing decisions. J Nutr Educ Behav. 2006;38(6):360–4.
- Zoellner J, Connell C, Bounds W, Crook L, Yadrick K. Nutrition literacy status and preferred nutrition communication channels among adults in the Lower Mississippi Delta. Prev Chronic Dis. 2009;6(4):A128.
- Silk KJ, Sherry J, Winn B, Keesecker N, Horodynski MA, Sayir A. Increasing nutrition literacy: testing the effectiveness of print, web site, and game modalities. J Nutr Educ Behav. 2008;40(1):3–10.
- Krause C, Sommerhalder K, Beer-Borst S, Abel T. Just a subtle difference? Findings from a systematic review on definitions of nutrition literacy and food literacy. Health Promot Int. 2018;33(3):378–89.
- Taylor MK, Sullivan DK, Ellerbeck EF, Gajewski BJ, Gibbs HD. Nutrition literacy predicts adherence to healthy/unhealthy diet patterns in adults with a nutrition-related chronic condition. Public Health Nutr. 2019;22(12):2157–69.

- 23. Spronk I, Kullen C, Burdon C, O'Connor H. Relationship between nutrition knowledge and dietary intake. Br J Nutr. 2014;111(10):1713–26.
- Ul Haq I, Mariyam Z, Li M, Huang X, Jiang P, Zeb F, et al. A comparative study of Nutritional Status, Knowledge attitude and Practices (KAP) and Dietary Intake between International and Chinese students in Nanjing, China. Int J Environ Res Public Health. 2018;15(9):1910.
- Velardo S. Nutrition literacy for the Health Literate. J Nutr Educ Behav. 2017;49(2):183.
- Alzaben AS, Alnashwan NI, Alatr AA, Alneghamshi NA, Alhashem AM. Effectiveness of a nutrition education and intervention programme on nutrition knowledge and dietary practice among Princess Nourah Bint Abdulrahman University's population. Public Health Nutr. 2021;24(7):1854–60.
- 27. Taleb S, Itani L. Nutrition literacy among adolescents and its Association with Eating Habits and BMI in Tripoli, Lebanon. Diseases. 2021;9(2):25.
- Zhang Y, Sun Q, Zhang M, Mo G, Liu H. Nutrition literacy measurement Tool with multiple features for chinese adults. Food Nutr Bull. 2022;43(2):189–200.
- Zhang Y, Sun Q, Mo G, Gao T, Han S, Xie H, et al. Development of a nutritional literacy assessment scale for adults and its evaluation of reliability and validity. J Bengbu Med Coll. 2021;46(6):812–6.
- Gao T, Duan Y, Qi Q, Mo G, Han S, Liu H, et al. Nutrition literacy differs based on demographics among University students in Bengbu, China. Front Public Health. 2023;11:1113211.
- Garza KB, Ding M, Owensby JK, Zizza CA. Impulsivity and fast-food consumption: a cross-sectional study among working adults. J Acad Nutr Diet. 2016;116(1):61–8.
- 32. Miura K, Giskes K, Turrell G. Socio-economic differences in takeaway food consumption among adults. Public Health Nutr. 2012;15(2):218–26.
- Barton KL, Wrieden WL, Sherriff A, Armstrong J, Anderson AS. Trends in socioeconomic inequalities in the Scottish diet: 2001–2009. Public Health Nutr. 2015;18(16):2970–80.
- Watts AW, Rydell SA, Eisenberg ME, Laska MN, Neumark-Sztainer D. Yoga's potential for promoting healthy eating and physical activity behaviors among young adults: a mixed-methods study. Int J Behav Nutr Phys Act. 2018;15(1):42.
- 35. Namdar A, Naghizadeh MM, Zamani M, Montazeri A. Exploring the relationship between health literacy and fast food consumption: a population-based study from southern Iran. BMC Public Health. 2021;21(1):757.
- 36. Graham DJ, Laska MN. Nutrition label use partially mediates the relationship between attitude toward healthy eating and overall dietary quality among college students. J Acad Nutr Diet. 2012;112(3):414–8.
- Shen PY, Lo YC, Chilinda ZB, Huang YC. After-school nutrition education programme improves eating behaviour in economically disadvantaged adolescents. Public Health Nutr. 2021;24(7):1927–33.
- Stroebele-Benschop N, Dieze A, Hilzendegen C. Students' adherence to dietary recommendations and their food consumption habits. Nutr Health. 2018;24(2):75–81.
- Kalkan I. The impact of nutrition literacy on the food habits among young adults in Turkey. Nutr Res Pract. 2019;13(4):352–7.
- 40. Dickson-Spillmann M, Siegrist M. Consumers' knowledge of healthy diets and its correlation with dietary behaviour. J Hum Nutr Diet. 2011;24(1):54–60.
- Desbouys L, De Ridder K, Rouche M, Castetbon K. Food consumption in adolescents and young adults: Age-Specific Socio-Economic and Cultural Disparities (belgian Food Consumption Survey 2014). Nutrients. 2019;11(7):1520.
- 42. Liu Y, Gittelsohn J, Thorne-Lyman AL, Song S, Orta-Aleman D, Ma Y, et al. Caregiver perceptions of the neighborhood food environment and their relationship with the home food environment and childhood obesity in Northeast China. Appetite. 2020;144:104447.
- 43. Allen KN, Taylor JS, Kuiper R. Effectiveness of nutrition education on fast food choices in adolescents. J Sch Nurs. 2007;23(6):337–41.
- Musaiger AO. Consumption, health attitudes and perception toward fast food among arab consumers in Kuwait: gender differences. Glob J Health Sci. 2014;6(6):136–43.
- 45. Chung LM. Food literacy of young adults as a predictor of their healthy eating and dietary quality. J Child Adolesc Behav. 2017;5:e117.

Publisher's Note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.